

CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Case reference number

2015/2974/P

Case Officer:

Samir Benmbarek

Application Address:

Regent's Gate, Cecil Grove, St. Edmund's Terrace,
London, NW8 7QB

Proposal

Variation of Condition 2 (approved plans) and variation of Condition 3 (refuse) and Condition 4 (recycling) of planning permission 2010/4850/P granted 13/12/2010 (Erection of two buildings (4-storeys and 6-storeys) with basement to provide 64 (28 private and 36 affordable) residential units (2 x 4-bedroom, 15 x 3-bedroom, 19 x 2-bedroom, and 28 x 1-bedroom) with 29 car parking spaces (19 underground and 10 surface level), 71 cycle parking spaces, and associated landscaping (following demolition of all existing buildings on site).

Representations

Consultations:	No. notified	32	No. of responses	03	No. of objections	02
					No of comments	01
					No of support	00

Summary of representations

(Officer response in italics)

To date, two objections have been received. They have been received from the owner/occupiers at:

- Number Unknown ,St Edmund's Terrace
- 26c St Edmund's Terrace

Their comments are as follows:

1. No detail to the intended use of the existing refuse storage
2. Increase in height of the buildings on the application site

Officer's Response:

- 1. The existing refuse storage will be intended to be used as dry storage for the management company/residents of the development.*
- 2. There will be no increase on heights of the existing buildings.*

To date, one comments has been received from the owner/occupier at:

- 27 St Edmund's Terrace

Their comments are as follows:

1. No information provided on the proposed use of the current refuse storage
2. Existing layout plan is inaccurate
3. Replacement of trees
4. Artist's impression of the site

Officer's Response:

- 1. The existing refuse storage will be intended to be used as dry storage for the management company/residents of the development.*
- 2. The discrepancies in the existing plan are minimal and are not considered to affect the impact of the proposal upon design or amenity.*
- 3. It is considered that there will still be an adequate amount of landscaping remaining as a result of the proposal.*
- 4. The submitted documentation is considered acceptable to communicate and determine the proposal.*

Recommendation:-

Grant Variation of Condition