AECOM

Proposed Digital Advertising Screen, 42-44 Hampstead Road



Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit

Prepared for: London Borough of Camden Prepared by: AECOM

December 2017

MAYOR OF LONDON

Transport for London

Quality information

Prepared by Chris Burlton Senior Consultant Checked by Kimberley Pettingill Senior Consultant Mark Watson Associate Director

Revision History

Revision	Revision date	Details	Authorised	Name	Position
-	15/11/17	Draft		Mark Watson	Associate Director
-	01/12/17	Final		Mark Watson	Associate Director

Distribution List

# Hard Copies	PDF Required	Association / Company Name	
-			

Prepared by:

AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited Saxon House 27 Duke Street Chelmsford CM1 1HT UK

T: +44 (0) 1245 771 200 aecom.com

Limitations

© 2017 AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited. All Rights Reserved.

This document has been prepared by AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited ("AECOM") for sole use of our client (the "Client") in accordance with generally accepted consultancy principles, the budget for fees and the terms of reference agreed between AECOM and the Client. Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein has not been checked or verified by AECOM, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document. No third party may rely upon this document without the prior and express written agreement of AECOM.

Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION		
	1.1	COMMISSION	5
	1.2	TERMS OF REFERENCE	5
	1.3	MAIN PARTIES TO THE AUDIT	6
	1.4	PURPOSE OF THE SCHEME	6
	1.5	SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS	7
2.	ITEMS	RAISED IN PREVIOUS ROAD SAFETY AUDITS	8
3.	ITEMS	RAISED AT THIS STAGE 1/2 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT	9
	3.1	JUNCTIONS	9
	3.2	TRAFFIC SIGNALS	9
	3.3	PEDESTRIANS	9
	3.4	PEDESTRIAN CROSSING FACILITIES	9
	3.5	REFUGES	9
	3.6	CYCLE FACILITIES	9
	3.7	MOTORCYCLE ISSUES	9
	3.8	BUS FACILITIES	9
	3.9	LOADING / PARKING	9
	3.10	GENERAL ISSUES	9
4.		S IDENTIFIED DURING THE STAGE 1/2 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT THAT ARE OUTSIDE THE S OF REFERENCE	. 10
5.	SIGNA	TURES AND SIGN-OFF	. 11
	5.1	AUDIT TEAM STATEMENT	. 11
	5.2	DESIGN TEAM STATEMENT	. 12
	5.3	CLIENT ORGANISATION STATEMENT	. 12
Appen	dix A D	ocuments Forming the Audit Brief	. 13
Appen	dix B P	roblem Locations	. 14

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 COMMISSION

- 1.1.1 This report results from a Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit carried out for the proposed new digital advertising screen at the location of the existing advertising unit, on the eastern pedestrian footway of Hampstead Road (near 42-44 Hampstead Road), within the London Borough of Camden.
- 1.1.2 The Audit was undertaken by AECOM's Road Safety Audit Team in accordance with the email brief received from LB Camden on the 20th October 2017 and the Instruction to Proceed received on the 3rd November 2017. The Audit took place at the AECOM Chelmsford office in November 2017 and comprised an examination of the documents provided as listed (and contained) in **Appendix A**, plus a visit to the site of the proposed scheme.
- 1.1.3 The visit to the site of the proposed scheme was made on 6th November 2017. During the site visit the weather was sunny and the road surface was dry.

