

5 Lower Merton Rise
London
NW3 3RA

15 February 2018

Charlotte Meynell
Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Supporting Communities
London Borough of Camden
2nd Floor
5 Pancras Square
London N1C 4AG

Dear Ms Meynell

106 King Henry's Road NW3 3SL – Application 2017/6307 P

I refer to the Audit of the Basement Impact Assessment by Campbell Reith of January 2018 recently filed under the above Application. I would like to comment as follows:

1. I note that Campbell Reith could not confirm that the basement proposals comply with Camden's requirements as set out in CGP4.
2. In particular, Campbell Reith list various missing items including a draft programme to be presented, information on surface water screening and various stability requirements. The missing items are particularised in their report.
3. In paragraph 2.1 of the report, Application 2017/ 5122 P is also treated as a pending Application. This came as a surprise to me since I was under the impression that Application 2017/ 6307 P had superseded the previous Application.
4. In paragraphs 4.2 and 5.2 of the report, reference is made to the differences between the two Applications. The report states that the later Application (2017/6307 P) entails the partial demolition of 106 King Henry's Road. This is plainly incorrect as the Application is for the total demolition of the house.

In light of the above, it would be helpful if you would confirm that neither Application will be considered until:

- (i) the missing particulars of the Basement Impact Assessment have been provided to the Council and submitted on-line with an opportunity for external comment; and
- (ii) Campbell Reith have audited the missing particulars, and confirmed their compliance with Camden's requirements.

I had no notice of the previous Application (2017/5122 P), and only became aware of its existence in late December 2017 when I heard about the present Application. I would be grateful if you would treat my letter of objection dated 20 December 2017 as applying equally to the earlier Application.

Lastly, I note that revised plans have been filed recently under both Applications. However, the revised plans give no indication of the changes and the original plans no longer appear under the Applications. It is impossible, therefore, to determine what changes have been made. I wonder whether it would be possible to attend in person and review both sets of plans in physical format to determine what has changed.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Jonathan Berman