

Enirayetan, Oluwaseyi

From: Cardno, Steve
Sent: 09 March 2018 16:44
To: Enirayetan, Oluwaseyi
Subject: Re 2018/0349/P - Pavement outside 55 Fortune Green Road - Telephone kiosk application

Hi Seyi

I have completed my assessment of the above application.

The site is located on Fortune Green Road, a busy pedestrian route near Finchley Road. The nearest transport interchange is West Hampstead Thameslink Station. Pedestrian volumes are high and are forecast to increase significantly when Crossrail services become operational later this year along with ongoing economic growth in the borough. Existing footway space is a scarce resource and must be safeguarded for pedestrians both now and in the future to accommodate economic growth.

The telephone kiosk would be located within a narrow strip of defined street furniture zone on the footway, adjacent to the kerb. The telephone kiosk would be significantly wider than other items of street furniture such as lamp columns, sign posts and cycle parking stands in the general vicinity of the site. The proposal would therefore have a harmful and negative impact on the streetscape.

The telephone kiosk would obstruct and impede pedestrian movement (especially for blind and partially sighted pedestrians) and visibility on and along the footway. This would have a significant impact on pedestrian comfort levels, both now and in the future. It would obstruct inter-visibility between vehicular traffic and pedestrians wishing to cross the road at this location. The proposal therefore constitutes a hazard to public safety.

The telephone kiosk would obstruct and impede kerbside activity such as deliveries, taxi pick-ups and drop-offs, refuse and recycling collections, and other servicing.

The telephone kiosk would obstruct visibility splays between the vehicular access to 55 Fortune Green Road and southbound traffic on Fortune Green Road. This would constitute a hazard and could lead to dangerous situations and collisions at this location. Cyclists and pedestrians would be at particular risk and the proposal is therefore unacceptable.

I am also aware that the Metropolitan Police have raised concerns about this type of application. The telephone kiosk would facilitate crime and anti-social behaviour and would constitute a hazard to public safety. It would also obstruct CCTV visibility.

The proposal must be refused for the above reasons. Please let me know if you wish to discuss.

Regards

Steve

Steve Cardno
Principal Transport Planner
Regeneration and Planning
Supporting Communities
London Borough of Camden