Dear Sir, Madam,

I write concerning planning application 2017/6038/P.

There appears some confusion about the deadline for comments, with the online consultation period differing from the notice period on the website so please see below a copy of a comment I submitted online last week, which I just want to ensure you have seen.

(It is a supplemental comment to our earlier one, which I can see has made it onto your website, thank you).

Best wishes,

David Burnside

This is a further comment from the neighbours at no.42, who directly overlook the proposed development. We have requested that the application be limited in height so as not to restrict light entering our living room window. From the plans, it appears the new development would be 200m about our cill height, so we ask please that the plans be amended and any consent restricts the height to below our cills.

I now see on the website that a prior application for an 8m long development was rejected. This proposal, at 5m,

remains longer than the standard permitted development of 4m. Our request please is that any consent remains restricted to the standard 4m, however, if longer is granted, that the plans note that it cannot extend beyond our

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com

window opening.