

---

**From:** Ted Holden [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 10 January 2018 00:28  
**To:** Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea  
**Cc:** Mari Matsuda  
**Subject:** Re: RE: Fw: Comments on 2017/4680/P have been received by the council.

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Nora,

Thank you for your time last week and the attachments below. After our call, I realised that I was looking at the 28 Nov plans, not the 14 Dec plans (especially this [one](#) and this [one](#)). I see the two revisions you referenced -

- a reduction in width and depth of the proposed extension; and
- removal of the 1F balcony, balustrade, and glazed screen.

Thank you also for the iPad maps.

A one meter reduction in depth does not change the fact that the extension will provide the occupants a direct view into 2B's ground floor living room and dining area and into 2B's basement floor master bedroom and patio. You called this view 'oblique', but by a reasonable standard it is a direct view into our property. Balconies would for obvious reasons only worsen the loss of privacy, and they should not be permitted on either floor. We also request that the windows on the rear of the extension be frosted.

Kind regards,  
Edward & Mari Holden

