

From:
Sent:
To: Ha
Subjec

Dear Laura,

Please submit my present and previous objections.

Many thanks

Kind regards
Miriam

From:
Date: M
To: Mir
<[Plann](#)>
Subject:
NW3 7

Dear Miriam,

Thank you for your email.

Application ref: 2017/6069/P is a new application for the same development that was previously approved under reference 2014/1037/P and subsequently amended under reference 2015/1628/P.

In order to view the documents, please use the planning application search tool. If you click on this link, it should take you directly to the application.

Please let me know if you would like me to log your previous objections or if you will be submitting a new letter of objection.

Kind regards,

Laura Hazelton
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 1017



From 1 October 2016 you will not receive a letter from us if your neighbour submits a planning application. You can still find out about planning applications:

- on new improved posters on lamp posts
- by signing up to [planning e-alerts](#)
- in the planning section of the [Camden Account](#)

- through adverts in the Camden New Journal and Ham & High

You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From:
Sent: 1
To: Pla
Seonai
Subjec

To:
<la
<S

Sent: Sunday, 17 December 2017, 14:20

Subject: RE: PLANNING APPLICATION NO. 2017/6069/P - 10A OAKHILL AVENUE LONDON NW3 7RE

Dear Sirs,

I refer to your notice on the lamp posts in front of the above property. However I could not find Application No. 2017/6069/P on your website (please see the search result attached).

I can only guess that you are referring to the old applications 2014/1037/P, 2013/3477/P and 2013/6777/PRE probably close to expiry and needed to be extended (searching the subject address on your website supports my guess too).

1 - If I am correct, please kindly go back to our objections made in 2014, a copy of my email is below for your convenience.

2 - If I am incorrect, please put the application 2017/6069/P on your website so that one can have a look. In this case please inform 6 residents living in 6 properties in Autumn Rise, 10 Oakhill Avenue, NW3 7RE (next building to the subject) so that we do not miss it.

Note: To support our objections I also attach a letter I sent to you on 18 August 2014 with a Report written by Michael de Freitas regarding basement excavation in this area.

Best regards

Miriam Borchard (Flat 3 owner)

To:
Cc:
"gi
<S

Sent: Wednesday, 12 March 2014, 10:59
Subject: Re: PLANNING APPLICATION CONSULTATION

RE: 10 A Oakhill Avenue, London NW3 7RE
Application Ref: 2014/1037/P
Associated Ref: 2013/3477/P
Associated Ref: 2013/6777/PRE
For the attention of Ms Seonaid Carr,

In reply to your letter dated 19 February 2014, I would like to object strongly the above planning application because of the following reasons:

1. The site stands on the Claygate Member – Clay, Slit and Sand. It is highly shrinkable type of clay hence it is subject to potential subsidence and movements. The proposed basement deep excavation with its generated earth movements and vibrations will no doubt affect the present harmonious earth balance of the territory. This change will affect on the foundation of our building located so close to the proposed project risking a substantial subsidence of our building (No 10).
2. The proposed basement deep excavation with its concrete building spread in the proposed layout of the new building (from boundary to boundary – which itself is wrong and has to be stopped - unlike ground floor layout which is 1 metre from boundaries on both sides) is a serious obstruction for the underground water levels or rivers directing the current towards adjacent buildings. Unfortunately our building No 10, is located in the lower position (street's general gradient) hence all obstructed current with its increased level will be forced towards No. 10. Again unfortunately my property is located in the lower part of the No. 10 building (Lower Ground); therefore our property and garden flooding will be inevitable.
3. Loss of sunlight and natural light due to the effects which will be created by the intense overlooking of No. 10 habitable rooms, considering the expansions of the existing layout in all directions. This would specially affect badly our kitchen and lounge natural light through the existing windows.
4. Breaching of privacy by proposed terraces and balconies and introducing of new noises caused by use of motor room, water tanks and swimming pools machinery and plant to our building and garden (No 10).
5. Taking a big portion of the back garden for the proposed extension (some 10 metres) hence eroding the green area and its conservation which is clearly in contradiction with the Camden Biodiversity and Green Policies.
6. Different architectural character of the proposed building compared with other buildings located in Oakhill Avenue in general, and with 2 neighbouring buildings (No 8 & No 10) in particular.
7. Destroying the valuable irreplaceable existing trees that must be uprooted for the proposed project and those located downstream of the natural underwater current that will be disrupted by the excavations. This will be in contradiction with the Camden Biodiversity and Green Policies.
8. The choice of building materials proposed for the windows, garage door, canopy and other specified small areas in contradiction with the traditional

conservation area character associated to brick and timber windows materials.

9. The proposed 5 flats will add to the local traffic problems that in Hampstead Area have been always a subject for critics. Also it will add to the existing local schooling problems accordingly.

Considering the above points, please refuse.

Also, I wish to be notified of the committee date and the decision that is finally made for this application.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours faithfully

Miriam Borchard

Flat 3

Autumn Rise, 10 Oakhill Avenue

London NW3 7RE