1.2 TERMS OF REFERENCE

- 1.2.1 The Terms of Reference of this Audit are as described in TfL Procedure SQA-0170 dated May 2014. The Audit Team has examined and reported only on the road safety implications of the scheme as presented and how it impacts on all road users and has not examined or verified the compliance of the designs to any other criteria. However, to clearly explain a safety problem or the recommendation to resolve a problem the Audit Team may, on occasion, have referred to a design standard without touching on technical audit. An absence of comments relating to specific road users / modes in Section 3 of this report does not imply that they have not been considered; instead the Audit Team feels they are not adversely affected by the proposed changes.
- 1.2.2 This Safety Audit is not intended to identify pre-existing hazards which remain unchanged due to the proposals; hence they will not be raised in Section 3 of this report as they fall outside the remit of Road Safety Audit in general as specified in the procedure SQA-0170 dated May 2014. Safety issues identified during the Audit and site visit that are considered to be outside the Terms of Reference, but which the Audit Team wishes to draw to the attention of the Client Organisation, are set out in Section 4 of this report.
- 1.2.3 Nothing in this Audit should be regarded as a direct instruction to include or remove a measure from within the scheme. Responsibility for designing the scheme lies with the Designer and as such the Audit Team accepts no design responsibility for any changes made to the scheme as a result of this Audit.
- 1.2.4 In accordance with TfL Procedure SQA-0170 dated May 2014, this Audit has a maximum shelf life of 2 years. If the scheme does not progress to the next stage in its development within this period, then the scheme should be re-audited.
- 1.2.5 Unless general to the scheme, all comments and recommendations are referenced to the detailed design drawings and the locations have been indicated on the plan located in **Appendix B**.

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM

1.2.6 It is the responsibility of the Design Organisation to complete the Designer's response section of this Audit report. Where applicable and necessary it is the responsibility of the Client Organisation to complete the Client comment section of this Audit report. Signatures from both the Design Organisation and Client Organisation must be added within Section 5 of this Audit report. A copy of which must be returned to the Audit Team.

MAIN PARTIES TO THE AUDIT 1.3

1.3.1 Client Organisation London Borough of Camden

> Client contact details: Lisa-Marie Bowles - London Borough of

> > Camden - lisa-marie.bowles@camden.gov.uk

Design Organisation London Borough of Camden 1.3.2

> Design contact details: George Loureda - London Borough of Camden

> > george.loureda@camden.gov.uk

1.3.3 **Audit Team**

> Audit Team Leader: Kimberley Pettingill - AECOM

Audit Team Member: Chris Burlton - AECOM

Also present on site was Kathryn Carman (AECOM).

PURPOSE OF THE SCHEME 1.4

- 1.4.1 Within the vicinity of the study area, Hampstead Road runs in a north/ south direction and is a single carriageway road with two lanes running in each direction. There are wide pedestrian footways in place on both sides of the carriageway. Hampstead Road forms two arms of the four arm signalised junction with Drummond Street approximately 50m to the north of the proposed scheme, and the northern approach to the signalised junction with Euston Road and Tottenham Court Road approximately 80m to the south. All roads are subject to a 20mph speed limit and are street lit (although the site was only visited during daylight hours).
- 1.4.2 The proposed works are located on the eastern pedestrian footway of Hampstead Road (near 42-44 Hampstead Road), to the south of the traffic signal junction with Drummond Street. There is an existing southbound bus stop, telephone box/ advertising unit and Advance Direction Sign (ADS) for the Hampstead Road/ Euston Road/ Tottenham Court Road signalised junction located in close proximity to the scheme.
- 143 It is proposed to replace the existing 'non-digital' advertising unit with a doublesided freestanding digital advertising display, targeting pedestrians and southbound vehicular traffic. It is understood that the sign will be provided at the same location as the existing advertising board, which currently displays scrolling adverts to pedestrians/ southbound traffic.
- 1.4.4 The proposed sign dimensions are 2.285m (height) x 1.048m (width) x 0.330m

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM

(depth). A minimum footway width of 8.1m will be retained to the east of the proposed advertising display, with a 1.5m clearance between the unit and the eastern kerbline of Hampstead Road.

- 1.4.5 The proposed screen will be used for advertising purposes and will only display static images that will change sequentially at a maximum frequency of once every ten seconds.
- 1.4.6 The proposals do not include the removal of any trees or street furniture other than the existing 'non-digital' advertising column as described above.

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS 1.5

1.5.1 None.

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 7/16

2. ITEMS RAISED IN PREVIOUS ROAD SAFETY AUDITS

2.1.1 The Audit Team is not aware of any other Audits having been carried out on the proposals.

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 8/16

ITEMS RAISED AT THIS STAGE 1/2 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT 3.

