

Enirayetan, Oluwaseyi

From: Cardno, Steve
Sent: 28 February 2018 20:16
To: Enirayetan, Oluwaseyi
Subject: Re 2018/0325/P - Land Adjacent to 1 St Giles High Street - Telephone kiosk application

Categories: Yellow Category

Hi Seyi

I have completed my assessment of the above application. I note that a similar proposal was refused on 01/12/14 (Planning reference 2014/6699/P). I have taken this decision into consideration.

The site is located near Tottenham Court Road Underground Station on one of the busiest pedestrian corridors in the borough. Pedestrian volumes are extremely high and are forecast to increase significantly when Crossrail services become operational later this year along with ongoing economic growth in the borough. Existing footway space is a scarce resource and must be safeguarded for pedestrians both now and in the future to accommodate economic growth.

The telephone kiosk would be located on a clear and unobstructed section of the footway, adjacent to the kerb. The telephone kiosk would be out of place in this streetscape.

The telephone kiosk would obstruct and impede pedestrian movement (especially for blind and partially sighted pedestrians) and visibility on and along the footway. This would have a significant impact on pedestrian comfort levels, both now and in the future. It would obstruct inter-visibility between vehicular traffic and pedestrians wishing to cross the road at this location. The proposal therefore constitutes a hazard to public safety.

The telephone kiosk would be significantly wider than other items of street furniture including existing telephone kiosks in the general vicinity of the site. The proposal would therefore have a harmful and negative impact on the streetscape.

The telephone kiosk would obstruct and impede kerbside activity such as deliveries, taxi pick-ups and drop-offs, refuse and recycling collections, and other servicing.

I am also aware that the Metropolitan Police have raised concerns about this type of application. The telephone kiosk would facilitate crime and anti-social behaviour and would constitute a hazard to public safety. It would also obstruct CCTV visibility.

The proposal must be refused for the above reasons. Please let me know if you wish to discuss.

Regards

Steve

Steve Cardno
Principal Transport Planner
Regeneration and Planning
Supporting Communities
London Borough of Camden