

# BS 5837 Arboricultural Report

Impact Assessment & Method Statement



at

**Rochester Square Spiritualist Temple  
Rochester Square  
London  
NW1 9HU/9RY**

**Dated  
6<sup>th</sup> November 2017**



**CROWN  
Consultants**

Tree consultants throughout England and Wales



# Contents

|            |  |           |
|------------|--|-----------|
| <b>1.</b>  | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>3</b>  |
| 1.1.       | Instruction  | 3         |
| 1.2.       | Scope and Purpose of the Report                            | 3         |
| 1.3.       | References   | 3         |
| 1.4.       | Drawings   | 3         |
| <b>2.</b>  | <b>Site Overview</b>                                       | <b>4</b>  |
| 2.1.       | Coordinates  | 4         |
| 2.2.       | Brief Description  | 4         |
| 2.3.       | Survey Extent  | 4         |
| <b>3.</b>  | <b>Tree Survey and Data Schedule</b>                       | <b>5</b>  |
| 3.1.       | Survey Details   | 5         |
| 3.2.       | Data Schedule  | 5         |
| 3.3.       | RPA calculation - Single Stems & Multiple Stems            | 5         |
| <b>4.</b>  | <b>Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)</b>      | <b>6</b>  |
| 4.1.       | Preliminary Management Recommendations                     | 6         |
| 4.2.       | Future Inspections   | 6         |
| 4.3.       | Tree Protection Status – Site Specific                     | 6         |
| 4.4.       | Tree Protection – General Notes                            | 6         |
| 4.5.       | Species Present – Additional Information                   | 7         |
| <b>5.</b>  | <b>Arboricultural Impact Assessment</b>                    | <b>8</b>  |
| 5.1.       | Overview   | 8         |
| 5.2.       | Tree Removal   | 8         |
| 5.3.       | Proposed Tree Planting                                     | 8         |
| 5.4.       | Impact on Tree Canopies                                    | 9         |
| 5.5.       | Impact on Tree Roots                                       | 9         |
| 5.6.       | Demolition Activities                                      | 10        |
| 5.7.       | Summary  | 10        |
| <b>6.</b>  | <b>Method Statement</b>                                    | <b>11</b> |
| 6.1.       | Restricted Activity Zone                                   | 11        |
| 6.2.       | Site Hoarding  | 11        |
| 6.3.       | Use of Heavy Plant   | 11        |
| 6.4.       | Scaffolding  | 12        |
| <b>7.</b>  | <b>Site Inspection</b>                                     | <b>12</b> |
| 7.1.       | Inspection Schedule  | 12        |
| <b>8.</b>  | <b>Tree Works Schedule</b>                                 | <b>12</b> |
| 8.1.       | Tree Works Specification                                   | 12        |
| <b>9.</b>  | <b>Photographs</b>   | <b>13</b> |
| <b>10.</b> | <b>Signature</b>   | <b>14</b> |
|            |  |           |
|            | <b>Appendix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Guidance Notes</b>          | <b>15</b> |
|            | <b>Appendix 2: Explanation of Tree Data &amp; Glossary</b> | <b>17</b> |
|            | <b>Appendix 3: Survey Methodology</b>                      | <b>21</b> |
|            | <b>Appendix 4: Author’s Qualifications</b>                 | <b>21</b> |
|            | <b>Appendix 5: Further Information</b>                     | <b>22</b> |
|            | <b>Appendix 6: Tree Data Schedule and Site Plan(s)</b>     | <b>23</b> |



## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Instruction

1.1.1. We are instructed by Nicholas Taylor and Associates to undertake an Arboricultural Survey at Rochester Square Spiritualist Temple and produce our findings in a report. We are also instructed to assess the likely impact of development proposals and produce a Method Statement detailing how trees shall be protected from the proposed construction activity.

### 1.2. Scope and Purpose of the Report

1.2.1. This report is designed to accompany a planning application for development proposals at the above site. Its purpose is to assist and inform the design and planning process. It is produced according to the guidance and recommendations within *BS 5837: 2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction*.

1.2.2. The Method Statement specifies the general principles to be adopted during construction and demolition.

### 1.3. References

1.3.1. We have liaised with the project architect throughout the writing of this report in order to attain an adequate understanding of the project to enable us to carry out an accurate assessment of the proposals and to specify suitable tree protection measures.

### 1.4. Drawings

1.4.1. The tree locations shown on the accompanying plans which are reproduced in Appendix 6 are based on a measured plan of the site supplied to Crown Consultants. This plan had the tree positions already plotted. Where applicable, additional trees have been plotted by us according to measurements taken on site.

1.4.2. The *Tree Constraints Plan* shows the existing layout. For each tree the stem location is indicated and scaled according to its diameter, the canopy is indicated according to measurements taken along the four cardinal points of the compass. Root protection areas (RPAs) are indicated which are calculated according to the guidelines within BS 5837 (2012).

1.4.3. Where appropriate, the shapes of the RPAs have been amended to reflect actual site conditions or where trees have been heavily pruned. The 'original' RPAs are indicated as a dashed line whereas the amended RPAs are indicated as a solid line.

1.4.4. The *Impact Assessment Plan* indicates the tree constraints with the proposals overlaid. Where applicable, this plan shows where works are proposed in Root Protection Areas and which trees are to be pruned or removed. This plan accompanies the Impact Assessment which is to be found in Section 5.

1.4.5. The *Tree Protection Plan* shows the protection measures that are to be installed during the construction phase. This plan accompanies the Method Statement which is to be found in Section 6.





## 2. Site Overview

### 2.1. Coordinates

2.1.1. The site coordinates are 51°32'36.26"N 0° 8'8.38"W and the altitude is approximately 31m above sea level. (Co-ordinates may be pasted or typed into the following site: <http://maps.google.co.uk/> where maps, satellite imagery and street views may be accessed).

### 2.2. Brief Description

2.2.1. The site is a flat, rectangular plot measuring approximately 9m by 43m and encompassing a disused church with hard surfacing to the front and rear. There are no trees growing within the curtilage of the site. However, trees beyond the site boundary which could potentially be affected by any development of the site were included in our survey.

2.2.2. The site is surrounded by a 2m tall boundary wall. Small trees growing beyond this wall include a 4m tall cherry, T1, a 5m tall lime, G3 and a 5m tall plum, T4.

2.2.3. The Tree Constraints Plan and Tree Data Schedule (see Appendix 6) should be referred to for descriptions and locations of all trees.

### 2.3. Survey Extent

2.3.1. Our survey covered the area indicated in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Extent of the survey (image is not current).

2.3.2. Photographs of the site are included in Section 9.



## 3. Tree Survey and Data Schedule

This page is largely generic. Tree officers and other persons familiar with arboricultural reports may go straight to the following section and refer to the tree data in Appendix 6.

### 3.1. Survey Details

3.1.1. A ground level survey was undertaken on the 16<sup>th</sup> December 2016. The survey was conducted by Joe Taylor. No climbed inspections or specialist decay detection were undertaken. Only trees with a stem diameter over 75mm were included, which lie within the site boundary or relatively close to it.

3.1.2. Where applicable, trees with significant defects have been highlighted and appropriate remedial works have been recommended. However, this report should not be seen as a substitute for a full *Safety Survey* or *Management Plan* which are specifically designed to minimise risk and liability associated with responsibility for trees.

3.1.3. Wherever possible, dimensions are obtained using diameter tapes, logger's tapes, distometers and clinometers. Where obstacles prevent accurate measurement, dimensions are estimated. Trees on privately owned third party are surveyed from the best available vantage point and observations relating to the condition of these trees should be treated accordingly. All height measurements should be regarded as approximate.

### 3.2. Data Schedule

3.2.1. The findings of the survey are presented in The Tree Data Schedule which is provided as a separate document as well as being appended to the end of this document within Appendix 6.

3.2.2. The Schedule includes scaled tree images based on measurements recorded for stem diameter, crown spread, crown height and overall height. Their purpose is to indicate, at a glance, the relative dimensions of each tree.

3.2.3. A definition of the Retention Categories can be found in Appendix 1. All other terms used within the Tree Data Schedule are defined and explained in Appendix 3.

### 3.3. RPA calculation - Single Stems & Multiple Stems

3.3.1. For single stemmed trees, the RPA is calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{RPA radius} = 12 \times \text{stem diameter (measures at 1.5m above ground level)}$$

3.3.2. Where a tree has more than one stem, the equivalent-single-stem diameter is usually recorded. This is calculated by adding the squares of the stems and then finding the square root of this total. The radius of the Root Protection Area is then calculated by multiplying the equivalent-stem-diameter by 12.

