Delegated Repo	<b>Ort</b> Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	09/08/2017		
	N/A / atta	ached	Consultation Expiry Date:	02/08/2017		
Officer Charlotte Meynell		2017/35	ation Number(s)			
Application Address Pavement outside University College Hospital Tottenham Court Road Opposite Warren Street Underground Station London NW1 2BU			Prawing Numbers  Refer to draft decision notice			
PO 3/4 Area Tear  Proposal(s)	n Signature C&UD	Author	ised Officer Signature			
Installation of 1 x telepho	ne kiosk on the paver	ment.				
Recommendation(s):	Prior Approval Required – Approval Refused					
Application Type:	GPDO Prior Approval Determination					

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal: Informatives: Consultations	Refer to Draft Decision Notice						
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00	
Summary of consultation responses:	No. notified 00 No. of responses 00 No. of objections 00  A site notice was displayed on 12/07/2017 and expired on 02/08/2017.  No responses were received from neighbours.  Metropolitan Police – Designing Out Crime Officer objects on the following grounds:  The proposed telephone kiosk may be abused for the purposes of crime and anti-social behaviour (ASB) purposes;  CCTV and general surveillance may be obstructed by this kiosk;  The depth of the design may allow a person to deal in drugs, due to the reduction in surveillance;  It may provide the opportunity for the display of prostitute cards;  The site is on the TLRN for which TfL is the highway authority and as such we are concerned about any proposal which could impact on the safety and capacity of the public carriageway and/or footway. TfL is also responsible for planning and securing the operation of bus services in the capital and most other public transport. In addition it plans and operates the cycle hire scheme and Cycle Superhighways Quietways and other cycle routes. We are concerned about impacts on these transport services and infrastructure.  The submission is lacking in detail such that it is difficult to assess th acceptability of the kiosks in terms of siting and appearance. There is no photo montage with the site marked out nor are there drawings showing the kiosks in the context of the footway and carriageway an existing trees, street furniture, signs and so forth. Furthermore, there is no indication as to the orientation of the kiosk.  TfL would expect the siting of any kiosk to comply with our Streetscape design guidelines. The covering letter submitted suggests this is the case and there is at least 3.3m clear footway width (excluding any private forecourt) and there is a minimum of 0.45m set back from the carriageway. However it is not possible to check this and nor is it clear whether any account is taken of trees, street furniture etc. On this basis TfL would object to prior approval being given on the grounds of failure to demonstrate				ving of the to on the last on it ways, acts as the ere is gs y and		

and above those which exist already. We therefore also object to the principle of siting new phone kiosks in these parts of Camden.

#### Transport Strategy object as follows:

- The Council is committed to improving the public realm and pedestrian environment in order to encourage more sustainable travel. Camden are currently involved in a number of infrastructure schemes within the borough that seek to improve the streetscape and as part of that commitment, the Council will be reducing the amount of street clutter in order to create a high quality place that is inviting for pedestrians. The proposed kiosk would be located within the area of the committed West End Project scheme to improve the public realm, and as such is deemed unacceptable.
- The location of the proposed kiosk is beyond the existing street furniture zone, and as such would create an obstruction which would reduce the permeability of the environment and hinder pedestrian movement and desire lines, contrary to point c) of Policy T1. A reduction in pedestrian comfort can result in a less inviting environment and could in turn discourage active travel, and is therefore unacceptable.
- The proposed kiosk is located within an area which experiences high flows of pedestrian movement, and the minimum footway width should comply with the 'clear footway widths' as set out in TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance document.
- The proposed kiosk would be located close to an existing bus stop/shelter which would be unacceptable since the presence of the telephone kiosk could physically obstruct and prevent bus patrons from boarding or disembarking safely, contrary to Policy T1 (Public Transport) and point c). In addition, the proposed kiosk could also visually obstruct the passengers and prevent their ability to signal the bus.
- The proposed kiosk would be located close to existing traffic signals which would be unacceptable since the presence of the telephone kiosk could create a visual obstruction, contrary to Policy T1 point c).
- Development wishing to alter the existing layout of the public highway must design for Camden's road hierarchy giving pedestrians and cyclists priority above all other users. Any introduction of unnecessary street furniture and thus the removal of a permeable pedestrian environment, is seen to have a detrimental effect on pedestrian movement, specifically for vulnerable road users. Interrupting continuous stretches of public footways and increasing pedestrian journey time is unacceptable. With respect to the above points the proposed telephone kiosk has been deemed as unacceptable and is recommended for refusal.
- Whilst the current proposal does not seek to introduce advertising at this stage, the potential for advertising on the rear panel of the kiosk raises concern. The Camden Streetscape Design Manual outlines advertising guidance that resists the introduction of commercial advertising. The proposal in that respect would be unacceptable as the suggested future use of the kiosk may be more for commercial advertising rather than for the benefit of the highway user.
- The application is contrary to policies T1 (Prioritising walking, cycling and public transport) and A1 (Managing the impact of development) and Camden's Streetscape Design Manual. The application is therefore deemed unacceptable.

