

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/6921/P	Mr Phil Peters	9 Gayton Road NW3 1TX NW3 1TX	22/01/2018 13:36:30	JUST	I agree with most of what is shown in the revised drawings but remain concerned about the reinstatement/renewal of the original rudimentary railing. If there is no access from the house to the roof top and no staircase then there is no reason to have this railing there at all. I live in an identical house (number 9) and have no railings on my flat roof. If the principle of not having a roof terraces has already been established then there is no justification for reinstatement of the original railing.
2017/6921/P	Elliott Forsyth	33 Spencer Walk Hampstead London NW31QZ	19/01/2018 09:11:32	COMMEM AIL	I have read the latest planning application 2017/6921/P submitted on the 15th December 2017 and the revised drawings submitted on 15th January 2018. The proposed "reinstatement of original style railing" just seems like another attempt to keep a roof terrace that can serve no purpose but to the detriment to those in the surrounding properties. If this rooftop is used as a terrace my privacy and others will still be intruded upon. My question is this. Previous applications by both adjacent neighbours to 5 Gayton Road have been refused by the Council numerous times going back to 2002. Why have a new railing around a roof terrace that was never permitted in the first place. And one that cannot be accessed to without stairs without some other type of motive that can only benefit the owners of number 5 Gayton Road and no one else
2017/6921/P	Robin Woolfson	Chair Gayton Residents' Association 12 Gayton Road London NW3 1TX	21/01/2018 23:01:33	OBJEMAI L	<p>This response is made on behalf of Gayton Resident's Association.</p> <p>In this revised application, the owner has now conceded to some of the issues raised by Camden Planning in its rejection of the earlier application. One key aspect of this rejection by Camden Planning was the adverse effect of the planned rooftop terrace which would cause a serious loss of amenities for neighbours, particularly overlooking and privacy. Previous applications by neighbours for similar rooftop terrace developments have also been rejected for similar reasons.</p> <p>It is a significant concern that the owner is now requesting to re-instate the rudimentary rail which was previously around the rooftop. There will no longer be access to the rooftop, so this is obviously not required. We request that Camden Planning rejects the re-instatement of this rail since it is likely to tempt future owners to develop a rooftop terrace, either with or without planning permission. Such rooftop terrace development would go against previous decisions by Camden Planning.</p> <p>External building developments in the Hampstead Conservation Area are subject to planning permission although some are undertaken without permission, either through thoughtlessness or mischief. Consistent planning decisions help neighbours who are adversely affected by non-permitted developments to have these reversed.</p>