

Hazelton, Laura

Subject: FW: Planning alerts – London Borough of Camden

From: Michael Thorp [REDACTED]

Sent: 05 February 2018 16:31

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]
<JE[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Planning alerts – London Borough of Camden

Laura

Thanks for your response.

If these are required solely for maintenance then is it not a little strange that such a key consideration was not thought of or addressed in the original application? Particularly when access was factored in and included for the other new aspects to the property.

The applicant is simply “playing the system” and omitted these so as not to raise concerns with regards to use of the balcony/terrace & avoid further complications at the planning stage. The style of the doors are clearly not intended just for maintenance but to be open regularly for non-maintenance use and access. You are only aware of this because we highlighted it and requested a site inspection. There is no way of preventing type of use as you stated in your original report when referring to concerns re the side extension/music room. So can you please explain how correct terrace use can be enforced?

We strongly object to this amendment on the grounds that there is no control over external use and that the doors are clearly not in keeping with just maintenance access.

Unfortunately I have no doubt that this is a total waste of time as it is clear that the planning process and Planning Committee at Camden are a total farce and will continue to ignore residents views & concerns.

On a separate note, if anyone from planning or the Committee would like to come over and see the blight and upset this development has placed on residents then please just let me know.

Regards

Michael

[REDACTED]