

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2883/P	Hugh Rees	215 Camden Road NW1 9AA	02/02/2018 19:18:47	OBJEMPE R	<p>APPLICATION 2017/7070 for change of use of existing building.</p> <p>I am aware that the deadline for comments has just past.</p> <p>I have no objection to the change of use of the existing building to residential, although parking outside controlled hours is a concern.</p> <p>But the plans submitted are bizarre, with a significant number of rooms receiving no natural light, and many of the others being lit only by rooflights. I cannot see that anyone would want to build the scheme as submitted.</p> <p>If prior agreement is forthcoming for the change of use, presumably the applicant will want to submit revised plans with more windows to achieve reasonable spaces that people would want to live in.</p> <p>APPLICATION 2017/2883</p> <p>For the demolition of the existing building and construction of a block of flats.</p> <p>I was not aware that comments could still be made on this application, until I searched for the change of use application.</p> <p>I see that there a Supplementary D+A statement has been submitted, together with revised drawings. These revisions are in response to Camden"s comments regarding accessibility, cycle parking and design [only of the front elevation]. Myself and other neighbouring residents submitted objections on 5 and 6 July 2017. Not one of our objections seems to have been taken account of.</p> <p>Of particular concern is the proposed height of the new building which is one high commercial ground floor plus 3 residential storeys. This is one storey higher than the new building at 3 Hampshire street, and so the proposed building is going to be even more overbearing than that one . It seems outrageous that Camden appear to be happy with the proposed building at this height. Has a planner actually visited the houses in Camden Road to make an assessment? What rules govern the allowable height for a new development: does it just depend on a planners opinion/whim and how they feel that day?</p> <p>I imagine your planning policy must say that a new development should respect the scale, etc of adjoining buildings and surroundings, which this proposal clearly doesn"t comply with.</p> <p>All the other issues raised by the objectors in July 2017 still apply.</p> <p>Do you have any idea what the applicants are up to in revising the submitted redevelopment application, while at the same time asking you to confirm that change of use is acceptable.</p>

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

It would be useful if you or the applicant could clarify this.

Based on previous experience, I suspect you won't be paying any attention to this or my previous objections.

Some neighbours who I have spoken to already think making any comment is a waste of their time, as the planners seem to pay little attention. I am beginning to feel the same myself.

I am also finding it tedious trying to type into this little box, which involves a lot of scrolling to see what I have already written. Why can't I just send you an email like the [no so] old days?

You no longer send notifications to adjoining residents, and just tie a little notice to a lamp post: I think there are 2 dis-shevelled notices about this application.

As these look a bit like notices about lost cats, this doesn't encourage anyone to take part in consultation.

In the interests of saving money, and as you don't pay any attention anyway, why don't you just give upon any consultation process, making life easier, although undemocratic, for everyone!
