

From: Thorpe, Emma
Sent: 20 December 2017 11:30
To: Hazelton, Laura
Cc: Field, Jeff
Subject: 2017/1047/P; 76 Fitzjohn's Avenue

Hello Laura,

Thank you for sending us Campbell Reith's updated comments. Most of the information they have requested has already been provided. I provide a summary of the applicant's response below...

Can you please advise when Campbell Reith will be reviewing this and issuing their sign-off?

Query number	Query	Applicant response
2	Connection between head of underpinning and ground floor slab to be proved by calculation to demonstrate adequacy for transmitting shear force between underpinning and prop. Or underpinning wall to be designed as an unpropped cantilever.	This has already been provided on Drawings 110 & 111, both P2 which show the top of the RC Wall/Underpin at ground level.
4	Details of ground movement assessment are required, such as parameters used in the calculation as discussed in paragraph 4.8.	Please see attached reports.
9	Movement monitoring values are to be linked to values calculated in the ground movement assessment to ensure the calculated Burland damage category is not exceeded.	This has already been provided in Section 11 of the CMS (re attached for reference).
12	Evidence that the area of impermeable area is not increasing is required, given that the lightwells are impermeable. Details of SUDs are required should the impermeable area be increasing.	This has already been provided and considers the increase as a result of the lightwells. See section 6 of the CMS and Applicant's response of 25 September 2017 (both reattached for reference).

Thank you,
Emma

Emma Thorpe
Graduate Planning Consultant - Planning, Development & Heritage
JLL
30 Warwick Street, London W1B 5NH