

Application no: 2017/6743/P

29 Dartmouth Park Hill
London NW5 1HP

Dear Jaspreet Chana,

Please find enclosed my objections to Alexandra Schmitt Jugovic's proposed plans for a rear extension of her kitchen and balcony at Flat A 27 Dartmouth Park Hill. London NW5 1HP. Also enclosed some photographs of the site today showing how the proposed extension will profoundly affect my life style of the past fifty five years.

To have such a large and intrusive extension abutting my balcony is a daunting prospect and will cause a considerable loss of privacy, light and amenities that I have enjoyed since 1962 when my late husband and I first moved into no: 29 Dartmouth Park Hill.

Yours Sincerely


25/01/2018
Elizabeth Kellaway


Application no: 2017/6743/P

Case Officer: Jaspreet Chana

Objections to the proposed rear extension at Flat A 27 Dartmouth Park Hill. London NW5 1HP

(1) Loss of Amenity. Privacy/Overlooking

The proposed rear extension of the upper ground floor kitchen with full length windows opening onto an extended balcony would overlook and seriously interfere with the privacy and enjoyment of the garden, courtyard, balcony and sitting room of 29 Dartmouth Park Hill. London NW5 1HP. Even with the proposed louvered screen anyone standing at the garden end of the extended balcony will be able to look straight into the sitting room and the studio.

(2) Daylight/Sunlight.

The height of the proposed kitchen extension would block the morning light to the rear of 29 Dartmouth Park Hill. NW5 1HP and cast a very long shadow during the winter months. A 1.80 x 200 M louvered screen erected on the extended balcony at Flat A 27 Dartmouth Park Hill to provide privacy would overshadow and seriously reduce the amount of daylight and sunlight currently available to the sitting room, balcony, studio, and garden especially in winter when the sun is low in the sky. The screen would also add to a sense of enclosure and confinement.

(3) Subsidence.

The land on which 27 and 29 Dartmouth Park Hill are built falls steeply. My late husband and I have gone to great lengths to stabilize the structure of no: 29. It is not clear how the earthworks needed to support the foundations of the proposed kitchen and balcony extension at 27 Dartmouth Park Hill will affect the stability of 29 Dartmouth Park Hill.

(4) The ugly, overbearing, blockhouse of the proposed rear extension of the kitchen and balcony is completely out of character with the original design of the semi-detached 1860s Victorian building hither to unchanged.

The whole proposed extension, including the out building, will considerably reduce the size of the back garden of no: 27 Dartmouth Park Hill

(5) In conclusion.

As an artist I have lived and worked at 29 Dartmouth Park Hill. London NW5 1HP since 1962. Light is of the utmost importance for my work. A louvered screen for privacy would greatly reduce the quality of light that I have enjoyed for the past 55 years and create shade where currently there is sun. It would also create a feeling of being "boxed in". The extended balcony would mean not only a complete loss of privacy of the back garden and courtyard but also reduce the enormous pleasure they give me.

Copies to:

Curs: Sian Berry - Sally Gimson, Oliver Lewis
DPC AAC



07/01/2018 - 12 NOON
Re:- PROPOSED LOWERED
SCREEN 180m x 2.4m
Photo taken at balcony
boundary limit showing
length of my shadow.

