Basement Impact Assessment AUDIT: Instruction

Section A (Site Summary) – to be completed by Case Officer

Camden Case Reference:	2016/3930/P	Site Address:	Garde	63 Broadhurst ns NW6 3AU & 23 Hampstead Mews 3BB.
Case officer contact details:	Charles Thuaire 020 7974 5867	Date of audit request:	30.10	17
Statutory consultation end date:		22.9.16		
Reason for Audit:	Planning application /	oplication / Basement Extension		
Proposal description:	I			
<u> </u>	riginal BIA dated Dec 20 d and updated in June 20		•	·
Do the basement proposals involve a listed building or does the site neighbour any listed buildings?		ı		
_		No but adjoins lo	ocally li	sted building
-	e neighbour any listed	No but adjoins lo	ocally li	sted building Y

1v6 27/02/2017

Subterranean

(groundwater) flow

Υ

Does the application require determination by Development Control Committee in accordance fall the Terms of Reference ¹	Yes
Does the scope of the submitted BIA extend beyond the screening stage?	Yes

¹ Recommendations for approval of certain types of application require determination by Development Control Committee (DCC). From time to time applications which would normally be determined by officers under delegated authority are referred by the Director of Culture and Environment to DCC for decision. Where the Auditor makes representations at DCC on behalf of an application the fees for attendance will be passed to the applicant.

Section B: BIA components for Audit (to be completed by Applicant)

Items provided for Basement Impact Assessment (BIA)¹ Yes/ Name of BIA document/appendix in which Item provided No/ information is contained. NA^2 Υ Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 Description of proposed development. July 2017, section 1.1. Υ Refer to Fluid's CMS (ref 24999, dated June Plan showing boundary of development 2017) 2 including any land required temporarily during construction. Υ Plans, maps and or photographs to show Refer to Fluid's CMS (ref 24999, dated June 2017) 3 location of basement relative to surrounding structures. Plans, maps and or photographs to show Υ J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017, section 15.1 topography of surrounding area with any 4 nearby watercourses/waterbodies including consideration of the relevant maps in the Strategic FRA by URS (2014) Υ Plans and sections to show foundation Refer to Fluid's CMS (ref 24999, dated June 5 2017) details of adjacent structures. Plans and sections to show layout and Υ Refer to Fluid's CMS (ref 24999, dated June 6 2017) dimensions of proposed basement. Υ Refer to Fluid's CMS (ref 24999, dated June Programme for enabling works, construction 7 2017) and restoration. Identification of potential risks to land Υ Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017, sections 3.2, 3.3. 3.4, 4.1 and stability (including surrounding structures 8 15.0 and infrastructure), and surface and groundwater flooding. Assessment of impact of potential risks on Υ Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017, sections 3.2, 3.3. 3.4, 4.1 and 9 neighbouring properties and surface and 15.0 groundwater. Υ Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 10 Identification of significant adverse impacts. July 2017, section 4.1 and 15.0 11 Evidence of consultation with neighbours. Ν

12	Ground Investigation Report and Conceptual Site Model including - Desktop study - exploratory hole records - results from monitoring the local groundwater regime - confirmation of baseline conditions - factual site investigation report Ground Movement Assessment (GMA).	Y	Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017 Desktop study – Section 2.2 and 2.3 Exploratory hole records – Appendix Results from monitoring the local groundwater regime – Section 6.3 Confirmation of baseline conditions – Sections 2.3, 6.0, 6.1, 6.2 and 12.1 factual site investigation report – part 1 Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4
14	Plans, drawings, reports to show extent of affected area.	Y	July 2017 - part 3 Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017 - part 3
15	Specific mitigation measures to reduce, avoid or offset significant adverse impacts.	Y	Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017 – Section 13.2
16	Construction Sequence Methodology (CSM) referring to site investigation and containing basement, floor and roof plans, sections (all views), sequence of construction and temporary works.	Y	Refer to Fluid's CMS (ref 24999, dated June 2017)
17	Proposals for monitoring during construction.	N	The GMA recommended monitoring but a monitoring strategy has not been developed at this stage of the project
18	Confirmatory and reasoned statement identifying likely damage to nearby properties according to Burland Scale	Y	Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017, part 3
19	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties will be maintained (by reference to BIA, Ground Movement Assessment and Construction Sequence Methodology), including consideration of cumulative effects.	Y	Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017, part 3
20	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that there will be no adverse effects on drainage or run-off and no damage to the water environment (by reference to ground investigation, BIA and	Y	Refer to Fluid's CMS (ref 24999, dated June 2017)

	CSN effe	1), including consideration of cumulative cts.		
21	Identification of areas that require further investigation.		Υ	Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017 - Section 16.0
22	Non-technical summary for each stage of BIA.		Υ	Refer to J13364A report issue 2, dated 4 July 2017 - Section 15.1
Addi	tional	BIA components (added during Audit)		
ltem prov		Yes/No/NA ²		Comment

Notes:

 $^{^1}$ NB DP27 also requires consideration of architectural character, impacts on archaeology, amenity and other matters which are not covered by this checklist.

² Where response is 'no' or 'NA', an explanation is required in the Comment section.

Section C : Audit proposal (to be completed by the Auditor)

Date	Fee Categorisation (A/B/C) and costs (£ ex VAT)	Date estimate for initial report	Commentary (including timescales for completion of Initial Report)
Date	Category and cost -	This will depend on date of completion of section D but some indication is required	If possible please ALSO provide estimate for possible additional fees required to review consultation responses received to date.
02/11/2017	Cat C - £3,045	Approximately 4 weeks from instruction	Additional fees may be required for site attendance reviewing revised/resubmitted documentation reviewing third part consultation comment attending DCC

Note: Where changes to the fee categorisation are required during the audit process, this will require details to be updated in section E, with justification provided by the auditor.

These changes shall be agreed with the planning officer and the applicant, in writing before the work is undertaken.