



Dear Emily Quigley,

PA 2017/5401/L (8 Little Green Street)

The owner of this property has already put in an application (PA 2017/0076/L) for very substantial alterations to this Listed building in an entirely Listed street in January this year. I mailed you then with my informed objections (mail dated 19th January 2017) and it is my understanding that the applications was rejected.

I see that now a new application has been put in. This, while not quite as extensive as the original application, would still involve the entirely inappropriate creation of a whole extra mansard floor on this hitherto-unaltered 18th century house, together with the loss of its butterfly roof and with 'associated internal works' including, no doubt, a new internal stair. This is wholly unacceptable. This building, being an end-of-terrace house to a run of modest shop-houses (bow windows intact) is already, since its construction, slightly higher than its neighbours. The only visible alteration it appears to have undergone at some point is the modification of its front doorway, but it is a measure of how slight the alteration has been that the wood surrounds to the door are original and match those of the adjoining houses.

I feel strongly that people who buy expensive Listed houses (and this one must have been particularly expensive, given that Little Green Street is a unique survival in the borough of a by-gone rural era) should not then expect to be able to alter the whole nature of the property by trying to transform it into a larger one. If they wanted a larger house than those in Little Green Street they should have looked elsewhere in the first place.

Camden has, recently, had a good track-record for rejecting unsuitable and greedy applications relating to significant buildings. I trust and believe that this current application will be treated with the rejection it deserves.

Sincerely,

Gillian Tindall FRSL