3.3.3. Occasionally this method is not appropriate (e.g. for coppiced specimens where there are numerous stems). In such cases the diameter at ground level may be recorded or a stem diameter which would provide a suitable Root Protection Area calculation. The form of the tree is recorded in the notes section.



## 4. Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)

This section summarises all the recommendations within the Tree Data Schedule regardless of whether trees are to be retained, felled or pruned to facilitate the proposed development. It does not specify works that may be required to facilitate the development proposals. The protection status of the trees is also reported in this section.

### 4.1. Preliminary Management Recommendations

4.1.1. The trees were all deemed to be in an acceptable condition and no significant defects were observed. Consequently, no remedial works have been recommended.

### 4.2. Future Inspections

4.2.1. The table below suggests a schedule of future inspections based on the condition and location of each tree:

| Inspection Frequency (years) | Tree Number        |
|------------------------------|--------------------|
| 0.5                          | None               |
| 1                            | T1, T2, G3, T4, T5 |
| 1.5                          | None               |
| 3                            | None               |

4.2.2. The trees should be inspected sooner if there is a noticeable decline in their condition, or following extreme weather events.

### 4.3. Tree Protection Status – Site Specific

4.3.1. On 5<sup>th</sup> December 2016, we were informed, by Chantelle of London Borough of Camden that:

- The site is within a conservation area.
- There are no tree preservation orders affecting trees within the site.
- There are tree preservation orders immediately adjacent to the site affecting trees within Julian Court.

### 4.4. Tree Protection – General Notes

4.4.1. Before undertaking works to trees protected by a tree preservation order, consent needs to be obtained from the local authority which will provide application forms and advice to potential applicants. The removal of dead wood is exempt.

4.4.2. Where the works are proposed for reasons of safety or ill health, a report from a suitably qualified arborist will usually be required. Trees that are dead or imminently dangerous are technically exempt from protection, as are dead branches. If the tree work is not urgently necessary however, at least five working days notice of intention should be given to the local authority. In any case it would be prudent to take photographs before undertaking works without prior consent being granted. Unauthorised works to protected trees may result in a criminal prosecution and a large fine (unlimited).



4.4.3. Where trees are located in a conservation area, works are not permitted without first giving the local authority 6 weeks' notice of intention. During this time the local authority may elect to create a tree preservation order or to inform the applicant that they have no objection to the proposed works. If the local authority does not respond within 6 weeks, then the intended work may be undertaken. Note: the local authority cannot refuse consent for works to trees within a conservation area; they may only create a tree preservation order if they wish to have further control over what works are undertaken.

4.4.4. Where planning permission is granted and tree works have been approved as part of the planning consent, no further application is required in respect of protected trees and no further notice is required in respect of trees within a conservation area.

### 4.5. Species Present – Additional Information

4.5.1. The table below contains general information about the tree species (rather than the actual tree specimens) included in the survey. Its purpose is to assist readers who are unfamiliar with the characteristics of the various species.

| Species      | Typical Height at Maturity (m) | Typical Canopy Spread at Maturity (m) | General Notes  |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Black Locust | 20                             | 12                                    | Deciduous fast growing tree native to the US. Part of the pea family and its roots fix nitrogen. Bright yellow 'Frisia' cultivar is widely planted in gardens. All parts are toxic except the flowers which appear in June. Seed pods ripen in winter. Visit <a href="http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Robinia+pseudoacacia">http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Robinia+pseudoacacia</a> for more info.  |
| Cherry       | 8                              | 10                                    | Many cultivars available, bred for their abundance of spring flowers, edible cherries or ornamental bark (e.g. Tibetan Cherry). Usually white or pink flowering, often in very early spring. Usually with a single bole to around 2.5m and multi-stemmed thereafter. Most varieties have excellent autumn colour.  |
| Lime         | 25                             | 12                                    | Very common street tree. Several species exist; the one most often found in woods is 'common lime' which produces a mass of suckers at the stem base, making it very cheap to propagate. Limes have non-symmetrical heart shaped leaves which are much loved by aphids (hence the sticky honeydew on cars parked beneath). Limes are tolerant of heavy pruning and are often managed as pollards. Old limes tend to support a lot of small dead branches. Visit <a href="http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Tilia+x+europaea">http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Tilia+x+europaea</a> for more info. |
| Plum         | 6                              | 8                                     | Small fruit tree. Many varieties available. Usually white flowering. Fruits may be green, yellow, red or dark purple. Often quite an untidy looking tree.  |

4.5.2. The figures quoted regarding typical height and canopy spread should be treated as approximate. Actual heights and spreads vary according to several environmental factors such as soil conditions, climate and presence of competing vegetation. The figures quoted are not the maximum dimensions that the species may attain.



## 5. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

### 5.1. Overview

5.1.1. Retention and refurbishment of existing church building is proposed together with provision of new internal mezzanine level comprising 283.3m<sup>2</sup> community use (Use Class D1); demolition of existing single storey rear wing to allow erection of two storey, plus basement, extension accommodating 5 self-contained flats (Use Class C3) comprising 1 x 1 and 4 x 2 bed flats, with associated tree planting. The proposal is indicated on the plans in Appendix 6.

5.1.1. The table below summarises the potential impact on trees due to various activities.

| Activity                                 | Trees Potentially Affected |
|--|----------------------------|
| Tree Removal: Retention Category A       | None                       |
| Tree Removal: Retention Category B       | None                       |
| Tree Removal: Retention Category C       | None                       |
| Tree Removal: Retention Category U       | None                       |
| Tree Pruning                             | T4                         |
| Foundations Within to RPA                | None                       |
| Foundations / Excavation Adjacent to RPA | T1, T2, G3 and T4          |
| RPA: New Surface                         | None                       |
| RPA: Underground Services                | None Anticipated           |
| RPA: Change of Ground Levels             | None                       |
| RPA: Soil Compaction                     | None                       |

5.1.2. Other potentially damaging activities often associated with construction sites include demolition or the careless use of plant machinery, hazardous materials, or fires.

5.1.3. All of the above potential impacts are considered in detail throughout this section. Section 6 specifies the measures proposed to minimise all possible potential risks of damage to the retained trees.

### 5.2. Tree Removal

5.2.1. All trees surveyed are to be retained.

### 5.3. Proposed Tree Planting

5.3.1. In order to satisfy conditions associated with consent to remove a defective lime tree which was granted on 9<sup>th</sup> September 2016 (Application Ref: 2016/3236/T), planting pits are included within the proposal which are illustrated on the drawings in Appendix 6.

5.3.2. In response to recent comments from the local authority, the proposed new building has been moved 1m further away from the trees planting pits to the northeast of the site. We recommend that the new trees should be planted off-centre at a distance from 0.6m from the boundary. This will enable a fastigate hornbeam to be planted at a distance of 4m from the corner of the proposed building (see Impact Assessment Plan). The canopies of this species rarely extend more than 4m so very little pruning will be





required to enable this species to grow here. No windows will face directly onto the canopy of this tree.

5.3.3. Himalayan birches are proposed within the other two planters. These may be planted at distances of 2.6m and 2.8m from the building. The birches shall have relatively light airy canopies so will not block out light to the adjacent windows.

5.3.4. The proposed planting pits extend over a larger area underground than is shown on the accompanying drawings. The largest pit (for the hornbeam) shall contain 43.23 cubic metres of soil. The other two pits shall contain 20.8 cubic metres and 30.6 cubic metres of soil. This is considered to be ample soil volume. However, the soils will need to be fertile and relatively sandy to enable the roots to grow into the deeper parts of the planting pits (circa 3m deep).

## 5.4. Impact on Tree Canopies

5.4.1. It is proposed to prune the overhanging foliage of T4, back to the boundary to enable the build. Such minimal pruning of this Retention Category C tree will not impact upon local visual amenity so is not considered to be a material planning consideration. These works are specified within the Tree Works Schedule in Section 8.

5.4.2. All other tree canopies shall be unaffected by the proposals.

## 5.5. Impact on Tree Roots

### 5.5.1. Foundations:

5.5.2. The proposal shall require excavations for foundations adjacent to the Root Protection Areas of T1, T2, G3 and T4. Existing walls wall separates these trees from the site. The foundations shall influence the pattern of root proliferation such that the roots of these trees are unlikely to extend beyond the boundary and into the site.

### 5.5.3. Wall Demolition:

5.5.4. It is proposed to demolish the north western boundary wall immediately adjacent to the Root Protection Area of T4. This should be done by pulling all masonry down into the site and in a direction away from the tree. In order to minimise root disturbance when removing the foundations, their removal should be undertaken in a sympathetic manner by excavating only on the side away from the tree and by lifting/pulling the foundations away from the tree. Soils beyond the existing wall foundations shall remain undisturbed in order to minimise root disturbance.

