Basement Impact Assessment AUDIT: Instruction

Section A (Site Summary) - to be completed by Case Officer

Camden Case Reference:	2018/0423/P	Site Address:	Flat A 10 Elsworthy Road LONDON NW3 3DJ		
Case officer contact details:	Thomas Sild	Date of audit request:	15/03/2018		
Statutory consultation	end date:	06/04/2018			
Reason for Audit:	Planning application /	Basement Extension			
Extension to existing garden outbuilding; Excavation of a basement extension Relevant planning background N/A					
Do the basement propo building or does the site buildings?		Νο			
			N		
Is the site in an area of relevant constraints? (check site constraints in M3/Magic GIS)		Surface Water fl and flooding	ow N		
		Subterranean (groundwater) fl	low N		
Does the application require determination by Development Control Committee in accordance with the Terms of Reference ¹		N			
No/Does the scope of the submitted BIA		Yes/No			

¹ Recommendations for approval of certain types of application require determination by Development Control Committee (DCC). From time to time applications which would normally be determined by officers under delegated authority are referred by the Director of Culture and Environment to DCC for decision. Where the Auditor makes representations at DCC on behalf of an application the fees for attendance will be passed to the applicant.

extend beyond the screening stage?	

Section B: BIA components for Audit (to be completed by Applicant)

Item provided		Yes/ No/ NA ²	Name of BIA document/appendix in which information is contained.
1	Description of proposed development.	Yes	BIA Section 2.5
2	Plan showing boundary of development including any land required temporarily during construction.	Yes	BIA Section 2.3
3	Plans, maps and or photographs to show location of basement relative to surrounding structures.	Yes	Refer to the descriptions in the BIA
4	Plans, maps and or photographs to show topography of surrounding area with any nearby watercourses/waterbodies including consideration of the relevant maps in the Strategic FRA by URS (2014)	Yes	Figure 2, Figure 11 of the Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study
5	Plans and sections to show foundation details of adjacent structures.	Yes	BIA Appendix
6	Plans and sections to show layout and dimensions of proposed basement.	No	Refer to Structural Planning Report by Entuitive
7	Programme for enabling works, construction and restoration.	N/A	Refer to Structural Planning Report by Entuitive
8	Identification of potential risks to land stability (including surrounding structures and infrastructure), and surface and groundwater flooding.	Yes	BIA Section 4
9	Assessment of impact of potential risks on neighbouring properties and surface and groundwater.	Yes	BIA Section 7
10	Identification of significant adverse impacts.	Yes	BIA Section 4
11	Evidence of consultation with neighbours.	N/A	

12	 Ground Investigation Report and Conceptual Site Model including Desktop study exploratory hole records results from monitoring the local groundwater regime confirmation of baseline conditions factual site investigation report 	Yes	Refer to BIA
15	Ground Movement Assessment (GMA).	165	DIA Section 7.4
14	Plans, drawings, reports to show extent of affected area.	Yes	BIA Section 7.4.3.2
15	Specific mitigation measures to reduce, avoid or offset significant adverse impacts.	Yes	BIA Section 9
16	Construction Sequence Methodology (CSM) referring to site investigation and containing basement, floor and roof plans, sections (all views), sequence of construction and temporary works.	N/A	Refer to Structural Planning Report by Entuitive
17	Proposals for monitoring during construction.	Yes	BIA Section 8
18	Confirmatory and reasoned statement identifying likely damage to nearby properties according to Burland Scale	Yes	BIA Section 7.4.4.1
19	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties will be maintained (by reference to BIA, Ground Movement Assessment and Construction Sequence Methodology), including consideration of cumulative effects.	Yes	BIA Section 7.3
20	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that there will be no adverse effects on drainage or run-off and no damage to the water environment (by reference to ground investigation, BIA and CSM), including consideration of cumulative	Yes	BIA Section 7.1 and 7.2

	effe	cts.		
21	Identification of areas that require further investigation.		N/A	
22	Non-technical summary for each stage of BIA.		N/A	
Addit	ional	BIA components (added during Audit)		
ltem provie	ded	Yes/No/NA ²		Comment

Notes:

¹ NB DP27 also requires consideration of architectural character, impacts on archaeology, amenity and other matters which are not covered by this checklist.

² Where response is 'no' or 'NA', an explanation is required in the Comment section.

Date	Fee Categorisation (A/B/C) and costs (£ ex VAT)	Date estimate for initial report	Commentary (including timescales for completion of Initial Report)
Date	Category and cost -	This will depend on date of completion of section D but some indication is required	<i>If possible please ALSO provide estimate for possible additional fees required to review consultation responses received to date.</i>
19/03/2018	Cat B - £3045	Approximately 4 weeks from instruction	 Additional fees may be required for site attendance reviewing revised/resubmitted documentation reviewing third part consultation comment attending DCC

Note: Where changes to the fee categorisation are required during the audit process, this will require details to be updated in section E, with justification provided by the auditor.

These changes shall be agreed with the planning officer and the applicant, in writing before the work is undertaken.