Highway's objects as follows:

- Camden's West End Project, which is currently out to tender and is due to start in early January, will significantly reduce the pavement width. The location is therefore not suitable.
- The telephone boxes are used to advertise anti-social activities and do not need to be enclosed to do this. This is a major issue in this area and we are working with other telecoms operators to remove and/or reduce the number of kiosks that promote this activity.
- The design can also clearly be easily adapted to facilitate advertising either fixed or digital. We would then have to spend money enforcing a non-approved advertising space.

The Council's Access Officer objects as follows:

There are a number of requirements for an accessible phone booth that need to be considered. These are all taken from BS8300 (current addition).

- A fold down seat (450mm to 520mm high) or a perch seat (650mm to 800mm high) should be provided for convenience of ambulant disabled people. Drop down arms should be provided for each seat.
- Telephone controls on accessible telephones for wheelchair users should be angled so they can be used by people when seated or when using a perch seat.
- Telephone controls should be located between 750mm and 1000mm above the floor.
- To benefit blind and partially sighted people, telephones should be selected which have well lit keypads, large embossed or raised numerals that contrasts visually with their background and a raised dot on the number '5'.
- Instructions for using telephones should be clear. They should be displayed in large easy-to-read typeface.

There should also be at least 1200mm, preferably 1800mm between the booth and any wall / guilding opposite.

The Bloomsbury Association has objected on the following grounds:

- We note that the applications are accompanied by an uncompromising letter, that no pre-application discussions have taken place with the Council's officers and that there is no Design & Access Statement.
- No consideration is given to the impact of the appearance of the kiosks from the adjoining Fitzroy Square Conservation Area when it is clear from the plan and elevation that the back and side of the kiosk (of unspecified material) may be intended to include full-height advertising of an unspecified nature.
- We note that no application for advertisement consent accompanies the applications although the kiosk is clearly designed to facilitate this.
- The Fitzrovia Area Action Plan highlights the severe deficiency in public space provision in the area. Of the existing public open space, 80% is on streets and footpaths and it is an inappropriate balance of priority that space on Tottenham Court Road should be cluttered by privately owned kiosks, serving a commercial purpose. Open space is a civic amenity to be enjoyed by all.
- On Tottenham Court Road, in particular, there are 35 existing telephone kiosks. All are unused, unmaintained, covered in graffiti and advertisements for prostitutes; larger kiosks are used by rough sleepers and those with doors by users of crack cocaine. All make an unsightly contribution to the street scene; some are also used as urinals and present a health hazard. There is no need for more of the same. We note that this is not an application to replace existing kiosks but to add more. No management or maintenance plan accompanies the application.
- Tottenham Court Road is excessively cluttered with street furniture and is subject to major public realm renewal as part of the Council's West End Project, which was approved by Cabinet on 21 January 2015. The application does not acknowledge this and we see no information submitted to explain how these proposals will be coordinated with the Council's highway, urban realm and landscape proposals for the West End Project.
- Tottenham Court Road is visually congested and has been declared the worst street in London. A video was prepared for Council Members in 2010: 'Tottenham Court Road: Great Street or Great Embarrassment?' We submit this in support of our comments. It is available at this link: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DesqbmDcyZ4
- The space outside UCLH and Warren Street Underground Station has high footfall and is particularly congested and cluttered. When HS2 and Crossrail become operational, there is expected to be a huge increase in pedestrian footfall on Tottenham Court Road. We have previously expressed concern to the Council that not enough has been done to deal with removal of existing street/pavement clutter, including the various unsightly structures that occupy the public realm. These proposals will add more clutter, further obstruct pedestrian flow and add to what is already a serious safety hazard for pedestrians.