5.5.5. Pruning works are also proposed to T4. This pruning will result in a reduction in the demand for water and nutrients that will be placed on the root system. Hence a small amount of root disturbance would only restore a balanced the root:shoot ratio and would therefore not result in any dieback of the canopy.

### 5.5.6. New Surfaces:

5.5.7. No new surfaces are proposed within the Root Protection Areas of any trees.

### 5.5.8. Underground Services:

5.5.9. There is ample opportunity for service and drainage provision without the need to pass through the Root Protection Areas of any retained trees. The exact position of services should be agreed and installation engineers should be made aware of the need to keep trenches outside of RPAs.



5.5.10. **Changes in Ground Levels:**

5.5.11. No changes to ground levels are proposed beyond the site boundaries where roots are likely to proliferate.

5.5.12. **Soil Compaction:**

5.5.13. No roots are anticipated within the site so no special ground protection measures are considered necessary.

**5.6. Demolition Activities**

5.6.1. In order to avoid inadvertent damage to roots, branches or stems, care shall need to be taken when demolishing the existing building and boundary wall close to T4. The use of a (carefully marshalled) mechanical excavator shall be acceptable so long as the adjacent walls are demolished into the site, and foundations/surfaces are carefully lifted. Machinery operatives shall need to be made aware of this requirement.

**5.7. Summary**

5.7.1. No trees are currently growing within the site.

5.7.2. The proposal seeks to retain all of the vegetation surveyed.

5.7.3. The 2m tall boundary wall which surrounds the site means that the roots of the adjacent small trees are unlikely to proliferate into the site.

5.7.4. Hence, no damage to root systems is anticipated due to any excavation or development works within the site. However, care will need to be taken to ensure that soils beyond the site boundary are not disturbed. Special care will need to be taken when removing the boundary wall foundations close to the small plum, T4, as roots may be present adjacent to the outside face of the foundations.

5.7.5. Small branches of T4 overhang the site boundary and are to be removed.



## 6. Method Statement

This method statement specifies the restriction that will need to be placed on construction activity to ensure that trees within neighbouring gardens are not inadvertently damaged by demolition and construction activities within the site.

### 6.1. Restricted Activity Zone

6.1.1. In order to minimise root disturbance when demolishing the existing wall the following restrictions shall apply:

- The wall shall be demolished into the site and away from T4. No masonry shall be permitted to fall outside of the site towards T4.
- Demolition in this area shall be supervised by an appointed arborist.
- Foundations shall be removed by excavating on the side of the foundation inside of the site only and by pulling away from the tree. No excavation shall take place between the foundation and T4.
- Any exposed root shall be retained wherever possible and protected by covering with a damp hessian cloth and an appropriate barrier to prevent accidental damage.
- Any root that cannot be retained shall be neatly pruned by the appointed arborist.
- No materials potentially harmful to tree health (including cement) shall be permitted to leach into the soils where roots are growing.

### 6.2. Site Hoarding

6.2.1. If site hoarding shall be installed over the Root Protection Area of any tree, the following restrictions shall apply:

- Ground levels shall be maintained as existing.
- Post holes shall not exceed 300mm x 300mm.
- No post hole shall be excavated within 1.5m of any tree stem.
- Post holes shall be excavated using hand tools or by a post-hole auger attached to plant machinery sited outside the Root Protection Area(s).
- Roots in excess of 25mm shall be retained wherever possible.
- Roots in excess of 10mm shall be pruned with sharp secateurs.
- Pruning shall be minimal and only undertaken where absolutely necessary to facilitate the site hoarding. It shall be undertaken by a reputable tree surgeon working to BS 3998 (2010).
- Cement products shall be mixed away from Root Protection Areas (see Section [Error! Reference source not found.](#) -*Hazardous Materials*).

6.2.2. Site hoarding may be installed in place of the specified tree protection measures subject to the approval of the local authority with regard to its location and specification.

### 6.3. Use of Heavy Plant

6.3.1. All machinery operatives are to be made aware of any *Restricted Activity Zones* that apply to this site (see the Tree Protection Plan and Section [6.1.](#) onwards).

6.3.2. All machinery operatives are to respect these zones and ensure that no damage occurs to trees due to the careless use of machinery.



## 6.4. Scaffolding

6.4.1. Prior to the installation of any scaffolding within 0.5m of any tree branches, the appointed arborist shall be consulted to specify any pruning works that may be required.

## 7. Site Inspection

### 7.1. Inspection Schedule

7.1.1. In order to ensure that the trees are adequately protected it shall be necessary to periodically monitor the works. This will be done by the local authority tree officer or an appointed arborist who will provide the tree officer with a copy of inspection details.

7.1.2. The following inspection schedule is suggested though the local authority may specify additional supervision where deemed necessary.

| Inspection  | Attendees   | Comments   |
|---|---|--|
| <b>Pre- Start</b><br>To occur prior to any works taking place on the site.  | N/A.  | Site manager to study this Method Statement & contact the appointed arborist to agree all protection measures. |
| <b>Demolition of existing wall foundations and excavation for basement in Restricted Activity Zones (see Tree Protection Plan).</b> | Site manager, appointed arborist and/or local authority tree officer. | At least two week's notice shall be given prior to commencing excavation.                                      |

## 8. Tree Works Schedule

### 8.1. Tree Works Specification

8.1.1. The following table specifies the tree works which will be required prior to the commencement of construction activity:

| Tree Reference                       | Action Required                                | Notes  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>T1, T4 and one tree within G3</b> | Trim overhanging foliage back to the boundary. | Only very light pruning using secateurs (or a small manual pruning saw) will be necessary. |

8.1.2. **Pruning Standards:** Sympathetic pruning shall be carried out to BS 3998 (2010). Lopping of branches is to be avoided. Instead as system of 'drop crotching' or 'reduction via thinning' is to be used to achieve the desired clearance without spoiling the appearance, or form, of the trees. All pruning cuts shall be made close to the branch collar or a secondary growth point. Cuts to be made with sharp, clean tools. No wound sealants to be used.





PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling

Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for:

Nicholas Taylor and Associates

Crown Ref: 09606C

Site:

Rochester Spiritualist Temple, Rochester Square

Author: Ivan Button

Date:

6<sup>th</sup> November 2017

## 9. Photographs

Refer to the Tree Constraints Plan for photo locations

Photo 1.



Photo 2.



Photo 3.



Photo 4.



Photo 5.



Photo 6.





PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling

Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: Nicholas Taylor and Associates

Crown Ref: 09606C

Site: Rochester Spiritualist Temple, Rochester Square

Author: Ivan Button

Date: 6<sup>th</sup> November 2017

## 10. Signature

This report represents a true and factual account of the trees and potential impact of development along with proposed protection measures at

**Rochester Square Spiritualist Temple  
Rochester Square  
London  
NW1 9HU/9RY**

**Signed**

Ivan Button N.C.H. (Arb), FDS<sup>c</sup> (Arb), BSc (Hons), P.G.C.E., M. Arbor. A.

**on behalf of**

**Crown Consultants Ltd**

**Dated**

6<sup>th</sup> November 2017



Tree consultants throughout England and Wales



## Appendix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Guidance Notes

This Standard prescribes the principles to be applied to achieve a satisfactory juxtaposition of trees and structures. It sets out to assist those concerned with trees in relation to design, demolition and construction to form balanced judgements.

It acknowledges the positive contribution trees may offer to a site, as well as the negative aspects of retaining inappropriate trees. It addresses the negative impacts that construction activity may have upon trees and offers mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts.

The Standard suggests a three stage approach to ensure best practice is followed when developing close to trees:

### A1.1 Stage 1: Survey of Existing Trees

This identifies the existing trees on and adjacent to the site. Data is recorded for each tree and is presented in a Tree Data Schedule. Each tree is allocated a **Retention Category** according to its size, amenity value, condition and safe useful life expectancy. The categories are allocated independently of development proposals. Our interpretation of the Retention Categories is explained below:

#### A1.1.1 Retention Categories

**A Category:** Trees of high quality and amenity value. Usually, mature trees with a significant life expectancy which would enhance any development. Retention of these trees is strongly encouraged.

**B Category:** Trees of moderate quality and amenity value. Usually these are maturing trees or younger trees with exceptional form. Retention of these trees is desirable though the removal of occasional specimens may be acceptable.

**C Category:** Trees of low quality or small specimens with a relatively low amenity value. These trees are not considered to be a material planning constraint and their removal will generally be seen as acceptable in order to facilitate development.

