					Printed on: 23/01/2018 09:10	0:03
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:	
2017/6322/P	P. Zekaria pp S. Zekaria	Flat 14 55-57 Holmes Road London NW5 3AN	03/01/2018 20:17:49	OBJEMAI	Is this Application valid? I have made a complaint regarding this Application because there was no statutory notification to the residents. If I had not seen this application in Planning Alerts (which I have sent by email from Camden), NO-ONE would have known of this application.  The residents were not notified. I reported this to the Case Officer, Kristina Smith who told me that this failure to notify residents, etc. would be investigated. I am awaiting the results of her investigation.  I would also add that this was the second of two applications issued by Camden, The first application had erroneous dates (reported by me) and another was issued by Camden.  Re: the application itself  a)The freeholder/developer, after a lengthy investigation, managed to obtain planning permission for an additional 4th floor, four years ago.  He subsequently built an apartment (with lift) which extends the whole area of the fourth floor. This apartment has been empty and unused since planning permission was granted in 2013. What is the purpose of this new application then? ) Does the Council have any powers regarding empty properties?  It begs the question – if the freeholder had applied in the original planning application for a five storey apartment building at 55-57 Holmes Road, would permission have been granted?  It appears that he is obtaining permission by "stealth" – thereby gradually increasing the height and width of his property by incremental applications which has a detrimental effect on people's amenities. To what end? Surely it cannot be right to grant him another planning permission when the space has never been utilised.  There is the possibility of loss of light in late summer which must be considered as detrimental to the other apartments. There is also the negative impact that yet another floor would have on the original three-storey building.	