

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** ILTgroup Manuela Simonetti [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 December 2017 19:19  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2017/6045/P

Hallo

I would like to express my objection to the scheme.

It does not offer affordable units

it does not protect the biodiversity of the reservoir

it would cause noise, disturbance and pollution, and 6 stories are way too many.

there is no space left for additional parking requirements

the plan does not share the green spaces with the residents.

Kind regards  
Manuela Simonetti  
59 Hillfield Road  
NW6 1QD

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Virginia Berridge [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 December 2017 09:09  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** Virginia Berridge  
**Subject:** 2017/6045/P

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to object to the planning application by LifeCare to erect a luxury retirement village on the Gondar Gardens reservoir site.

My reasons are because:

- ∞ There will be no affordable housing
- ∞ The application fails to protect the open space and biodiversity of the reservoir
- ∞ The construction works will cause noise disturbance and pollution in a quiet almost country like area
- ∞ Visitors and residents would park in residents bays and generate additional traffic
- ∞ The proposal does not offer local residents access to the facilities or green space developed.

Please keep me informed of the outcome of this application. My address is below.

Virginia Berridge  
64 Hillfield Road London NW61QA

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 December 2017 09:53  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Gondar Gardens scheme ref 2017/6045/P

I am writing to object to this proposal because:

1. there are no affordable housing units provided in the scheme
2. the scale of the scheme is an over development of the site
3. there is no community gain, residents are not offered access to the facilities or the green space on the site
4. it fails to protect the open space or preserve the biodiversity of the reservoir
5. the scheme will generate a lot more traffic putting pressure on residents parking in the area
6. the construction works will cause considerable noise, disturbance and pollution.

Yours sincerely

Geoff Berridge

64 Hillfield Road  
London NW6 1QA

phone: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Nick Lerner [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 December 2017 09:56  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2017/6045/P Planning Gondar Gardens Reservoir

Sirs

I am writing to object to the plan for a care home on the Gondar Gardens Reservoir site.

The plan to put a care home there is wrong. It is too dense, too high, too ugly, and the impact on the local environment is truly bad.

It is the wrong place for a developer to make a quick profit at the expense of the long term quality of the neighbourhood.

1. The loss of open space contravenes the council's policies
2. There is no affordable housing aspect to the plan
3. It's nothing to do with the community
4. The design is inappropriate
5. Local amenity and a public asset will be lost for ever
6. It's a purely commercial development with nothing for the community.
7. There will be hundreds of journeys at all times of the day and night up Gondar Gardens
8. This will impact everyone living in the area and add to pollution, traffic, parking and noise

I sincerely hope that this plan is rejected because it is just wrong. We care about our environment and I hope that the Council shows that it does too by rejecting this bad plan

Best regards

Nick Lerner

35 Sarre Road NW2  
[REDACTED]



**From:** Sasha VIDAKOVIC [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 December 2017 10:46  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2017/6045/P

Dear Camden Council,

I am writing to **object to the development** of The Gondar Gardens Reservoir because it is:

- destruction of green lung of the West Hampstead
- closing one of the few remaining open spaces in increasingly bricked-up environments
- plain ugly

Thank you for hearing our voices,  
Roman, Lola, Barbara and Sasha Vidakovic  
17 Sarre Road, NW2 3SN

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 05 December 2017 10:53  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2017/6045/P

I write to object to LifeCare's proposal to build on Gondar Gardens Reservoir the space is a valuable local amenity, to residents and wildlife, and the impact of such a construction would severely affect both, as well as cause parking problems in an already oversubscribed area.

Yours sincerely,  
P Butcher  
12 Sarre Rd, NW2 3SL

[Redacted]

[www.globerunner.org](http://www.globerunner.org)



**From:** Amanda Gray [redacted]  
**Sent:** 05 December 2017 14:23  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2017/6045/P

I am writing to object to Oppose LifeCare's application for Gondar Gardens Reservoir. I object because it will have a negative impact on parking and traffic in our neighbourhood.  
Kind regards  
Amanda Gray  
7 Sarre Road, NW2 3SN

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Krish Thiru [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 06 December 2017 11:12  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** planning application 2017/6045/P I am writing to object current proposal

Dear Council lead,

I wish object the proposed planning application on the grounds that:

The area is already highly populated and dese although the building are low the roads are narrow with both sides always full of parked cars. The proposal will significantly increase moving and static traffic. This also increases the local pollutions levels in a council that is already has high pollution levels.

