

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3814/P	Margaret Welbank	88B Mansfield Road	13/12/2017 22:40:55	OBJEMAI L	<p>We object to this development as a roof terrace will overlook our garden (the main rear garden belongs to us) and cause a loss of amenity and privacy to us and also neighbouring properties. The roof terrace would now be one floor above the garden and very oppressive.</p> <p>I had understood that new roof terraces are not usually agreed because of the overlooking problem.</p> <p>There are few roof terraces at first-floor level in the area so it will change the character of the area, and it is not a good precedent that large extensions at ground floor level are allowed and are then susceptible to being developed with roof terraces.</p> <p>I'm concerned that people who had objections to the previous application at this site, and explained how it would damage their privacy, were not notified of the new application which would affect their privacy even more. The only notification was a label on a streetlamp.</p>
2017/3814/P	Andrew Taylor	Chalet Cannelle Suvay 74360	20/12/2017 10:04:28	OBJ	<p>I have reviewed the revised plans and believe this planning is detrimental to the other leaseholders within the property.</p> <p>For flat 1: There is reduced light and loss of privacy. The extension of the ground floor flat was not built with a roof terrace in mind and is possibly not structurally sound for this development. The development would also impact this flat in terms of noise and mess and they have young children to consider.</p> <p>For flat 3: It ruins the outlook and view to the garden.</p> <p>I believe this development would increase the value of flat 2 and reduce the value of flat 1 and 3. As 50% freeholder, I will not be given permission for the works to go ahead and this is required as per the lease agreement of flat 2</p> <p>On a side note, I was not informed of this change in plans officially, and I don't believe the other neighbours and those who raised objections previously have been either hence few comments have been noted</p>