



Dear Mr Marfleet,

Thank you for your email.

I have reviewed the amended plans, and strongly object to the entire proposal.

The plans are simply an addition to the original scheme, all of which have already been put in place without permission, and all of which I have already objected to.

The plans allow the property to go higher, and wider.

The additional height affects my outlook, and light quite substantially. I cannot now see over the property, like I could before. This is a major issue for me.

The roof lights are I believe illegal for a conservation area and are certainly an eyesore.

The extra width and depth of the property, caused by added cladding affect the access to the mews, narrowing still further the width of the road, and could cause emergency vehicles, like ambulances and fire engines further issues coming down into the mews.

The windows of the property are different from the original plans, and are bigger still than planned for.

The depth of the property inside is far deeper than originally planned for, and will effect groundwater and foundations of properties surrounding the site. Surely an investigation must be carried out on this basis alone.

I believe the Council should reject all amendments and insist the owner sticks to the original granted planning permission. Otherwise, you will cause a prescedent whereby anybody can ignore planning rules, do what they want, and then get the amendments agreed to in a retrospective planning application. This of course would be an absolute nonsense.

I therefore trust that the Council will refuse this planning amendment and take the action necessary to return the property back to how it should have been.

Yours sincerely,

Jonathan Crown

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail

Get the new AOL app: mail.mobile.aol.com