The current impact assessments are not fit for purpose since they focuses on site pollution as opposed to health impact of the increased pollutions levels on the resident population including that on child health. Especially so since the local population is has a greater number of children due to numerous local schools, demographics and close by play areas. A fuller health impact assessment of the traffic and pollution is needed. his is also counter to the London Mayors focus on pollution reduction

The work goes against the councils own Open Space policy and seems an over development of the green space when alternative land and space can be created by allowing home extensions and increase heights. This is a poorly designed proposal.

In addition no affordable homes are proposed in what is essentially a gated community that offers little benefits to local residents while proposing to cause significant burdens in traffic, health, noise, disturbances and loss of green space. Including from the expected links to emergency services and hospitals for health care.

A gated community is completely out of character with West Hampstead as a open inclusive and mixed community of users and services. The space to used to grow and nurture the current community with schools, plays areas and better local service for residents with additional housing ergonomically designed.

The is a private development with no chance for the local population to make use of the green space facilities by removing the little green oasis that we do have locally destroying the slow worm and red listed bird populations in an areas which is already lacking in biodiversity. This redevelopment only punishes nature further

Your sincerely

K Thiru

NW6 1QA





---

**From:** Greg Slotsky [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 02 December 2017 13:11  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2017/6045/P Objection

Good day,

I am writing to you to object to the proposed development on the Gondar Gardens reservoir by Lifecare Residences.

I am objecting this proposal given it does not appear to protect the open space, animals and biodiversity on the reservoir. This development would also not be beneficial to residents as no access to this green space would be offered to local area residents

This proposal also does not offer any affordable units which are required in the area and more importantly the construction would mean a considerable amount of noise and pollution to the area.

Regards,  
Greg Slotsky

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Richard Young [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 December 2017 08:56  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: I am writing to OBJECT to the planning application 2017/6045/P

---

**From:** Richard Young [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** 04 December 2017 08:54  
**To:** planning@camden.gov.uk  
**Subject:** I am writing to OBJECT to the planning application 2017/6045/P

\*I have emailed the below previously but cannot see it on the planning web page. Please ensure that it is uploaded.  
\*I will resend without the image incase it has exceeded your handling capabilities for emails

Dear Planning Officer,

I am writing to object in the strongest of terms to the above planning application. The developers are asking your permission to build an oversized and over-elevated building in an established residential community which is fortunate to benefit from an open green space in the form of the decommissioned reservoir on Gondar Gardens.

The open space has several special designations which will be contravened. The proposals will significantly increase the local population with no increase in local amenities or affordable housing.

The developers propose 10 new buildings with a population of perhaps 300 people in addition to a workforce supporting a 15 bedded nursing home, restaurant, bar, swimming pool, gym, 4 chauffer driven cars, reception and site support for rubbish, deliveries, maintenance, traffic management etc. All this will be accomplished with no parking spaces or adequate cycle parking available on site for workers, residents or visitors. The logistics of servicing a site of this magnitude is glossed over in the Design and Access statement although it does state that a refuse lorry will block Gondar Gardens 3 times a week whilst it is waiting to empty the bins. The traffic will significantly increase to unacceptable levels for a single carriage way 2 way road on a very steep hill. The council refuse trucks already struggle to negotiate the tight turn off Mill Lane on a weekly basis. This raises highway safety issues especially in winter months when the steep hill can freeze. The knock on congestion from a continuous stream of vehicles arriving from early in the morning with restaurant deliveries will have a very negative impact on the existing residents. The parking pressures will presumably increase unless the restrictions are changed which would be to the detriment of the existing residents

The noise from the development especially the plant room will cause a nuisance to the closest neighbours and the light generated by a development of this height will irrevocably change the entire nature of the neighbourhood. The building phase and loss of habitat may endanger the protected species of slow worms and birds. The residents are very unlikely to engage and integrate into the local community.

I also object the planning application on the basis of loss of light and overshadowing of the 6 storey building that will be within 6-10 m of my back fence. This will put us in shadow on the ground floor especially in the winter months when light is at a premium. In addition to this the loss of privacy when we are in the house as presently there is no line of sight from any other building into our ground floor which has a glass back wall or into the upper floor windows which are all bedrooms.

The aerial model provided by the developers in their own literature really says it all.

Two previous planning applications have been granted to build either in the reservoir or along the front. These have not come to fruition perhaps because there was no profit in it for the developers. The scale of this development is presumably required by Lifecare to make the site financially viable. Please think very carefully about supporting the financial future of a company from the other side of the world to the detriment of Camden residents.

Yours faithfully  
Richard Young

I'm using Adobe Send & Track.

You can view "image002.wmz" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/b6ca60bd-492f-4351-86fb-8abc64ea32dd>