Delegated Repor	Ort Analysis sheet		piry Date:	03/08/2017					
	N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:						
Officer		Application Numb							
Charlotte Meynell		2017/3449/P							
Application Address		Drawing Numbers	3						
Pavement outside Premier Euston Road London WC1H 9AA	Refer to draft decision notice								
PO 3/4 Area Team	Signature C&UD	Authorised Office	r Signature						
Proposal(s)									
Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement.									
Recommendation(s): Prior Approval Required – Approval Refused									
Application Type:	GPDO Prior Approval Determination								

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Consultations Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00			
Summary of consultation responses:	A site notice was displayed on 05/07/2017 and expired on 26/07/2017. No responses were received from neighbours. Metropolitan Police – Designing Out Crime Officer objects on the following grounds: • The proposed telephone kiosk may be abused for the purposes of crime and anti-social behaviour (ASB) purposes, such as drugs; • There are a large number of CCTV cameras in the area and this kiosk may obstruct this surveillance; • Telephone kiosks in this area have been used to advertise prostitute services. TfL objects on the following grounds: • The site is on the TLRN for which TfL is the highway authority and as such we are concerned about any proposal which could impact on the safety and capacity of the public carriageway and/or footway. TfL is also responsible for planning and securing the operation of bus services in the capital and most other public transport. In addition it plans and operates the cycle hire scheme and Cycle Superhighways, Quietways and other cycle routes. We are concerned about impacts on these transport services and infrastructure. • The submission is lacking in detail such that it is difficult to assess the acceptability of the kiosks in terms of siting and appearance. There is no indication as to the orientation of the kiosk. • TfL would expect the siting of any kiosk to comply with our Streetscape design guidelines. The covering letter submitted suggests this is the case and there is at least 3.3m clear footway width (excluding any private forecourt) and there is a minimum of 0.45m set back from the carriageway. However it is not possible to check this and nor is it clear whether any account is taken of trees, street furniture etc. On this basis TfL would object to prior approval being given on the grounds of failure to demonstrate that the siting meets safety and comfort guidance. • TfL reminds the applicant and Council that the London Plan favours decluttering and simplifying the streetscape wherever possible (see policy 6.10) and this is also prioritised in TfL Streetscape G								

principle of siting new phone kiosks in these parts of Camden.

Transport Strategy object as follows:

- The Council is committed to improving the public realm and pedestrian environment in order to encourage more sustainable travel. Camden are currently involved in a number of infrastructure schemes within the borough that seek to improve the streetscape and as part of that commitment, the Council will be reducing the amount of street clutter in order to create a high quality place that is inviting for pedestrians. The proposed kiosk would be located within the area of the committed Euston Road scheme to improve the public realm, and as such is deemed unacceptable.
- The location of the proposed kiosk is beyond the existing street furniture zone, and as such would create an obstruction which would reduce the permeability of the environment and hinder pedestrian movement and desire lines, contrary to point c) of Policy T1. A reduction in pedestrian comfort can result in a less inviting environment and could in turn discourage active travel, and is therefore unacceptable.
- The proposed kiosk is located within an area which experiences high flows of pedestrian movement, and the minimum footway width should comply with the 'clear footway widths' as set out in TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance document.
- The proposed telephone kiosk fails to tie in with the existing transport network contrary to Policy A1 point c), as the kiosk would visually obstruct existing signals. The application is therefore deemed as unacceptable and should be refused.
- Development wishing to alter the existing layout of the public highway must design for Camden's road hierarchy giving pedestrians and cyclists priority above all other users. Any introduction of unnecessary street furniture and thus the removal of a permeable pedestrian environment, is seen to have a detrimental effect on pedestrian movement, specifically for vulnerable road users. Interrupting continuous stretches of public footways and increasing pedestrian journey time is unacceptable. With respect to the above points the proposed telephone kiosk has been deemed as unacceptable and is recommended for refusal.
- Whilst the current proposal does not seek to introduce advertising at this stage, the potential for advertising on the rear panel of the kiosk raises concern. The Camden Streetscape Design Manual outlines advertising guidance that resists the introduction of commercial advertising. The proposal in that respect would be unacceptable as the suggested future use of the kiosk may be more for commercial advertising rather than for the benefit of the highway user.
- The application is contrary to policies T1 (Prioritising walking, cycling and public transport) and A1 (Managing the impact of development), Camden's Streetscape Design Manual. The application site is also situated within the area of a committed public realm improvement scheme, which aims to reduce street clutter. The application is therefore deemed unacceptable.

