

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/6788/P	William Brilliant	41B Leverton St London NW5 2PE	25/01/2018 07:37:48	OBJ	<p>I own 41B Leverton St.</p> <p>I object to the proposed development on the basis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 The proposed extension and additional windows in this will directly overlook my property and result in a significant loss of privacy. Currently no one in any other buildings can see through the rear windows of our property. The development completely changes this, with windows overlooking our rear windows, external terrace and garden, immediately adjacent to our rear boundary. We understand the intention is for 100 office workers to be placed in this part of the building which does directly overlook us. 2. The increase to the height of the parapet will result in a loss of visual amenity. <p>In addition we refer to the objections of Mr W Kurmar's report registered 15 Jan 2018 (those these objections should not be considered as a substitute for the points set out above).</p>
2017/6788/P	Graham Williams	3 Railey Mews London NW5 2PA	24/01/2018 22:03:49	OBJ	<p>This feels like Groundhog Day.</p> <p>In previously iterations of redevelopment plans it was recognised that the main entrance for users of the site must be Fortress Grove as it has been historically.</p> <p>Fortess Grove is more commercial in nature, whilst Railey Mews is quiet and far more residential in character. The plans indicate that Railey Mews will be the main entrance for new users of the building (it is one building?!) whether they are being dropped off by car, cycling or arriving by foot. This is nonsensical considering Fortress Grove's proximity to the main road - Fortress Road.</p> <p>It also appears that the Mews will used as the waste pick up and deliveries point too.</p> <p>Together these big deviations to previous plans would impact significantly on my enjoyment of the Mews and my property, making it busy and noisy for extended periods of the day. Its very unclosed here, the Mews runs close to my front windows and so my privacy would be impacted negatively by all the new footfall, cyclists and vehicles serving the development.</p> <p>As stated in a previous comment to another application. Any roof lights facing the direction of my rear elevation (of 3 Railey Mews) or similar must be opaque or made of a material that is not 'see through' or omit light.</p> <p>I'm not convinced that building upwards in a conservation area should be allowed either. Any upward building should be in keeping with the surrounding buildings, not affect light/sun or overlook other properties.</p> <p>With the regard the building being used to support employment in the Borough, this is positive. However, how to integrate this into the area needs to be far better thought through.</p>