The Association supports good quality design that will enhance Bloomsbury's streetscape, which this proposal clearly does not. With such a demonstrable breach of the Council's planning policy and of its

# Bloomsbury Association comments:

supplementary planning guidance, we look to the Council to refuse this application.

# **Site Description**

The application site comprises of an area of the footway adjacent to University College London Hospital, on the eastern side of Tottenham Court Road. There is no street furniture in the immediate vicinity of the site, although traffic bollards are located close to the site to the north and south and a street lamp is located approximately 18m to the south of the site.

The site lies within the Central London Area and is part of Transport for London's (TfL's) Road Network (TLRN). The site is close to the boundary with the Fitzroy Square Conservation Area but is not adjacent to any listed buildings.

## **Relevant History**

Site history:

None

# **Neighbouring sites:**

Land adjacent to Warren Street Underground Station, Euston Road

2017/1081/P – Installation of 1 x telephone box on the pavement. Prior Approval refused 07/04/2017

2017/1086/P – Installation of 1 x telephone box on the pavement. Prior Approval refused 06/04/2017

# Carriageway/part footway opposite Warren Street underground station adjacent to 134 Tottenham Court Road

**2009/3342/P** – Installation on carriageway (and part footway) of a cycle docking hire station including a registration/payment terminal and maximum of 29 docking points for bicycles, in connection with the Transport for London Cycle Hire Scheme. **Full planning permission granted 18/09/2009** 

#### Pavement outside 286 Euston Road

2017/2494/P - Installation of telephone kiosk on the pavement. Prior Approval refused 21/06/2017

# Pavement outside Euston Tower on western side of Hampstead Road

**2017/3527/P** – Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement. **Prior Approval under consideration** 

**2017/3542/P** – Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement. **Prior Approval under consideration** 

#### Pavement outside 250 Euston Road

**2017/3505/P –** Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement. **Prior Approval under consideration** 

2014/4607/P - Installation of a public payphone on pavement. Prior Approval refused 22/01/2015

#### Land adjacent to 250 Euston Road and corner with Hampstead Road

**2017/1774/A** – Installation of digital advertisement screen (Dimensions: 6m height x 4m width x 0.5m depth) and associated stand (Dimensions: 9.5m height x 1.3m width x 0.9m depth). **Advertisement consent under consideration** 

#### **Bus Stop Outside 250 Euston Road**

**2017/0117/A** – Display of 2x internally illuminated digital screens to bus shelter no. CAM00032AB. **Advertisement consent granted 06/03/2017** 

# **Relevant policies**

**National Planning Policy Framework (2012)** 

#### London Plan 2016

# TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance for London (2010)

#### Camden Local Plan 2017

A1 Managing the impact of development

C5 Safety and Security

C6 Access

D1 Design

D2 Heritage

G1 Delivery and location of growth

T1 Prioritising walking, cycling and public transport

## **Camden Planning Guidance**

CPG1 Design (2015)

CPG7 Transport (2011)

Camden Streetscape Design Manual

#### **Assessment**

# 1.0 Proposal

- 1.1 Confirmation is sought as to whether the installation of a telephone kiosk would require prior approval under Part 24 of Schedule 2 of the GPDO. The order permits the Council to only consider matters of siting and appearance in determining GPDO prior approval applications. The potential impact on crime and public safety are relevant considerations under siting.
- 1.2The kiosk would measure 1.32m by 1.11m with an overall height of 2.45m, and would be located on the eastern pedestrian footway along Tottenham Court Road, adjacent to University College London Hospital.
- 1.3It would have a powder coated metal frame with reinforced laminated glass on three sides, and a solar panel on the roof.

#### 2.0 Assessment

- 2.1 Policy A1 states that the Council will seek to ensure development contributes towards strong and successful communities by balancing the needs of development with the needs and characteristics of local areas and communities, and that the Council will resist development that fails to adequately assess and address transport impacts affecting communities, occupiers, neighbours and the existing transport network. Paragraph 6.10 states that the Council will expect works affecting the highway network to consider highway safety, with a focus on vulnerable road users, including the provision of adequate sightlines for vehicles, and that development should address the needs of vulnerable or disabled users. Furthermore, Policy T1 point e) states that the Council will seek to ensure that developments provide high quality footpaths and pavements that are wide enough for the number of people expected to use them, including features to assist vulnerable road users where appropriate, and paragraph 8.9 of CPG7 (Transport) highlights that footways should be wide enough for two people using wheelchairs, or prams, to pass each other.
- 2.2 Camden's Streetscape Design manual section 3.01 footway width states the following:
  - "Clear footway" is not the distance from kerb to boundary wall, but the unobstructed pathway width within the footway;
  - 1.8 metres minimum width needed for two adults passing;