The Council's Access Officer objects as follows:

There are a number of requirements for an accessible phone booth that need to be considered. These are all taken from BS8300 (current addition).

 A fold down seat (450mm to 520mm high) or a perch seat (650mm to 800mm high) should be provided for convenience of ambulant disabled people. Drop down arms should be provided for each seat.

- Telephone controls on accessible telephones for wheelchair users should be angled so they can be used by people when seated or when using a perch seat.
- Telephone controls should be located between 750mm and 1000mm above the floor.
- To benefit blind and partially sighted people, telephones should be selected which have well lit keypads, large embossed or raised numerals that contrasts visually with their background and a raised dot on the number '5'.
- Instructions for using telephones should be clear. They should be displayed in large easy-to-read typeface.

There should also be at least 1200, preferably 1800mm between the booth and any wall / guilding opposite.

Site Description

The application site comprises of an area of the footway adjacent to the Premier Inn Hotel and Somerton House, on the south-eastern side of Euston Road. A street lamp and a wheelchair accessible telephone kiosk are located approximately 10m to the north-east of the site along this side of Euston Road, and a pedestrian crossing is located approximately 20m to the south-west of the site along this side of Euston Road. A bus lane runs alongside the northern side of the site.

The site is part of Transport for London's (TfL's) Road Network (TLRN). The site does not fall within a conservation area and is not adjacent to any listed buildings.

Relevant History

Site history:

None

Neighbouring sites:

Outside 137-139 Euston Road

2017/1091/P – Installation of 1 x telephone box on pavement. Prior Approval refused 05/04/2017 2009/1170/P – Installation of a telephone kiosk on the public highway. Prior Approval refused 22/05/2009; Appeal dismissed 04/05/2010

Bus-Stop Outside 135 Euston Road

2017/0280/A – Display of 2x internally illuminated digital screens to bus shelter no. CAM00108AB. Advertisement consent granted 07/03/2017

Land adjacent to Unison Centre, 130 Euston Road

2017/1195/P – Installation of 1 x telephone box on the pavement. Prior Approval refused 06/04/2017

2017/2705/P – Erection of a freestanding BT panel providing phone and Wi-Fi facilities with 2 x internally illuminated digital advertisement. **Full planning application under consideration**

Pavement outside 100-110 Euston Road

2017/1022/P – Installation of 1 x telephone box on pavement. Prior Approval refused 05/04/2017 2017/3507/P – Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement. Prior Approval under consideration

2017/3524/P – Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement. **Prior Approval under consideration**

Land adjacent to 110-118 Euston Road and junction with Mabledon Place

2017/1775/A – Installation of digital advertisement screen (Dimensions: 6m height x 4m width x 0.5m depth) and associated stand (Dimensions: 10.3m height x 0.9m width x 0.9m depth). **Advertisement consent application under consideration**

Relevant policies

National Planning Policy Framework (2012)

London Plan 2016

TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance for London (2010)

Camden Local Plan 2017

- A1 Managing the impact of development
- C5 Safety and Security
- C6 Access
- D1 Design
- D2 Heritage
- G1 Delivery and location of growth
- T1 Prioritising walking, cycling and public transport

Camden Planning Guidance

CPG1 Design (2015) CPG7 Transport (2011)

Camden Streetscape Design Manual

Assessment

1.0 Proposal

- 1.1 Confirmation is sought as to whether the installation of a telephone kiosk would require prior approval under Part 24 of Schedule 2 of the GPDO. The order permits the Council to only consider matters of siting and appearance in determining GPDO prior approval applications. The potential impact on crime and public safety are relevant considerations under siting.
- 1.2The kiosk would measure 1.32m by 1.11m with an overall height of 2.45m, and would be located on the south-eastern pedestrian footway along Euston Road, adjacent to the Premier Inn Hotel and Somerton House.
- 1.3It would have a powder coated metal frame with reinforced laminated glass on three sides, and a solar panel on the roof.

