## Diver, John

| From:<br>Sent: | John Smethurst   Clement Acoustics <john@clementacoustics.co.uk><br/>01 February 2018 13:47</john@clementacoustics.co.uk> |
|----------------|---|
| То:            | Diver, John   |
| Subject:       | Re: Fwd: RE: 47 Mill Lane, NW6 1NB AoD (Condition 2) - 2017/6197/P  |

Dear John,

Apologies, for the slow response.

As discussed we used the sound insulation prediction software Insul.

The screen shot below shows the assumed ceiling giving a very poor sound insulation

| Panel 1 Frame 1 Panel 2 Frame 2 Panel 3  | Glazing Porous Material   | SS S |
|--|---|------|
| Frame type<br>Solid joist(timber or Twinaplate)<br>Suspended light steel grid<br>Resilient clip or channel<br>Rubber Isolation Clip<br>Separate joists | <ul> <li>Z Girt</li> <li>Mason FSN floating floor mount</li> <li>Kinetics RIM System</li> <li>Steel Spring Hanger</li> <li>Resilient batten and steel rail</li> </ul> |      |
| Separate joists           Cavity Parameters           Airgap         250           (mm)         Stud spacing   | (mm) Mass-air-mass 36Hz   | ]0   |

The screen shot below, shows the assumed ceiling with mitigation as specified with our report 12950-IBF-01-RevA dated 03/11/2017.

| Panel 1 Frame 1 Panel 2 Frame 2 Panel 3               | Glazing Porous Material         |     |
|---|---------------------------------|-----|
| Frame type<br>Solid joist(timber or Twinaplate)       | © Z Girt                        |     |
| <ul> <li>Suspended light steel grid</li> </ul>        | Mason FSN floating floor mount  |     |
| Resilient clip or channel                             | ─ Kinetics RIM System           |     |
| Rubber Isolation Clip                                 | Steel Spring Hanger             |     |
| 🔘 Separate joists                                     | Resilient batten and steel rail |     |
| Cavity Parameters<br>Airgap 150 (mm) Stud spacing 600 | (mm) Mass-air-mass 32Hz         | L . |

As indicated in the screen shot above, the predicted performance is Rw + Ctr 69 dB. For a timber construction a correction of 5 to 8 dB is generally assumed for on-site flanking. Therefore, assuming a worst case flanking correction of 8 dB, the floor should be capable of providing an on-site performance of approximately DnTw + Ctr 61 dB.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further queries.

Kind regards,

John Smethurst BSc(Hons) MIOA Director

Clement Acoustics Ltd T: 0203 475 2280 F: 0203 475 2281

**Submit Feedback Here** 

## London Office

T: 0203 475 2280 F: 0203 475 2281

202 Uxbridge Road London W12 7JP

## **Manchester Office**

T: 0161 850 2280 F: 0203 475 2281

105 Manchester Road Bury BL9 0TD





----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject:RE: 47 Mill Lane, NW6 1NB AoD (Condition 2) - 2017/6197/P Date:Wed, 31 Jan 2018 13:47:29 +0000 From:Diver, John <a href="mailto:signa"></a> To:info@clementacoustics.co.uk</a> CC:alex@product2.co.uk <a href="mailto:signa"></a> CC:alex@product2.co.uk <a href="mailto:signa"></a>

Dear Mr Smethurst,

## Re: 47 Mill Lane, NW6 1NB

Following on from our discussion a few weeks back I was just wondering whether or not you have had the opportunity to prepare the additional information requested in relation to the ceiling attenuation measures at the above property? If so, could you please send directly to me by replying to this message so that I can proceed with the application to discharge the noise insulation details?

Kinds regards,

John Diver Senior Planning Officer Development Management Supporting Communities London Borough of Camden

Telephone:02079746368Web:camden.gov.uk2nd Floor5 Pancras SquareLondon N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Diver, John Sent: 23 January 2018 15:56 To: <a href="mailto:alex@product2.co.uk">alex@product2.co.uk</a> Subject: RE: 47 Mill Lane, NW6 1NB AoD (Condition 2) - 2017/6197/P

Dear Mr Rickett,

Following on from our conversation just now I just thought I would confirm where we are at with the assessment process for this one.

After reviewing the submitted Acoustic Report with members of the Council's Environmental Health (Noise) team, further information was requested as to how the consultants (Clement Acoustics) has reached their predicted sound insulation performance figure for the ceiling (60dB) at paragraph 5.1. Considering that access to the 1<sup>st</sup> floor flat was not possible, confirmation of the calculations to reach this figure are therefore required to confirm the overall acceptability.