Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	.03
2017/6027/P	Peter Gluckstein	11 Railey Mews Kentish Town	30/11/2017 09:20:08	OBJ	Dear Mr Farrant,	
		London NW5 2PA			I am writing on behalf of the RAILEY MEWS RESIDENT ASSOCIATION to express our concern and to formally object to the application 2017/6027/P.	
					1. Primarily we are extremely surprised the developer intends to place the plant for the entire development between two residential houses with sharing party walls given the potential noise and vibration pollution for the neighbours in the Mews. In addition, assuming there will be a roof level outlet for the air conditioning systems we are concerned about fumes affecting the existing roof terraces. The latter point has not been addressed in the plans.	
					2. The results from the INAUDIO assessment of the Clark Sanders noise assessment report provided to the planning officer, confirms serious shortfalls and our concern re the lack of sound and vibration insulation between the properties and the front elevation of the building from where noise can pass directly out into the Mews and into numbers 1 & 2 Railey mews.	
					3. Regarding the double doors leading onto the Mews from the plant area and two office buildings behind. Our residents are extremely concerned this door will be used as an emergency exit or general exit for the office development which will inevitably be used as a place to smoke cigarettes and function as an exit from the general office development to the Pineapple pub, shops and local transportation. This would cause unacceptable discomfort to the residents in Railey Mews. We object to this use of the second door and request conditions to planning consent would specifically make reference to how and what the double door can and cannot be used for – as was the case in the original planning consent.	
					Yours sincerely	
					Peter Gluckstein Chairman.	

Printed on: 01/12/2017

09:10:03