2.0 Assessment

- 2.1 Policy A1 states that the Council will seek to ensure development contributes towards strong and successful communities by balancing the needs of development with the needs and characteristics of local areas and communities, and that the Council will resist development that fails to adequately assess and address transport impacts affecting communities, occupiers, neighbours and the existing transport network. Paragraph 6.10 states that the Council will expect works affecting the highway network to consider highway safety, with a focus on vulnerable road users, including the provision of adequate sightlines for vehicles, and that development should address the needs of vulnerable or disabled users. Furthermore, Policy T1 point e) states that the Council will seek to ensure that developments provide high quality footpaths and pavements that are wide enough for the number of people expected to use them, including features to assist vulnerable road users where appropriate, and paragraph 8.9 of CPG7 (Transport) highlights that footways should be wide enough for two people using wheelchairs, or prams, to pass each other.
- 2.2 Camden's Streetscape Design manual section 3.01 footway width states the following:
 - "Clear footway" is not the distance from kerb to boundary wall, but the unobstructed pathway width within the footway;
 - 1.8 metres minimum width needed for two adults passing;
 - 3 metres minimum width for busy pedestrian street though greater widths are usually required;
 - Keeping the footway width visually free of street furniture is also important, allowing clear sightlines along the street'.
- 2.3All development affecting footways in Camden is also expected to comply with Appendix B of Transport for London's (TfL's) Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, which notes that active and high flow locations must provide a minimum 2.2m and 3.3m of 'clear footway width' (respectively) for the safe and comfortable movement of pedestrians.
- 2.4 Policy T1 states that the Council will promote sustainable transport choices by prioritising walking, cycling and public transport use and that development should ensure that sustainable transport will be the primary means of travel to and from the site. Policy T1 points a) and b) state that in order to promote walking in the borough and improve the pedestrian environment, the Council will seek to ensure that developments improve the pedestrian environment by supporting high quality

improvement works, and make improvements to the pedestrian environment including the provision of high quality safe road crossings where needed, seating, signage and landscaping.

- 2.5 Policy T1 (Public Transport) states that where appropriate, development will be required to provide for interchanging between different modes of transport including facilities to make interchange easy and convenient for all users and maintain passenger comfort.
- 2.6 Paragraph 8.6 of CPG7 (Transport) seeks improvements to streets and spaces to ensure good quality access and circulation arrangements for all. Ensuring the following:
 - Safety of vulnerable road users, including children, elderly people and people with mobility difficulties, sight impairments and other disabilities;
 - Maximising pedestrian accessibility and minimising journey times;
 - Providing stretches of continuous public footways without public highway crossings;
 - Linking to, maintaining, extending and improving the network pedestrian pathways;
 - Providing a high quality environment in terms of appearance, design and construction, paying attention to Conservation Areas;
 - Use of paving surfaces which enhance ease of movement for vulnerable road users; and,
 - Avoiding street clutter and minimising the risk of pedestrian routes being obstructed or narrowed e.g. by pavement parking or by street furniture.
- 2.7 Policy C5 requires development to contribute to community safety and security, and paragraph 4.89 of Policy C5 states that the design of streets needs to be accessible, safe and uncluttered, with careful consideration given to the design and location of any street furniture or equipment. Paragraphs 9.26 and 9.27 of CPG1 (Design) advise that the proposed placement of a new phone kiosk needs to be considered to ensure that it has a limited impact on the sightlines of the footway, and that the size of the kiosk should be minimised to limit its impact on the streetscene and to decrease opportunities for crime and anti-social behaviour.

3.0 Siting

- 3.1 The application site is located on a pavement measuring roughly 5.6m wide. This area of the footway experiences extremely high pedestrian flows, particularly at peak times.
- 3.2 Section 3.01 of Camden's Streetscape Design Manual requires a minimum unobstructed pathway width within the footway, known as the 'clear footway'. This guidance and Appendix B of TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, outlines the recommended minimum footway widths for different levels of pedestrian flows.
- 3.3 The footprint of the proposed telephone kiosk measures 1.32m by 1.11m. Detailed design drawings that include the orientation and exact proposed positioning of the new telephone kiosk on the pavement have not been submitted and so it is unclear as to how wide the 'clear footway' width would be once the proposed telephone kiosk has been installed. However, Camden's Streetscape Design Manual section 4.01, together with TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, states that street furniture should be placed a minimum of 0.45m back from the carriageway, therefore the proposal would result in the loss of a minimum of 1.8m of the footway.
- 3.4 Policy A1 emphasises that it is important that development balances the needs of development with the needs and characteristics of local areas and communities and ties into the existing transport network. Given there are already seven existing telephone kiosks located within 100m of the site, including two wheelchair accessible kiosks, there is not considered to be any benefit to highway users from this proposal. It is considered that the loss of any of the clear footway, would reduce pedestrian comfort, may lead to the discouragement of sustainable travel, and could have an impact on highway safety through interfering with signals, visual obstructions, visibility splays and leading to overcrowding. As such, the proposal would be contrary to Policies A1 and T1 and is considered unacceptable.