**U Category:** Trees of such low quality that their removal is recommended regardless of development proposals.

A1.1.2 Occasionally trees are borderline and do not fall neatly into one of the categories A, B or C. In such cases we apply a superscript (+/-) such that:

**C<sup>+</sup>** Indicates borderline C/B, though Category C is deemed to be most appropriate.

**B<sup>-</sup>** Indicates borderline C/B, though Category B is deemed to be most appropriate.

A1.1.3 The British Standard suggests that each of the A, B and C categories may be further subdivided (A1, A2, A3, B1, B2, B3 etc) such that subcategory 1 denotes mainly arboricultural values, subcategory 2 denotes mainly landscape values and subcategory 3 denotes mainly cultural values (including conservation). Multiple subcategories may be used.

Our experience suggests that these subdivisions lack clarity and can be confusing. Within this report subcategories are **not** denoted. Where appropriate, the use of phrases such as 'Part of a formal group', or 'Has a high ecological value', or 'Offers good screening to the site' are incorporated into the observation section of the Tree Data Schedule. We believe this conveys all relevant landscape and cultural information without any confusion.

A1.1.4 **Tree Constraints Plan (TCP).** This indicates the position, crown spread, Retention Category and Root Protection Area of each tree. It is used to inform where development may proceed without causing damage to trees.





**A1.1.5 Root Protection Area (RPA).** This is the area around each tree likely to contain the majority of roots. It should ideally remain undisturbed to avoid a detrimental impact on tree health. For single stemmed trees It is calculated according to the formula “radius of RPA” = “12 x stem diameter”. For multiple-stemmed trees a more complex formula is used which may occasionally produce an RPA which seems inappropriately large relative to the trees canopy. This shape can then be modified to take into account site factors which influence rooting activity, e.g. foundations, soil type or impermeable surfaces. Where development works are proposed within the RPA they should be undertaken in a sympathetic manner to minimise root disturbance.

**A1.1.5 Shade Constraints.** The previous Standard (BS 5837 2005) suggested that shade constraints should be indicated on the TCP. This are denoted as a circle-segment drawn northwest to due east with a radius equal to the height of the tree. These do not represent the actual shade pattern which varies through the seasons. Rather, they indicate the area most shaded by the tree throughout the course of the year. Ideally habitable room windows should be located outside of these shade constraints. Where we consider it appropriate, we will include shade constraints information on our Impact Assessment Plan or Proposed Layout Plan.

## **A1.2 Stage 2: Arboricultural Impact Assessment**

After the initial survey and the production of the Tree Constraints Plan, arborists and designers are encouraged to work together to establish a design proposal with minimal impact on the high quality trees. An assessment should be made of all possible impacts including the impact that the trees may have upon the proposal. The arborist may recommend mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts and help achieve a more harmonious juxtaposition between buildings and trees.

## **A1.3 Stage 3: Arboricultural Method Statement**

This type of report specifies the measures necessary to protect trees against damage from construction activity. The Method Statement should be written in a manner that it may be conditioned and enforced by the local authority upon granting of planning permission. The site manager should be familiar with all aspects of the Method Statement and should ensure that all persons working on the site are aware of those aspects which appertain to their work. This includes service installation engineers and operators of plant machinery.





## Appendix 2: Explanation of Tree Data & Glossary

This section explains the terms used in the **Tree Data Schedule** (see Section 3 and Appendix 6).

### A4.1 General Observations

|         |                                 |   |
|---------|---------------------------------|---|
| A4.1.1  | <b>Numbering System:</b>        | Each item of vegetation has its own unique number prefixed by a letter such that T1=Tree 1, G2=Group 2, H3=Hedge 3 and W4=Woodland 4, S5=Shrub 5.   |
| A4.1.2  | <b>Age Categories:</b>          |   |
|         | <b>Young</b>                    | Usually less than 10 years old.   |
|         | <b>Semi-Mature</b>              | Significant future growth to be expected, both in height and crown spread (typically below 30% of life expectancy).   |
|         | <b>Early-Mature</b>             | Full height almost attained. Significant growth may be expected in terms of crown spread (typically 30-60% of life expectancy).   |
|         | <b>Mature</b>                   | Full height attained. Crown spread will increase but growth increments will be slight (typically 60% or more of life expectancy).   |
|         | <b>Veteran</b>                  | A level of maturity whereby significant management may be required in order to keep the tree in a safe condition.   |
|         | <b>Over Mature</b>              | As for veteran except management is not considered worthwhile.  |
| A4.1.3  | <b>Species:</b>                 | Common names and Latin names are given.   |
| A4.1.4  | <b>Height:</b>                  | Measured from ground level to the top of the crown.   |
| A4.1.5  | <b>Stem Diameter:</b>           | Taken at 1.5m above ground level where possible. On multi-stemmed trees this measurement may be taken at ground level, though usually an indication of the number of stems and average diameter is given, e.g. 3 x 30cm.  |
| A4.1.6  | <b>Crown Height:</b>            | Measured from ground level to the height at which the main crown begins. Where the crown is unbalanced it is measured on the side deemed to be most relevant. This is usually the side facing the area of anticipated development.  |
| A4.1.7  | <b>Tree Diagram:</b>            | This scaled drawing is computer generated based on measurements taken for stem diameter, crown height and spread, and overall height. It is designed to help the reader rapidly assess the data. It is not an accurate representation of the form of the tree.  |
| A4.1.8  | <b>Crown Spread:</b>            | Measured N, E, S & W, taken from the centre of the stem and usually rounded up to the nearest metre.  |
| A4.1.9  | <b>Observations:</b>            | If a tree's position is considered to be relevant it will be commented upon (e.g. overhanging a children's play area). Tree form and pruning history are also recorded along with an account of any significant defects. Defects and descriptive terms are dealt with in more detail at the end of this section.                      |
| A4.1.10 | <b>Recommendations:</b>         | Usually based on any defects observed and intended to ensure that the tree is in an acceptable condition.   |
| A4.1.11 | <b>Priority Scale:</b>          | Depending upon the threat posed by the tree, and the likelihood of failure, recommendations should be carried out according to the following priority scale:  |
|         | <b>Urgent</b>                   | To be carried out as soon as possible.  |
|         | <b>Very High</b>                | To be carried out within 1 month.   |
|         | <b>High</b>                     | To be carried out within 3 months.  |
|         | <b>Moderate</b>                 | To be carried out within 1 year.  |
|         | <b>Low</b>                      | To be carried out within 3 years.   |
| A4.1.12 | <b>Inspection Frequency:</b>    | An interval of 6 months, 1 year, 1.5 years or 3 years is allocated before the next inspection is due. Wherever practical, consideration should be given to seasonal changes so that deciduous trees are not always surveyed in winter when they have no leaves, or in summer when leaves may obscure branches within the upper crown. |
| A4.1.13 | <b>Vigour:</b>                  | An indication of growth rate and the tree's ability to cope with stresses:  |
|         | <b>High</b>                     | Having above average vigour.  |
|         | <b>Moderate</b>                 | Having average vigour.  |
|         | <b>Low</b>                      | Having below average vigour.  |
|         | <b>Very Low</b>                 | Tree is struggling to survive and may be dying.   |
| A4.1.14 | <b>Physiological Condition:</b> |   |
|         | <b>Good</b>                     | Healthy and with no symptoms of significant disease.  |
|         | <b>Fair</b>                     | Disease present or vigour is impaired.  |
|         | <b>Poor</b>                     | Significant disease present or vigour is extremely low.   |
|         | <b>Very Poor</b>                | Tree is dying.  |
| A4.1.15 | <b>Structural Condition:</b>    |   |
|         | <b>Good</b>                     | Having no significant structural defects.   |
|         | <b>Fair</b>                     | Some defects observed though no high priority works are required.   |
|         | <b>Poor</b>                     | Significant defects found. Tree requires monitoring or remedial works.  |
|         | <b>Very Poor</b>                | Major defects which will usually require significant remedial works or tree removal.  |
| A4.1.16 | <b>Amenity Value:</b>           |   |
|         | <b>Very High</b>                | Exceptional specimen, observable by a large number of people.   |
|         | <b>High</b>                     | Attractive specimen, observable by a significant number of people.  |
|         | <b>Moderate</b>                 | One of the above factors is not applicable.   |
|         | <b>Low</b>                      | Unattractive specimen or largely hidden from view.  |
| A4.1.17 | <b>Life Expectancy:</b>         | The estimated number of years before the tree may require removal. Classified as (<10), (10 – 20), (20 – 40), or (40+).   |
| A4.1.18 | <b>Retention Category:</b>      | These are explained in detail in Appendix 1.  |

### A4.2 Evaluation of Defects

Cavities, wounds, deadwood etc are all evaluated as follows:

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>Major</b>       | Such that structural integrity is, or will become, compromised and the tree is, or will inevitably become, hazardous.  |
| <b>Significant</b> | A defect that may over time become a major defect, though not necessarily so. This will depend on the vigour of the tree and its ability to deal with decay etc. |
| <b>Minor</b>       | A defect that is not likely to compromise the tree's structural integrity.   |



## General Glossary

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>Adaptive growth</b>         | In tree biomechanics, the process whereby wood formation is influenced both in quantity and quality by the action of gravitational forces and mechanical stresses on the cambial zone.  |
| <b>Aerobic</b>                 | Conditions in which oxygen is freely available, or to biomechanical processes that depend on the presence of oxygen.  |
| <b>Anaerobic</b>               | A condition marked by the absence of oxygen; Generally such areas are unsuitable for normal life and growth of plant tissues. These sites tend to be populated by bacteria capable of surviving low oxygen conditions often associated with Slime Flux.   |
| <b>Arboriculture</b>           | The culture and management of trees as groups and individuals primarily for amenity and other non-forestry purposes.  |
| <b>Arborist</b>                | A person possessing the technical competence through experience and related training to provide management of trees or other woody plants in a landscape setting. Generally involved with the development or management of trees for visual amenity or land management rather than the growth of trees for product or profit.   |
| <b>Barrier zone</b>            | A layer within an annual increment of wood which contains abnormal xylem cells, laid down by the cambium in response to wounding or other trauma.   |
| <b>Body language</b>           | In trees, the outward display of growth responses and or deformation in response to mechanical stress.  |
| <b>Bole</b>                    | Or Trunk, the main stem of a tree below its first major branch.   |
| <b>Bracket</b>                 | A type of fruiting body produced by various fungal species, plate like to hoof like in shape and often a one sided attachment to the wood or bark.  |
| <b>Branch bark ridge</b>       | A ridged area located at the union of a branch to a trunk or stem.  |
| <b>Branch Collar</b>           | Trunk tissue that forms around the base of a branch between the main stem and the branch, or between a main branch and a lateral branch. As a branch decreases in vigour or begins to die, the collar usually becomes more pronounced and completely encircles the branch.  |
| <b>Brown Rot</b>               | Form of decay where cellulose is degraded, while lignin is only modified.   |
| <b>Buttress Root</b>           | Roots that emerge from the base of the tree stem, normally large and well developed that rapidly reduce in diameter to create the Root Plate this offers structural support for the tree. Buttress roots divide rapidly forming the connection between the stem and the transport roots.  |
| <b>Cabling Bracing</b>         | Installing cables within the crown of a tree to prevent collapse.   |
| <b>Callus</b>                  | Undifferentiated cells often formed at the edges of recent injuries. This tissue quickly becomes differentiated, forming cells of the type characteristic of that position on the tree (e.g. forming wood, bark, roots, etc.) see wound response tissue.  |
| <b>Cambium</b>                 | A thin layer of actively growing and dividing cells, located between the xylem (sapwood) and bark of a plant; the part responsible for radial growth of a tree stem or branch.  |
| <b>Canopy</b>                  | The topmost layer of twigs and foliage in a woodland, tree or group of trees.   |
| <b>Canker</b>                  | A localised area of dead bark and cambium on a stem or branch, caused by fungal or bacterial organisms, characterised by woundwood development on the periphery. This may be annual or perennial.   |
| <b>Cavity</b>                  | An open and exposed area of wood, where the bark is missing and internal wood has been decayed and dissolved.   |
| <b>Chlorotic</b>               | Also Chlorosis. A condition of the plant marked by yellowing of normally green foliage, often indicating nutrient deficiency or plant dysfunction.  |
| <b>Clinometer</b>              | Devices that measures vertical angles, and provides direct height measurements of objects by triangulation.   |
| <b>Co-dominant stems/trunk</b> | Are forked branches or trunks of nearly the same size in diameter and lacking a normal branch union.  |
| <b>Compacted soils</b>         | Soils in which the air-space (oxygen space) has been reduced or eliminated, reducing water infiltration and percolation, reducing root presence and inhibiting new root development.  |
| <b>Compartmentalisation</b>    | The physiological process that creates the chemical and mechanical boundaries that act to limit the spread of disease and decay organisms.  |
| <b>Compression Failure</b>     | Localized buckling of fibres and other longitudinal elements produced by compression of wood along the grain; compression failures sometimes develop in standing trees.   |
| <b>Compression Strength</b>    | The ability of a material or structure to resist failure when subjected to compressive loading; measurable in trees using special drilling devices  |
| <b>Compression Wood</b>        | Abnormal wood formed on the lower side of branches and curved stems, with physical properties different from normal wood.   |
| <b>Conservation Area</b>       | In Great Britain, designated areas of architectural or historical interest, in which there are special procedures for planning applications. Additionally tree works cannot generally be undertaken without prior notification (Currently 6 weeks) to the relevant local planning authority. See also Tree Preservation Orders.   |
| <b>Core Sample</b>             | A sample of wood extracted from a trunk or branch, using an increment borer tool. The resulting core can be analysed for characteristics of growth, wood strength, structure, decay, and for species identification.  |
| <b>Crotch</b>                  | The union of two or more branches; the auxiliary zone between branches.   |
| <b>Crown</b>                   | The upper canopy of a tree, including upper trunk, scaffold branches, secondary branches, stems and leaves.   |
| <b>Crown lifting / raising</b> | Crown Lift The removal of the lowest branches, usually to a given height. It allows more residual light and greater clearance underneath for vehicles etc.  |
| <b>Crown reduction</b>         | The reduction of a tree's height or spread while preserving its natural shape.  |
| <b>Crown thinning</b>          | The removal of some of the density of a tree's crown, usually 5-25% allowing more light through its canopy and reducing wind resistance.  |
| <b>Deadwood (noun)</b>         | Deadwood is often present within the crown or on the stems of trees. It may be an indication of ill health, however, it may also indicate natural growth processes. If a target is present beneath the tree, deadwood may fall and cause injury or damage and should be removed, otherwise deadwood can remain intact for conservation purposes (insects, fungi, birds etc.). |
| <b>Deadwood (verb)</b>         | The removal of dead branches from a tree's canopy, usually of a specified size (in diameter).   |
| <b>Decay</b>                   | Progressive deterioration of organic tissues, usually caused by fungal or bacterial organisms, resulting in loss of cell structure, strength, and function. In wood, the loss of structural strength.   |
| <b>Decay Detection</b>         | The assessment of decay within a tree has been traditionally difficult, but recent advances have made it possible to achieve accurate representations of the internal section of a tree in both 2D and 3D, removing doubt over the condition of the tree and allowing accurate management decisions.  |
| <b>Defect</b>                  | In relation to tree hazards, any feature of a tree which detracts from the uniform distribution of mechanical stress, or which makes the tree mechanically unsuited to its environment.   |
| <b>Defoliation</b>             | The losing of plants foliage.   |
| <b>Dieback</b>                 | Progressive death of buds, twigs and branch tissues, on individual limbs resulting in Deadwood, or throughout the canopy,   |



