

Printed on: 16/05/2017 09:10:08

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0896P	Galina Portianoi		11/05/2017 09:15:25	OBJ:MPHR	dear Sirs,

in addition to my comment already sent to you, I would like to add the following points, in regards to this application:

- the location of the new proposed parking space might be 'screened' by the newly erected fence for Mr. Bloomfield, however it is very much visible to passing residents, as well as us, who reside across from No.11
- the parking arrangement mentioned in the application wasn't poorly allocated, as stated. Quite a few residents have garages that Mr. Bloomfield had. Proposed space will require regular reversing into our driveway, which has been extensively used in the last four years due to the non-stop work on the House No.11
- one of the trees mentioned in the 'Impact on Trees' wasn't 'accidentally' lost. Residents have raised the issue of poor treatment of the established trees in Mr. Bloomfield's garden in the past, with complete disregard to rules & regulations that are outlined by Camden council. I have sent multiple images of bricks & heavy plates pushing against those trees, as well as rubble, rubbish & vans in the immediate vicinity of the trees to you in the last few months.
- There has been complete disregard for conserving green garden space, as well as the wellbeing of the residents living in immediate proximity to No.11

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0896/P	Lisa Boher	10 Mansion Gardens	11/05/2017 10:49:44	OBJ	<p>Once again, we have an application for planning, which is submitted AFTER the work is almost complete (the fifth such application following 2016/2614/P, 2016/4976/P, 2016/4977/P, 2016/4978/P). And once again, this application clearly states that work has not commenced. After four years of constant works without any break, and after those works were completed without any planning permission (please refer to enforcement notice EN16/0774 issued against the owner), the owner of no.11 has further demonstrated his disregard for his neighbours and any planning laws by again doing what he wants and following it up with an application after the event.</p> <p>This time, a large parking space has been built in the middle of what was once a beautiful garden. Whilst this work in the garden has been ongoing, vans have been regularly parked up against trees, heavy items (slabs, sand buckets) are placed against trees, with absolutely no regard for the surrounding environment, and this is evidenced in the active enforcement investigation (EN16/0775) for damage to a number of trees as well as the full removal of one tree. Yet the 'Agricultural Report' somewhat laughingly states the tree was 'damaged by an accident with a skip lorry and had to be removed'. I, and my fellow neighbours, have photographic evidence of this continued behaviour from after the enforcement notice was issued.</p> <p>The placement of the parking space is such that access and egress will be made over the driveway of no.3. Furthermore, it has been placed blocking the only 'free' place for visitor parking (on the corner of the road between nos.10 and 11) meaning that anyone visiting a household in Mansion Gardens can no longer park or they will block this 'proposed' (yet completed) parking space, whilst the owner of no.11 will double his parking by using this 'neutral' space for his own benefit as he would only be blocking himself. I believe this is deliberate, especially as we, as neighbours, have been fed misinformation constantly - a number of my neighbours were told that this current work was to create an area for dustbins. It should be noted that the 'Proposed Plan and Elevation' should be renamed 'Existing Plans and Elevations' as this is how the site currently looks.</p> <p>In addition, the fencing that has been 'proposed' (but is already erected) is completely out of character with the rest of the estate. All other fencing on the estate is slatted to allow light and air to flow through whilst affording privacy for the owners. The fencing that is now up surrounding no.11 is solid and blocks natural light and airflow. Photographic evidence of this 'standard' fencing in place is in the Members Briefing Pack from application 2016/4977/P -&gt; images 12 and 13.</p> <p>The 'Design and Access Statement' for this application states this is a new estate. That is incorrect. This is a well-established estate built in the early 1980s. The work that has been completed is anything but 'a discreet alteration' and is clearly visible from the public highway outside the main gates, at Firecrest Drive. The document further indicates that 'the Parking Standards for Camden indicate one parking space per dwelling which this proposal conforms with', and there is a residents parking bay directly outside the main estate for such parking,</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>which is currently being used by the owner. The justification that the original parking was lost due to the previous garage being 'poorly located, with a very tight access from the shared driveway' is simply untrue. This was a double garage, and only used by one car, which was parked centrally in the middle for the past 9 years I have lived at no.10. I never once witnessed any manoeuvring around 'tight access'. Indeed, this 'poorly located' garage was added by the owner under a previous planning application (8500392) back in 1985, and has been used without incident ever since. The truth is that the owner of no.11 converted his double garage into a room (without planning permission) with the intention of re-creating this parking regardless (again without planning permission) in his garden. He made the choice to remove his existing (and secure) two parking spaces by further expanding his property.</p> <p>In summary, these never-ending works have caused me a great deal of distress as the closest neighbour to no.11, and enough is enough. I have not been able to open the blinds in my lounge for a number of years as I am now completely overlooked by my neighbour and his daily stream of workmen. I have also not been able to open my windows due to the noise, dust and dirt. My house is thick with dust and sand, and the workmen who first arrived four years ago who would clear the shared driveway and clean their mess away, now leave detritus everywhere, and I regularly find scaffolding parts, screws, and other hardware for me to drive and walk over. I have previously suffered damage to my car as well as a puncture from this. It needs to stop!</p> <p>As such, I implore Camden to REFUSE permission for this planning application, and take action against the constant work that is completed without planning permission time after time. Our lives have been made a misery by the constant noise, dust, dirt and disregard for planning laws and neighbours' right to peaceful and tranquil environment.</p>

---