

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/6656/P	Mrs Joy Palmer	90A Savernake Road London NW3 2JR	10/01/2018 18:48:03	COMNOT	<p>As the leaseholder of the flat on the ground floor of the property I have a particular interest in the impact of the design of the walkway balustrade and roof balustrade. The rear of the garden plot was unusually sold under the RTB scheme in 1981 and forms part of the demise of the upper flat which is now located on the 1st ,2nd and 3rd floors . The plan submitted shows the view of the 2 balustrades which run the entire length of my demised garden . My concern is in not having an intrusive and overbearing structures which limits loss of light and overshadowing to my garden and in turn loss of light into my ground floor flat. I appreciate that K2 building regulations have to be met but surely this could be achieved by using other materials which would allow a greater degree of light from the East into my garden?.The plan also refers to proposed timber cladding to be attached the existing metal balustrade on the roof terrace. Planning permission has already been granted to erect a room on the the roof terrace , cladding the remaining balustrade will completely block any light to my garden and adjacent room in my flat. The plan refers to an under stair storage area, yet the the Design and Access statement submitted with approved application 2016/144P stated that the use of the garden room situated in the demised garden would be the same as the one that was there before i.e. for "storage and a summer house" this being the case , I fail to comprehend the necessity for the extent of this additional understair storage which has been extended beyond the boundary line. The notice I received dated 30th November 2017 from MAP Architects included a proposal for permission for garden room "as built" . No apparent mention is made of the garden room on this application so I am unaware of the outcome of the situation regarding the garden room. However for the record I would like to state that the replacement garden room has resulted in a unneighbourly building. It is overly intrusive and overbearing. The height of the garden room causes loss of outlook to Parliament Hill, Since submitting the original application a chimney for a wood burning stove has been included in the design and installed in the garden room. While I would comment that this is a little unusual for a building proposed as a summer house, I would point out the negative impact upon the environment particularly in a inner city borough such as Camden not forgetting the immediate impact given the close proximity of neighbours.</p>