|                              |  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | extreme cases can result in Stag Heading.  |
| <b>Dripline</b>              | A projected line on the ground that corresponds to the spread of branches in the canopy; the farthest spread of branches.  |
| <b>Epicormic shoots</b>      | Fast growing, weakly attached shoots/branches that often grow as a response to stress factors upon a tree or branch removal.   |
| <b>Failure</b>               | In connection with tree hazards, a partial or total fracture within the wood tissue or loss of cohesion between roots and soil. (In total failure affected parts will snap or tear away completely, Partial failure there is a crack or deformation, which results in an altered distribution of mechanical stress.  |
| <b>Feeder Roots</b>          | Fine fibrous Water and nutrient absorbing roots located in the outer root system.  |
| <b>Flush-Cut</b>             | In trees and shrubs, a pruning cut close to the parent stem, which removes the branch bark ridge.  |
| <b>Foliage</b>               | The live leaves or needles of the tree; the plant part primarily responsible for photosynthesis.   |
| <b>Formative pruning</b>     | The trimming of a tree to remove weaknesses and irregularities which may lead to problems. The formative pruning operation is aimed at reducing the potential for future weaknesses or problems within the tree's crown.   |
| <b>Gall</b>                  | An abnormal, disorganized growth of plant tissues, caused by parasitic or infectious organisms such as insects, fungi, bacteria, or viruses.   |
| <b>Girdling</b>              | In woody plants, any form of damage that destroys the bark and / or the Cambium all the way around the stem, branch or root, normally resulting in death of the damaged section.   |
| <b>Girdling Root</b>         | In woody plants, a root that grows across the buttress, or across other roots, eventually causing constriction of the radial growth.   |
| <b>Growth Increment</b>      | The incremental growth added as new annual ring develops each season over existing wood. This is seen as (growth) rings in cross-sections of wood.   |
| <b>Hazard beam</b>           | An upwardly curved branch in which strong internal stresses may occur without the compensatory formation of extra wood (longitudinal splitting may occur in some cases).   |
| <b>Heartwood</b>             | Inner non functioning tissues that provide structural support to trunk.  |
| <b>Heave</b>                 | In relation to shrinkable clay soils, expansion due to rewetting of a volume of soil previously subjected to the removal or water by plant / trees following felling or root severance. Also in relation to root growth, the lifting of pavements and other structures by radial expansion. Also in relation to tree stability, the lifting of one side of a wind rocked root plate. |
| <b>Herbicide</b>             | A chemical compound that causes the death of a plant.  |
| <b>Included Bark</b>         | Bark that becomes embedded in a crotch between branch and trunk or between co-dominant stems, usually found in narrow or tight crotches, and causes a weak structure.  |
| <b>Increment Borer</b>       | A tool that cuts and extracts a narrow cylinder of wood from a tree for analysis of the wood tissue and growth increments.   |
| <b>Leader</b>                | The primary terminal shoot or trunk of a tree.   |
| <b>Limb</b>                  | A large lateral branch growing from the main trunk or from another larger branch.  |
| <b>Lion Tailing</b>          | Often the result of poor pruning practices; the main leader or branches are largely devoid of side branches, growth is restricted to the end of branches and is likely to suffer damage through end loading.   |
| <b>Lopping</b>               | In trees, a general term that related to the removal of branches from a tree.  |
| <b>Monitoring</b>            | Due to the relative life span of trees in relation to our own, long-term monitoring provides a valuable insight to the health of trees, identifying decline and or stabilisation and or improvement.   |
| <b>Mulch</b>                 | A material laid over the root system of a tree to help conserve moisture within the soil. Additionally it may help control the development of weeds close to the tree.   |
| <b>Mycelium</b>              | A mass of growing filaments (hyphae) formed by fungi.  |
| <b>Mycorrhizae</b>           | The symbiotic relationship between roots and certain beneficial fungi. Mycorrhizae are the combined root / fungal growth.  |
| <b>Occluding tissue</b>      | The general term of wood, cambium and bark that develop around the site of a wound on a woody plant  |
| <b>Pathogen</b>              | A microorganism that causes diseases within another organism.  |
| <b>Phloem</b>                | The principle conductive tissue that the products of Photosynthesis are transported around the plant   |
| <b>Photosynthesis</b>        | The process were light energy is used to create energy (Carbohydrate) for use within the plant.  |
| <b>Pollard</b>               | A term for a pollarded tree.   |
| <b>Pollard head</b>          | The swollen section of branch / stem that forms behind the pollarding cut.   |
| <b>Pollarding</b>            | The complete or partial removal of the crown of a young tree so as to encourage the development of numerous branches either for amenity or historically as fodder, repeated management is required cyclically to maintain the feature  |
| <b>Prune or Pruning</b>      | Selective removal of woody plant parts of any size, using saws, Loppers, Secateurs, or other pruning tools.  |
| <b>Reaction Wood</b>         | Wood with distinctive anatomical characteristics, formed in parts of leaning or crooked stems and in branches to provide additional strength / support. In hardwoods, tension wood usually forms. In conifers, compression wood is usually found.  |
| <b>Reaction Zone</b>         | A zone normally darker than surrounding wood that denoted the boundary often a defensive one between functional sapwood and dysfunctional or decaying wood.  |
| <b>Re-grading</b>            | The raising or lowering of a soil profile from its original grade.   |
| <b>Remedial pruning</b>      | The removal of old stubs, deadwood, epicormic growth, rubbing or crossing branches and other unwanted items from the tree's crown.   |
| <b>Resistograph</b>          | Invasive decay detection technique whereby the resistance offered by the timber to a spinning probe is measured and plotted.   |
| <b>Rib</b>                   | In tree body language, a long narrow, axial protuberance which often over lays a crack.  |
| <b>Ring Barking</b>          | Artificial Girdling of the stem, to result in the death of a tree. May be used in habitat creation were the retention of dead standing trees is required.  |
| <b>Rod Bracing / Bolting</b> | Traditionally, this has relied upon the installation of steel rods or bolts through the stems or limbs, to reduce twisting or splitting of the wood. The installation of such features does require legal interpretation.  |
| <b>Root Barriers</b>         | Both Buildings and services can benefit from the installation of root barriers to protect a soil volume from the ingress of roots.   |
| <b>Root Collar</b>           | The basal area of the tree; transition zone from trunk to root. Also sometimes called trunk flare.   |
| <b>Root Plate</b>            | The primary support area for the tree; an area of the root system close to the base that structurally anchors the tree to the soil.  |
| <b>Root Rot</b>              | Either a general term for decay within the wood of the lower stem / buttress roots, or a disease in which the fine roots are killed.   |
| <b>Root System</b>           | The portion of the tree containing the root organs, including buttress roots, transport roots, and fine absorbing roots; all underground parts of the tree.  |
| <b>Root Zone</b>             | The area and volume of soil around the tree in which roots are expected. May extend to three or more times the branch spread of the tree, or several times the height of the tree.   |
| <b>Sail Area</b>             | That area or the tree subjected to wind load.  |



|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Sapwood                            | Xylem wood tissue, usually light in colour, representing the outer growth rings of the wood. Usually living, reactive wood tissue, in a healthy tree. See heartwood   |
| Scaffold limbs / scaffold Branches | The branches that from the main network framework of the crown of a tree.   |
| Senescent                          | A decline in growth and vigour due to age or stress factors.  |
| Shrub                              | A woody plant that branches at or close to the ground level and so does not have a single stem.   |
| Slime Flux                         | Relating to a toxic condition from the spreading of bacteria or their products from a source of infection; characterized by malodorous gases, or salt deposits upon the bark. If these products enter the sap stream, localised vessel necrosis can result, usually associated with anaerobic conditions.   |
| Soft Rot                           | A kind of wood decay, were a fungi degrades cellulose within the cell wall, without causing overall degradation.  |
| Soil Compaction                    | The compression of soil, causing a reduction of pore space and an increase in the density of the soil. Air is squeezed out and nutrients become locked. Tree roots cannot grow in compacted soil.   |
| Sonic Decay Detection              | Non invasive method whereby sound waves are passed through the tree and the speed is measured. Slow speeds indicate decay and a tomography picture representing the inner stem is produced.   |
| Stag Heading                       | In a tree, a state of dieback were dead branches protrude beyond the current living crown.  |
| Stress                             | In plant physiology, conditions were one or more physiological functions Are not working within normal parameters.  |
| Stump Grinding                     | The removal of a tree stump using a specialist grinding machine.  |
| Subsidence                         | In relation to vegetation, the removal of water by plant growth resulting in localised shrinkage in the soil volume.  |
| Sucker                             | Same as sprout.   |
| Suppressed                         | Trees which are dominated by surrounding vegetation and whose crown development is restricted from above.   |
| Systemic                           | Affecting the whole plant or organism. A systemic compound is carried throughout the entire plant to all parts through the vascular system.   |
| Target                             | Any person or object within reach of a falling tree or part of a tree that may be injured or damaged.   |
| Target Pruning                     | The pruning of a branch were the wound affects only branch material, often result in a target shaped wound.   |
| Tension Wood                       | Reaction wood typically formed on the upper side of limbs or curved stems; characterized by lack of cell wall lignifications (higher ratios of cellulose to lignin).  |
| Tight Union / Tight Crotch         | Also, narrow crotch. A crotch with a narrow angle between branches, often having included bark.   |
| Tomography                         | The comparison of sound or stress waves through the tree allows the creation of a 2D or 3D representation of the internal structure of a stem or branch section and highlights areas of damage. Virtually non-injurious.  |
| Topography                         | The configuration of surface features, including the vertical and horizontal relationships of the ground and other features.  |
| Topping                            | Cutting large limbs back severely, without regard to form or habit of the tree. Cuts are usually made between lateral branch nodes. This practice is extremely injurious to trees, and promotes decay and structural weakness within the crown.   |
| Tree                               | A woody plant that typically has a single stem, at maturity has a height of a least 4 metres and a stem diameter at breast height of at least 75mm.   |
| Tree Preservation Order            | In Great Britain, an order made by the local planning authority, were consent must be gained before undertaking all but exempt works to a tree.   |
| Trunk Flare                        | The basal area of the trunk that flares or widens, and merges with the main roots. See root collar  |
| Veteran Tree                       | Veteran trees are often found in large parks or estates and commonly affected by extensive decay or have been subject to extensive works. These trees are retained for historical importance and often pose greater risk than normal, which is generally justified. They need careful management and often propping or bracing to support them, some require fencing to limit access. |
| Vigour                             | Active, healthy growth of plants: ability to respond to stress factors.   |
| Visual Tree Assessment (VTA)       | An assessment of the mechanical condition of trees based upon their 'body language'. Trees are dynamic and respond to faults / decay / environmental factors in various ways, these responses can be indicative of structural integrity.  |
| Wetwood                            | An infection caused by bacteria living inside the plant tissues. The bacteria ferment the plant fluids, resulting in death of nearby cells, and often causing exudations of fluid from the bark, often referred to as a Slime Flux.   |
| White Rot                          | A kind if wood decay were a fungi attacks the lignin within the wood matrix   |
| Wind loading                       | Forces placed upon tree canopy, branches, trunk and roots of a tree under windy conditions.   |
| Wind Throw                         | The failure of a tree due to wind loading.  |
| Witches Broom                      | A deformed or unusual growth of twigs from adventitious buds, caused by insects, disease, or dieback of twigs and buds.   |
| Wood                               | Secondary Xylem; the main structural support and water conducting tissue of trees and shrubs.   |
| Wound Response Tissue              | Also Occluding Tissue, Wound Wood or Callus. Differentiated wood tissue that grows around the margins of a wound or injury.   |
| Wound Wood                         | Wood with atypical features, formed in the vicinity of a wound and a term to describe the occluding tissues around a wound  |
| Xylem                              | Plant tissues with special function of translocation of water and dissolved nutrients.  |