- 3 metres minimum width for busy pedestrian street though greater widths are usually required;
- Keeping the footway width visually free of street furniture is also important, allowing clear sightlines along the street'.
- 2.3 All development affecting footways in Camden is also expected to comply with Appendix B of Transport for London's (TfL's) Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, which notes that active and high flow locations must provide a minimum 2.2m and 3.3m of 'clear footway width' (respectively) for the safe and comfortable movement of pedestrians.
- 2.4 Policy T1 states that the Council will promote sustainable transport choices by prioritising walking, cycling and public transport use and that development should ensure that sustainable transport will be the primary means of travel to and from the site. Policy T1 points a) and b) state that in order to promote walking in the borough and improve the pedestrian environment, the Council will seek to ensure that developments improve the pedestrian environment by supporting high quality improvement works, and make improvements to the pedestrian environment including the provision of high quality safe road crossings where needed, seating, signage and landscaping.
- 2.5 Policy T1 (Public Transport) states that where appropriate, development will be required to provide for interchanging between different modes of transport including facilities to make interchange easy and convenient for all users and maintain passenger comfort.
- 2.6 Paragraph 8.6 of CPG7 (Transport) seeks improvements to streets and spaces to ensure good quality access and circulation arrangements for all. Ensuring the following:
  - Safety of vulnerable road users, including children, elderly people and people with mobility difficulties, sight impairments and other disabilities;
  - Maximising pedestrian accessibility and minimising journey times;
  - Providing stretches of continuous public footways without public highway crossings;
  - Linking to, maintaining, extending and improving the network pedestrian pathways;
  - Providing a high quality environment in terms of appearance, design and construction, paying attention to Conservation Areas;
  - Use of paving surfaces which enhance ease of movement for vulnerable road users; and,
  - Avoiding street clutter and minimising the risk of pedestrian routes being obstructed or narrowed e.g. by pavement parking or by street furniture.
- 2.7 Policy C5 requires development to contribute to community safety and security, and paragraph 4.89 of Policy C5 states that the design of streets needs to be accessible, safe and uncluttered, with careful consideration given to the design and location of any street furniture or equipment. Paragraphs 9.26 and 9.27 of CPG1 (Design) advise that the proposed placement of a new phone kiosk needs to be considered to ensure that it has a limited impact on the sightlines of the footway, and that the size of the kiosk should be minimised to limit its impact on the streetscene and to decrease opportunities for crime and anti-social behaviour.

#### 3.0 Siting

- 3.1 The application site is located on a pavement measuring roughly 8.0m wide. This area of the footway experiences extremely high pedestrian flows, particularly at peak times due to location opposite Warren Street Underground Station.
- 3.2 Section 3.01 of Camden's Streetscape Design Manual requires a minimum unobstructed pathway width within the footway, known as the 'clear footway'. This guidance and Appendix B of TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, outlines the recommended minimum footway widths for different levels of pedestrian flows.
- 3.3The footprint of the proposed telephone kiosk measures 1.32m by 1.11m. Detailed design drawings that include the orientation and exact proposed positioning of the new telephone kiosk on

the pavement have not been submitted and so it is unclear as to how wide the 'clear footway' width would be once the proposed telephone kiosk has been installed. However, Camden's Streetscape Design Manual section 4.01, together with TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, states that street furniture should be placed a minimum of 0.45m back from the carriageway, therefore the proposal would result in the loss of a minimum of 1.8m of the footway.

