

From: Lewis Hubbard lhubbard@peterbrett.com
Subject: 160216 - Condition 49 - Level Plans 2015/3977/INVALID
Date: 16 February 2016 at 12:14
To: Christensen, Michelle Michelle.Christensen@camden.gov.uk, Christian Dimpleby christian.dimpleby@architype.co.uk
Cc: Amanda Daly AmandaDaly@hill.co.uk, Iona Campbell iona.campbell@architype.co.uk, Rahul Patalia rpatalia@peterbrett.com, Matthew Wood mwood@peterbrett.com

LH

Hi Michelle,

See attached as requested.

Similarly to the drainage, the proposed levels have only been developed since planning for phase 1 (for construction) and phase 2 (for tender). Proposed levels for all phases except phase 1 are therefore subject to design development.

Regards,

Lewis Hubbard MEng CEng MICE
Civil Engineer

For and on behalf of Peter Brett Associates LLP
16 Brewhouse Yard, Clerkenwell, London, EC1V 4LJ
t 02075668619
e lhubbard@peterbrett.com
w www.peterbrett.com

Wren and Bell is now part of Peter Brett Associates LLP



From: Christensen, Michelle [<mailto:Michelle.Christensen@camden.gov.uk>]
Sent: 16 February 2016 09:55
To: 'Christian Dimpleby'; Lewis Hubbard
Cc: Amanda Daly; Iona Campbell
Subject: 160216 - Condition 49 - Level Plans 2015/3977/INVALID

Hi Lewis,

I understand that Christian of Architype spoke to you about this. Are you able to update your drawing with the revised levels in Phase 1a so Christian can submit and we can discharge this condition?

Happy to discuss further.

Thanks, Michelle

Michelle Christensen
Senior Development Manager

Telephone: 020 7974 1445

From: Christian Dimbleby [<mailto:christian.dimbleby@architype.co.uk>]
Sent: 05 February 2016 14:54
To: Christensen, Michelle
Cc: Lewis Hubbard; Amanda Daly; Iona Campbell
Subject: Re: Condition 49 - Level Plans 2015/3977/INVALID

Hi Michelle,

Sorry, no I haven't submitted anything on this. This was one of the items we discussed a while back, and the issue is that the condition relates to the whole site (not just phase 1a) like the water / drainage issue. I thought that you were looking into this with Lewis of PBA and they would be independently instructed to review all the boundary levels to make sure the whole site works with the roads etc...

However having had a think this afternoon I see the in the DAS section 8-4 (see attached scan) there is a drawing which has all the levels on, and believe this plan could easily be re-submitted and updated to release the condition.

I'm not sure if PBA have the base information from this drawing or whether H/B + MAE can forward this, then it can be updated with the latest minor changes to the levels around Block A as per T14011-PBA-DR-C-1a-A-00-LP-003 Proposed Levels. If Lewis is able to be update this I am happy to submit the information onto the planner.

Kind Regards

Christian Dimbleby
Architect & Chartered Engineer

020 7403 2889

Architype
Morocco Store
1b Leathermarket St
London SE1 3JA

www.architype.co.uk

On 5 Feb 2016, at 13:13, "Christensen, Michelle" <Michelle.Christensen@camden.gov.uk> wrote:

Hi Christian

Has this one been submitted yet?

Let me know if there are any problems and if I can do anything to help.

Thanks, Michelle

Michelle Christensen
Senior Development Manager

Telephone: 020 7974 1445

From: Glasgow, David
Sent: 26 January 2016 13:49
To: Christensen, Michelle
Cc: Trower, Zoe
Subject: FW: Condition 49 - Level Plans 2015/3977/INVALID

Hi Michelle,

FYI the last email I sent Christian Dimbleby about condition 49 (level plans) is below.

Thanks,

David

From: Glasgow, David
Sent: 21 January 2016 17:21
To: Christian Dimbleby (christian.dimbleby@architype.co.uk)
Subject: RE: Condition 49 - Level Plans 2015/3977/INVALID

Hi Christian,

Happy new year. I hope you had an enjoyable Christmas. Juts following up some old emails and can't recall where we left the below.

Have we received additional information for the levels yet?

Regards,

David

.

From: Glasgow, David
Sent: 18 November 2015 14:08
To: Christian Dimbleby (christian.dimbleby@architype.co.uk)
Cc: Christensen, Michelle
Subject: Condition 49 - Level Plans 2015/3977/INVALID

Dear Christian,

Further to our phone conversation earlier I can confirm that the level plans need to be submitted for the whole development and cannot be submitted by phase. FYI these further details were requested from Hill partnership via email on 17/07/15.

The requirement for level plans is completely independent of the amended building levels shown in the NMA application. Condition 49 is tied to clause 4.12 of the s106 Agreement. That purpose of that clause is to secure demonstration the levels at the interface of the development, the boundary of the property and the public highway has no adverse impact on the highway.

I hope this is clear please let me know if any questions.

Regards,

David Glasgow
Principal Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 02079745562
Web: camden.gov.uk
Town Hall Extension (Development Management)
Argyle Street
London WC1H 8ND

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

Architype Limited trading as Architype. Registered in England at Morocco Store, 1b Leathermarket St, London SE1 3JA.
Registered No. 4732075 VAT No. GB 420 4722 90

If you have received this email and it was not intended for you, please let us know, and then delete it. Please treat our information in confidence, as you would expect us to treat yours. Any views or opinions are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Architype.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in