## Appendix 3: Survey Methodology

- A2.1 Ground level visual surveys are carried out using the *Visual Tree Assessment* technique described by Mattheck and Broeler (1994) and endorsed by the Arboricultural Association (LANTRA Professional Tree Inspection course, 2007).
- A2.2 Structural condition is assessed by inspecting the stem and scaffold branches from all angles looking for weak branch junctions or symptoms of decay. Particular attention is paid to the stem-base. Cavities are explored using a metal probe in order to assess the extent of any decay. If this is not possible further inspection is recommended in the form of a climbed inspection or using specialist decay detection equipment.
- A2.3 The physiological condition is assessed by inspecting the stem, branches and foliage for symptoms of disease. The overall vigour of the tree is also taken into account.
- A2.4 Where significant defects are observed, recommendations are made according to a scale of priority in order to reduce the likelihood of structural failure. The position of the tree and its potential targets are taken into account.
- A2.5 Measurements are obtained using a diameter tape, clinometer, distometer and loggers tape. Where this is not practical measurements are estimated.
- A2.6 Some trees are surveyed as groups, though this is usually avoided close to areas likely to be developed.
- A2.7 Finally, a *Retention Category* is allocated as described in Appendix 1.1.1.

## Appendix 4: Author's Qualifications

**Qualifications & Experience of Ivan Button N.C.H. (Arb), FDSc (Arb), BSc (Hons), P.G.C.E., M. Arbor. A.**

### Construction

Between 1983 and 1995 Ivan worked primarily within the construction industry and received training in a broad range of practical building skills and general construction principles. During this time he obtained a BSc (Hons) at Leeds University followed by a P.G.C.E at The University of Wales.

### Arboriculture

He obtained a NCH (Arboriculture) at the University of Lincoln and became a member of the Arboricultural Association. He then worked for an Arboricultural Consultancy for one year before establishing a tree surgery and landscaping business in 1998. In 2005 Ivan commenced full time employment with a leading Arboricultural Association approved consultancy and soon adopted a senior role responsible for five consultants.

He obtained a FDSc in arboriculture at the University of Lancashire, which he passed with distinction and is now a Director and Principal Consultant of Crown Consultants Ltd. He is accredited as a LANTRA *Professional Tree Inspector*. A qualification produced in association with the Arboricultural Association and generally recognised as appropriate for all levels of tree inspection.

He is a member of the Consulting Arborist Society and is listed within their areas of professional expertise for QTRA and as an expert witness.

Ivan is a professional member of the Arboricultural Association and the International Society of Arboriculture.

He is a licensed Quantified Tree Risk Assessment user.

Ivan has undertaken professional expert witness training and has been registered as a Sweet and Maxwell Checked Expert Witness since 2008.

Throughout 2009 acted as the principal Tree Officer for Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council.

Ivan has produced several hundred Arboricultural Reports for the purposes of Development, Safety, Management, Mortgage, Subsidence, Mitigation and Litigation.



## Appendix 5: Further Information

### Building Near Trees – General

National Joint Utilities Group publication # 10 (1995), *Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Services in Proximity to Trees*. Downloadable at [www.njug.demon.co.uk/pdf/NJUG%20Publication10.pdf](http://www.njug.demon.co.uk/pdf/NJUG%20Publication10.pdf)

NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2., *Trees and Buildings*.

Horticulture LINK project 212. (University of Cambridge, 2004), *Controlling Water Use of Trees to Alleviate Subsidence Risk*.

### Tree Planting and aftercare

See [www.trees.org.uk/leaflets.php#](http://www.trees.org.uk/leaflets.php#) for downloadable leaflets on selecting a garden tree, planting, aftercare and veteran tree management.

### British Standards

BS 5837: 2012. Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations.

BS 3998: 2010. Recommendations for Tree Work.

BS 3936: 1992. Nursery Stock. Part 1: Specification for Trees and Shrubs.

BS 3936: 1992. Nursery Stock. Part 10: Specification for Groundcover Plants.

BS 4043: 1989. Transplanting Root-balled Trees.

BS 8004: 1986. Foundations.

BS 8103: 1995. Structural design of Low-Rise Buildings.

BS 8206: 1992. Lighting for Buildings.

BS 8545:2014. Trees: From nursery to independence in the landscape – Recommendations

BS 3882: 2007. Topsoil.

BS 4428: 1989. General Landscaping Operations (excluding hard surfaces).

### Permission to do Works to Protected Trees / Tree Law

Forestry Commission (Edinburgh, 2003), *Tree Felling – Getting Permission*. Country Services Division - Forestry Commission. Downloadable at [www.forestry.gov.uk/website/pdf.nsf/pdf/wgsfell.pdf?FILE/wgsfell.pdf](http://www.forestry.gov.uk/website/pdf.nsf/pdf/wgsfell.pdf?FILE/wgsfell.pdf)

Transport and the Regions (Department of the Environment, 2000), *Tree Preservation Orders, A Guide to the Law and Good Practice*. Downloadable at [www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/tposguide](http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/tposguide)

C. Mynors, *The Law of Trees, Forests and Hedgerows* (Sweet and Maxwell, London, 2002)

Communities and Local Government website with numerous downloadable documents, from: <http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/treeshighhedges/>

### Lighting Levels

P.J. Littlefair, B.R.E. 209: *Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight A guide to good practice*. B.R.E. Bookshop, London.

British Standards Institution. Code of practice for day lighting. *British Standard BS 8206: Part 2* (1992).

Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers. *Applications manual: Window Design* (London, 1987).

NBA Tectonics. A study of passive solar housing estate layout. *ETSU Report S-1126*. Harwell, Energy Technology Support Unit (1988).

I.P. Duncan; D. Hawkes, *Passive solar design in non-domestic buildings*. *ETSU Report S-110*. Harwell, Energy Technology.

P. J. Littlefair, *Measuring Daylight*, *BRE Information Paper 23/93 f3.50*. (Advises on measuring daylight under the real sky or an artificial sky, allowing for the changing nature of sky light).