- 3.5 There is one existing wheelchair accessible telephone kiosk on the southern side of Euston Road adjacent to the site, one existing wheelchair accessible telephone kiosk opposite the site at the junction with Euston Road and Churchway, two existing telephone kiosks on the southern side of Euston Road approximately 53m south-west of the site, and three existing telephone kiosks on the northern side of Euston Road approximately 100m to the north-east of the site. No justification has been submitted for the need to install a further one. Given the infrequent use of telephone kiosks due to the prevalence of mobile phone use, it is considered that the proposed telephone kiosk would act only as a hindrance to pedestrian movement, adding further clutter to the streetscene rather than providing a public service for the benefit of highways users, contrary to Policy A1.
- 3.6 The proposed scheme to reconfigure Euston Road is within the vicinity of the site. In accordance with Policy T1 points a) and b), the scheme aims to create a high quality place and improve pedestrian comfort and increase the safety of vulnerable road users through providing additional space for walking and cycling. The installation of a new telephone kiosk in this location would add further street clutter to the streetscene, contrary to the aims of the committed scheme, and the resulting reduction in the footway width may have a detrimental impact on pedestrian movement and discourage active travel. The siting of the proposal is therefore considered to be unacceptable and contrary to Policy T1.

4.0 Design and Appearance

- 4.1 Policy D1 aims to ensure the highest design standards for developments. Policy D1 states that the Council will require all developments to be of the highest standard of design and to respect the character, setting, form and scale of neighbouring buildings, its contribution to the public realm, and its impact on wider views and vistas.
- 4.2The street furniture that presently exists on this section of the footway comprises necessary elements such as street lamps which enhance the visual amenity of the area. It is considered that the introduction of a new telephone kiosk to this relatively clear section of footway would severely degrade the visual amenity of the area through the creation of further unnecessary street clutter. Furthermore, due to its proposed location adjacent to an existing telephone kiosk and within 100m of six further existing telephone kiosks, it is considered that the proposed development would add to the over-proliferation of such structures and severely degrade the visual amenity of the area through the creation of further unnecessary street clutter.
- 4.3 The proposed structure is considered to be a very poor design in terms of size, scale, massing and proposed materials, and is not an appropriate or acceptable addition in this location. It would be an obtrusive piece of street furniture in this location detracting from the streetscene. The powder coated metal frame and reinforced laminated glass incongruous design would provide an intrusive addition to the street. Consequently, the proposed kiosk would result in a significant harm to the wider streetscene. As such, the proposal would fail to adhere to Policy D1.
- 4.4 Policy C6 requires new buildings, spaces and facilities that the public may use to be fully accessible to promote equality of opportunity. Although the proposed kiosk would allow for wheelchair users to 'access' the kiosk, this does not amount to the provision of a wheelchair accessible phone. The Council's Access Officer has highlighted that there are a number of requirements which need to be considered for an accessible phone booth, including the height of the telephone controls, which should be located between 0.75m and 1.0m above the floor. The telephone controls in the proposed kiosk would be located at a height of 1.5m above the floor, and so the proposed kiosk is considered unacceptable in terms of providing access for all, contrary to Policy C6.

5.0 Anti-social behaviour

5.1 With regards to community safety matters, a number of issues have been raised by the Metropolitan Police Crime Prevention Design Advisor. In particular it has been noted that the siting of the proposal adjacent to an existing telephone kiosk would further add to street clutter and safety issues in terms of crime and anti-social behaviour, through reducing sight lines and casual surveillance in the area, and providing a potential opportunity for an offender to loiter, contrary to Policy C5 and CPG1 (Design).

6.0 Conclusion

6.1 The proposal would result in unacceptable street clutter, harmful to the character and appearance of the streetscape and to the detriment of pedestrian flows. The proposal, by virtue of its siting and appearance, is considered unacceptable.

7.0 Recommendation

7.1 Refuse Prior Approval