- 3.4 Policy A1 emphasises that it is important that development balances the needs of development with the needs and characteristics of local areas and communities and ties into the existing transport network. Given there are already nine existing public telephones located between 32m and 136m of the site, there is not considered to be any benefit to highway users from this proposal. It is considered that the loss of any of the clear footway, would reduce pedestrian comfort, may lead to the discouragement of sustainable travel, and could have an impact on highway safety through interfering with signals, visual obstructions, visibility splays and leading to overcrowding. As such, the proposal would be contrary to Policies A1 and T1 and is considered unacceptable.
- 3.5 There are three existing telephone kiosks on the southern side of Euston Road approximately 52m to the north-west of the site; two existing telephone kiosks approximately 32m south of the site on the western side of Tottenham Court Road; and four further existing telephone kiosks approximately 136m to the south of the site on the eastern and western sides of Tottenham Court Road (two on each side). No justification has been submitted for the need to install a further one. Given the infrequent use of telephone kiosks due to the prevalence of mobile phone use, it is considered that the proposed telephone kiosk would act only as a hindrance to pedestrian movement, adding further clutter to the streetscene rather than providing a public service for the benefit of highways users, contrary to Policy A1.
- 3.6 The application site is situated within the area of the proposed West End Project, a public realm improvement scheme to reduce street clutter along Tottenham Court Road. In accordance with Policy T1 points a) and b), the scheme aims to create a high quality place and improve pedestrian comfort and increase the safety of vulnerable road users through providing additional space for walking and cycling. The installation of a new telephone kiosk in this location would add further street clutter to the streetscene, contrary to the aims of the scheme, and the resulting reduction in the footway width may have a detrimental impact on pedestrian movement and discourage active travel. The siting of the proposal is therefore considered to be unacceptable and contrary to Policy T1.

# 4.0 Design and Appearance

- 4.1 Policy D1 aims to ensure the highest design standards for developments. Policy D1 states that the Council will require all developments to be of the highest standard of design and to respect the character, setting, form and scale of neighbouring buildings, its contribution to the public realm, and its impact on wider views and vistas. Policy D2 states that within conservation areas, the Council will only grant permission for development that 'preserves and enhances' its established character and appearance, and that the Council will resist development that would cause harm to the significance of a listed building through an effect on its setting.
- 4.2This section of the footway is particularly clear of street furniture and it is considered that the introduction of a new telephone kiosk to this section of footway would severely degrade the visual amenity of the area through the creation of further unnecessary street clutter. Furthermore, due to its proposed location approximately 32m from two existing telephone kiosks, it is considered that the proposed development would add to the over-proliferation of such structures and severely degrade the visual amenity of the area through the creation of further unnecessary street clutter. Whilst not within a conservation area, the site does is very close to the boundary with the Fitzroy Square Conservation designated area. The protection of the visual amenity both within and close to the boundary is considered to be very important. The detrimental impact on the streetscene would therefore also harm the character and appearance of the neighbouring conservation area.
- 4.3 The proposed structure is considered to be a very poor design in terms of size, scale, massing and

proposed materials, and is not an appropriate or acceptable addition in this location. It would be an obtrusive piece of street furniture in this location detracting from the streetscene. The powder coated metal frame and reinforced laminated glass incongruous design would provide an intrusive addition to the street. Consequently, the proposed kiosk would result in a significant harm to the wider streetscene and neighbouring conservation area. As such, the proposal would fail to adhere to Policies D1 and D2.

- 4.4The National Planning Policy Framework (The Framework) says that heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource and that they should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance. In this case there would be harm but it is considered that this would be less than substantial harm. In these circumstances the harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposals. As there are already two existing kiosks within close proximity of the site there is not considered to be any public benefit from the provision of another kiosk in this location
- 4.5 Policy C6 requires new buildings, spaces and facilities that the public may use to be fully accessible to promote equality of opportunity. Although the proposed kiosk would allow for wheelchair users to 'access' the kiosk, this does not amount to the provision of a wheelchair accessible phone. The Council's Access Officer has highlighted that there are a number of requirements which need to be considered for an accessible phone booth, including the height of the telephone controls, which should be located between 0.75m and 1.0m above the floor. The telephone controls in the proposed kiosk would be located at a height of 1.5m above the floor, and so the proposed kiosk is considered unacceptable in terms of providing access for all, contrary to Policy C6.

#### 5.0 Anti-social behaviour

5.1 With regards to community safety matters, a number of issues have been raised by the Metropolitan Police Crime Prevention Design Advisor. In particular it has been noted that the siting of the proposal on a busy section of the footway outside Warren Street Underground Station would further add to street clutter and safety issues in terms of crime and anti-social behaviour, through reducing sight lines and casual surveillance in the area, and providing a potential opportunity for an offender to loiter, contrary to Policy C5 and CPG1 (Design).

#### 6.0 Conclusion

6.1 The proposal would result in unacceptable street clutter, harmful to the character and appearance of the streetscape, the neighbouring conservation area and to the detriment of pedestrian flows. The proposal, by virtue of its siting and appearance, is considered unacceptable.

#### 7.0 Recommendation

7.1 Refuse Prior Approval