### High Hedges

Communities and Local Government website with numerous downloadable documents, from: <http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/treeshighhedges/>

### Tree Specific Websites

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <a href="http://www.crowntrees.co.uk">www.crowntrees.co.uk</a>           | Crown Consultants site containing useful information    |
| <a href="http://www.trees.org.uk">www.trees.org.uk</a>                   | Arboricultural Association                              |
| <a href="http://www.rfs.co.uk">www.rfs.co.uk</a>                         | Royal Forestry Society of England, Wales and N. Ireland |
| <a href="http://www.treehelp.info">www.treehelp.info</a>                 | The Tree Advice Trust                                   |
| <a href="http://www.woodland-trust.org.uk">www.woodland-trust.org.uk</a> | The Woodland Trust                                      |
| <a href="http://www.treecouncil.org.uk">www.treecouncil.org.uk</a>       | The Tree Council  |



PDF readers select *page-width* for detail & *page-view* for scrolling

Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: Nicholas Taylor and Associates

Crown Ref: 09606C

Site: Rochester Spiritualist Temple, Rochester Square






Author: Ivan Button

Date: 6<sup>th</sup> November 2017

---

## Appendix 6: Tree Data Schedule and Site Plan(s)

The Tree Data Schedule and any drawings accompanying this report follow this page.  
They are also provided as separate documents for ease of printing and screen viewing.

| Reference<br>G = Group<br>H = Hedge | Age & Species  | Height (m) | Crown Ht (m) | Diameter (cm) | Crown Spread (m)<br>N<br>W<br>S<br>E | Scaled Tree Diagram (m)  | Notes  | Recommendations<br>(Independent of any development proposals) |                    | Vigour                  |                    | Amenity Value         |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|                                     |  |            |              |               |                                      |  |  | Priority  | Inspect Freq (yrs) | Physiological Condition |                    | Life Expectancy (yrs) |
|                                     |  |            |              |               |                                      |  |  |   |                    | Structural Condition    | Retention Category |                       |
| T1                                  | Semi-Mature<br><br><b>Cherry</b><br><br>Prunus sp.                   | 4          | 3            | 15            | 2<br>2.5<br>2                        |   | Position: Situated on third party land.<br>Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown.<br>History: No evidence of significant pruning.<br>Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b><br>Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.    | No action required.   |                    | Moderate                | Low                | 20-40<br><br>C        |
|                                     |  |            |              |               |                                      |  |  | n/a   | 1                  | Fair                    | Fair               |                       |
| T2                                  | Semi-Mature<br><br><b>Spindle</b><br><br>Euonymus europaea.          | 2.5        | 1            | 15            | 0.5<br>1<br>1.5                      |   | Position: Situated on third party land.<br>Form: Single stemmed and leaning with an unbalanced crown.<br>History: No evidence of significant pruning.<br>Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b><br>Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.  | No action required.   |                    | Moderate                | Low                | 20-40<br><br>C        |
|                                     |  |            |              |               |                                      |  |  | n/a   | 1                  | Fair                    | Fair               |                       |
| G3                                  | Semi-Mature<br><br><b>Lime</b><br><br>Tilia sp.                      | 5          | 2            | 15            | 2.5<br>2<br>2                        |   | Position: Situated on third party land.<br>Form: Four close-growing specimens.<br>History: Topped at 3m in the distant past.<br>Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b><br>Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.                           | No action required.   |                    | Moderate                | Low                | 20-40<br><br>C        |
|                                     |  |            |              |               |                                      |  |  | n/a   | 1                  | Fair                    | Fair               |                       |
| T4                                  | Early-Mature<br><br><b>Plum</b><br><br>Prunus sp.                    | 5          | 0.5          | 30            | 3<br>3<br>3                          |   | Position: Situated on third party land.<br>Form: Twin-stemmed at 0.5m with a balanced crown.<br>History: No evidence of significant pruning.<br>Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b><br>Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.           | No action required.   |                    | Moderate                | Low                | 20-40<br><br>C        |
|                                     |  |            |              |               |                                      |  |  | n/a   | 1                  | Fair                    | Fair               |                       |
| T5                                  | Early-Mature<br><br><b>Black Locust</b><br><br>Robinia pseudoacacia. | 15         | 2            | 35            | 4<br>1<br>5<br>4                     |  | Position: Situated on third party land.<br>Form: Single stemmed and vertical with an unbalanced crown.<br>History: No evidence of significant pruning.<br>Defects: <b>No significant defects observed.</b><br>Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | No action required.   |                    | Moderate                | Moderate           | 40+<br><br>B          |
|                                     |  |            |              |               |                                      |  |  | n/a   | 1                  | Fair                    | Fair               |                       |





# Tree Constraints Plan

(Existing Layout)



Photo 1



Photo 2



Photo 3



Photo 4

See the accompanying report for more photographs

Drawing No: CCL 09606C / TCP Rev: 1  
 Title: Tree Constraints Plan (Existing Layout)  
 Site: Rochester Square Spiritualist Temple NW1 9HU  
 Scale: 1:200 Paper Size: A2



| Tree Retention Categories |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Stems & canopies shown    |                 |
|                           | Category A tree |
|                           | Category B tree |
|                           | Category C tree |
|                           | Category U tree |


**Tree Retention Categories**

- Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years. Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable.
- Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years. Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention of these trees is desirable though less than Category A trees
- Unremarkable trees of low quality and merit. Individual specimens are not considered to be a material planning consideration.
- Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.

## Tree Constraints Plan

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | BS 5837 Root Protection Area (radius = 12xstem diameter)   |
|  | Root Protection Area needing amendment due to site conditions, e.g. presence of existing road or building. |
|  | Root Protection Area having been amended to account for site conditions                                    |


T1 = Tree No 1 G2 = Group No 2 H3 = Hedge No 3



MN = Measured North:  
 Canopy spreads are sometimes measured to an approximate N defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not aligned N-S or E-W.


| Tree Ref. | Species      | Height (m) | Root Protection Area |                |            |
|-----------|--------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|
|           |              |            | Radius (m)           | m <sup>2</sup> | Square (m) |
| T1        | Cherry       | 4          | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| T2        | Spindle      | 2.5        | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| G3        | Lime         | 5          | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| T4        | Plum         | 5          | 3.6                  | 41             | 6.4        |
| T5        | Black Locust | 15         | 4.2                  | 55             | 7.4        |





# Impact Assessment Plan

(Existing Layout with Proposals Overlaid)



Drawing No: CCL 09606C / IAP Rev: 3

Title: Impact Assessment Plan (Existing Layout with Proposals Overlaid)

Site: Rochester Square Spiritualist Temple NW1 9HU

Scale: 1:200 Paper Size: A2



| Tree Retention Categories |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Stems & canopies shown    |                 |
|                           | Category A tree |
|                           | Category B tree |
|                           | Category C tree |
|                           | Category U tree |

Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years. Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable.

Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years. Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention of these trees is desirable though less than Category A trees

Unremarkable trees of low quality and merit. Individual specimens are not considered to be a material planning consideration.

Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.

## Impact Assessment Plan

BS 5837 Root Protection Area (radius = 12xstem diameter)

Root Protection Area needing amendment due to site conditions, e.g. presence of existing road or building.

Root Protection Area having been amended to account for site conditions

T1 = Tree No 1 G2 = Group No 2 H3 = Hedge No 3

Tree to be removed to facilitate the proposal


Tree to be removed due to its low quality

Proposed pruning

**MN** = Measured North:

Canopy spreads are sometimes measured to an approximate N defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not aligned N-S or E-W.

| Tree Ref. | Species      | Height (m) | Root Protection Area |                |            |
|-----------|--------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|
|           |              |            | Radius (m)           | m <sup>2</sup> | Square (m) |
| T1        | Cherry       | 4          | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| T2        | Spindle      | 2.5        | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| G3        | Lime         | 5          | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| T4        | Plum         | 5          | 3.6                  | 41             | 6.4        |
| T5        | Black Locust | 15         | 4.2                  | 55             | 7.4        |




# Tree Protection Plan

## Restricted Activity Zones

Restrictions are detailed within the accompanying Method Statement

|  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
|  | Restricted Activity Zone |
|--|--------------------------|



Drawing No: CCL 09606C / TPP Rev: 3  
 Title: Tree Protection Plan  
 Site: Rochester Square Spiritualist Temple NW1 9HU  
 Scale: 1:200 Paper Size: A2



| Tree Retention Categories |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Stems & canopies shown    |                 |
|                           | Category A tree |
|                           | Category B tree |
|                           | Category C tree |
|                           | Category U tree |

Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years. Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable.

Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years. Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention of these trees is desirable though less than Category A trees

Unremarkable trees of low quality and merit. Individual specimens are not considered to be a material planning consideration.

Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.

## Tree Protection Plan

BS 5837 Root Protection Area (radius = 12xstem diameter)

Root Protection Area needing amendment due to site conditions, e.g. presence of existing road or building.

Root Protection Area having been amended to account for site conditions

T1 = Tree No 1 G2 = Group No 2 H3 = Hedge No 3

MN = Measured North:  
 Canopy spreads are sometimes measured to an approximate N defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not aligned N-S or E-W.

| Tree Ref. | Species      | Height (m) | Root Protection Area |                |            |
|-----------|--------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|
|           |              |            | Radius (m)           | m <sup>2</sup> | Square (m) |
| T1        | Cherry       | 4          | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| T2        | Spindle      | 2.5        | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| G3        | Lime         | 5          | 1.8                  | 10             | 3.2        |
| T4        | Plum         | 5          | 3.6                  | 41             | 6.4        |
| T5        | Black Locust | 15         | 4.2                  | 55             | 7.4        |