3.0.1 This section should be read in conjunction with Paragraphs 1.2.1, 1.2.2 and 1.2.3 of this report.

- 3.1 **JUNCTIONS**
- 3.1.1 No comments.
- 3.2 TRAFFIC SIGNALS
- 3.2.1 No comments.
- 3.3 **PEDESTRIANS**
- 3.3.1 No comments.
- 3.4 PEDESTRIAN CROSSING FACILITIES
- 3.4.1 No comments.
- **REFUGES** 3.5
- 3.5.1 No comments.
- 3.6 **CYCLE FACILITIES**
- 3.6.1 No comments.
- 3.7 **MOTORCYCLE ISSUES**
- 3.7.1 No comments.
- 3.8 **BUS FACILITIES**
- 3.8.1 No comments.
- 3.9 LOADING / PARKING
- 3.9.1 No comments.
- **GENERAL ISSUES** 3.10
- 3.10.1 No comments.

End of list of problems identified and recommendations offered in this Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 9/16

4. ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING THE STAGE 1/2 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT THAT ARE OUTSIDE THE TERMS OF REFERENCE

- 4.1.1 Safety issues identified during the audit and site inspection that are considered to be outside the Terms of Reference, but which the Audit Team wishes to draw to the attention of the Client Organisation, are set out in this section. It is to be understood that, in raising these issues, the Audit Team in no way warrants that a full review of the highway environment has been undertaken beyond that necessary to undertake the Audit as commissioned.
- 4.1.2 No issues.

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 10/16

5. SIGNATURES AND SIGN-OFF

5.1 AUDIT TEAM STATEMENT

- 5.1.1 We certify that we have examined the drawings and documents listed (and contained) in **Appendix A** to this Safety Audit report. The Road Safety Audit has been carried out in accordance with TfL Procedure SQA-0170 dated May 2014, with the sole purpose of identifying any feature that could be removed or modified in order to improve the safety of the measures. The problems identified have been noted in this report together with associated suggestions for safety improvements that we recommend should be studied for implementation.
- 5.1.2 No one on the Audit Team has been involved with the design of the measures.

AUDIT TEAM LEADER:

Name: Kimberley Pettingill Signed:

BSc (Hons) MCIHT MSoRSA

Position: Senior Consultant Date: 1st December 2017

Organisation: AECOM

Address: AECOM, Saxon House, 27 Duke Street, Chelmsford, Essex

Contact:

AUDIT TEAM MEMBER:

Name: Chris Burlton Signed:

BSc (Hons) MCIHT

Position: Senior Consultant Date: 1st December 2017

Organisation: AECOM

Address: AECOM, Saxon House, 27 Duke Street, Chelmsford, Essex

Contact:

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 11/16

5.2 DESIGN TEAM STATEMENT

5.2.1 In accordance with SQA-0170 dated May 2014, I certify that I have reviewed the items raised in this Stage 1/2 Safety Audit report. I have given due consideration to each issue raised and have stated my proposed course of action for each in this report. I seek the Client Organisations endorsement of my proposals.

Name: George Loureda (Designer of Site Location)

Position: Head of Engineering Services **Organisation:** London Borough of Camden

Signed: Dated: 29 January 2018

5.3 CLIENT ORGANISATION STATEMENT

5.3.1 I accept these proposals by the Design Organisation.

Name: Lisa-Marie Bowles
Position: Project Manager

Organisation: London Borough of Camden

Signed: Dated: 4 December 2017

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 12/16

Appendix A Documents Forming the Audit Brief

Drawing Number	Drawing Title			
1	Site Location Plan			
2	Site Plan			
3	Existing Site Photos			
4	Elevations			
5	Photomontage			
Documents	Details (where appropriate)			
☐ Safety Audit Brief	Email brief dated 20 th October 2017			
☐ Traffic signal details				
☐ TfL signal safety checklist				
☐ Departures from standard				
☐ Previous Road Safety Audits				
☐ Previous Designer Responses				
☐ Collision data				
☐ Collision plot				
☐ Traffic flow / modelling data				
□ Pedestrian flow / modelling data				
☐ Speed survey data				
⊠Other documents	Proposal description document (received 3 rd November 2017)			
	November 2017)			

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 13/16

Appendix B Problem Locations

None.

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 14/16

Stage 1/2 Road Safety Audit AECOM 15/16