					Printed on: 02/03/2018 09:10:05
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/6753/P	Simone Scott	22a Mansfield Road NW3 2HP NW3 2HP	24/02/2018 14:06:59	OBJ	I strongly oppose this planning proposal. I am an immediate neighbour (22). We have nothing but construction work going on around here 24/7. We get no peace! There are at least 4 scaffolds up at any one time, workmen banging and shouting all day long. We don't even get a rest bite at the weekends. Mansfield Road is being resurfaced at weekends for the last three weeks, Thames water are digging daily rendering the parking bays unusable and there are several other builds happening in the surrounding streets (Roderick Rd and Shirlock Rd). This planned work will deem my garden and others unusable for the duration of the works which I guess will last all summer. When do you say enough is enough? You have to consider peoples quality of life. No sooner has one build finished then the next one starts. I know I speak for the majority of my neighbours. I reiterate, I strongly oppose these plans and will be gathering signatures in opposition to the build.
2017/6753/P	Russell Baum	22a Perrins Walk London NW3 6TH	26/02/2018 15:45:28	OBJ	I am the owner of the neighbouring property at 20 Mansfield Road and object to this application on the grounds of overbearing and rights to light. The general massing proposed will create a building out of character with the neighbouring properties and overbearing. In respect of the ground floor extension this overbearing will impact on our use of the
					garden and loss of light to the same. It will seriously impinge on the afternoon light that comes into our property through the rear ground floor living room and kitchen windows. The proposed 1st floor extension onto the flat roof will likewise be generally overbearing giving the impression of hemming in and resulting in loss of amenity space as our terrace loses light, aspect and outlook.

					Printed on: 02/03/2018 09:10:	:05
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2017/6753/P	Matthew Frank	20 Mansfield Road	25/02/2018 19:15:16	OBJ	Dear Planning Officer,	
					I am an inhabitant of 20 Mansfield Road and I must submit my strong objection to the recently posted planning application 2017/6753/P concerning works at 18 Mansfield Road.	
					I fear the proposed workswhich include a two floor rear extension and demolition of the detached garagewill:	
					 Greatly reduce the light in our back garden, to the detriment of our elderflower tree, other seasonal plants and our general enjoyment of the space Infringe on the privacy of our flat and garden. Living on the ground floor, we have limited windows allowing light in. Each of these has direct line of sight to the back part of the property separating numbers 18 and 20. Privacy is currently provided given the wall and detached garage, and absence of windows or terrace space from 18 which can look into our flat. 	
					Aside from the above permanent effects on our home, the works will be highly disruptive- -noise, blockage of pavement, scaffoldingto our peaceful and sacred time at home. The immediate area has already experienced much disruption from resurfacing of the road, re-laying of the pavement along Mansfield Road and periodic work at 22 Mansfield Road.	
					Thank you for your time and attention. I am available by phone to answer any follow up questions concerning my objection.	

					Printed on: 02/03/2018 09:10:05
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/6753/P	Lindsey Passaic	20a Mansfield Road	25/02/2018 10:07:51	OBJ	I object to planning application number 2017/6753/P.
		NW3 2HP NW3 2HP			The proposed renovations to the property at 18 Mansfield Road would directly affect the quality of life of the neighbors at number 20 Mansfield Road. There would be significant disruptions including noise and reduced privacy due to workers being in and around the site. In particular, the demolition of the garage and erection of a first story rear extension would contribute to a massive loss of sunlight in the garden of flat 20A and would change the overall feel of the outdoor space, including loss of landscaping along the western border of the garden. This change, alongside the fact that works would likely occur during the spring and summer when the garden is most enjoyable, would ultimately result in loss of useable outdoor space for the tenants at 20A. It is critical the tenants of 20A have the garden space not only for their general enjoyment, but also because they have a pet that requires outdoor access. The erection of a first story extension would also impact the amount of light that enters the rear facing window of the master bedroom at 20A contributing to a greater feeling of enclosure. The proposal for a second story extension would also impact the privacy of neighbors at 20 and beyond as workers and residents at 18 could easily peer into the gardens and homes of their neighbors.