CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Case reference number(s)							
2017/4999/P							
Case Officer:			Application Ad	dress:			
Emily Whittredge			Flat C 33 Sherriff Road London NW6 2AS	k			
Proposal(s)							
Erection of trellis and replacement of window with door to facilitate the use of the 2nd floor roof as a terrace.							
Representations							
Consultations:	No. notified	0	No. of responses	1	No. of objections No of comments No of support	1 0 0	
	The occupier of Flat A, 33 Road has objected to the application on the following grounds:						
Summary of representations	 The extension that is proposed to be built on has cracks and damp within Flats A and B. 						
(Officer response(s) in italics)	 The terrace would overlook the gardens of Flats A and B of no. 33, and Nos 35 and 31, creating a loss of privacy and noise nuisance. 						
	The owners of neighbouring properties may not be aware of the application since they let out their properties.						
	 Plans do not show no. 35 correctly, as it does not have a rear extension and the garden would therefore be overlooked. 						
	Officer response						

 The terrace would be screened on both side elevations by trellis panels, which would prevent overlooking of adjacent properties. The application was publicised in accordance with statutory requirements. The layout of the site and that of neighbouring properties was confirmed during the officer's site visit. The impact of the proposal was assessed with a full understanding of the buildings as they currently exist on site, and the location of the garden at no. 35 was taken into account in the assessment of the application. 	 The condition of the existing building is not a material planning consideration and cannot be taken into account in the assessment of the proposal.
 The layout of the site and that of neighbouring properties was confirmed during the officer's site visit. The impact of the proposal was assessed with a full understanding of the buildings as they currently exist on site, and the location of the garden at no. 35 was taken into account in the 	
during the officer's site visit. The impact of the proposal was assessed with a full understanding of the buildings as they currently exist on site, and the location of the garden at no. 35 was taken into account in the	3. The application was publicised in accordance with statutory requirements.
	during the officer's site visit. The impact of the proposal was assessed with a full understanding of the buildings as they currently exist on site, and the location of the garden at no. 35 was taken into account in the

Recommendation:- Grant planning permission