

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5664/P	S Bobasch	12A Keats Grove	30/11/2017 13:57:06	OBJ	<p>Whilst I sympathise with the stated aims of the applicant, I regret any loss of parking for our neighbours and our visitors.</p> <p>They bought the house knowing it was without parking and now seek to increase its value with a dedicated off street space.</p> <p>Above all, my objection is to the parking survey which is misleading.</p> <p>I am sure than Camden Officers will have analysed such surveys before and be expert on the approach. As a laymen, who understand a bit about statistics, I would just add for the sake of this objection:</p> <p>FIRST the survey is timed for 2 weekday nights. This covers neither the weekends nor, more importantly the day time.</p> <p>Parking "stress" is much higher in the day then at night.</p> <p>This is partly because the pay and display spaces are heavily used in the day with the side effect of allowing residents less spaces.</p> <p>Another factor is that visitors to the area park more in the day than overnight. Overnight visitors are less common.</p> <p>In this area, with its relatively large number of elderly residents, the visitors include carers elderly friends sometimes with blue badges, or volunteers for the church and elsewhere all needing parking in Residents spaces.</p> <p>All to explain why the choice of survey time is misleading.</p> <p>It needs to be re-run at day times - morning AND afternoons AND evenings on a typical weekday (that is to exclude weekends or school holidays!)</p> <p>SECOND, the survey combines all options - residents and the pay and display spaces in order - to demonstrate stress at a low figure.</p> <p>At night, when the survey was undertaken, this is irrelevant as the pay and display spaces are for transient visitors and overnight parking is in effect limited to the residents bays.</p> <p>Unless the person parking overnight is planning to move early, then he would have to obtain an 8am permit to remain and then move after the permit had expired - in other words an unlikely scenario, especially for residents.</p> <p>In reality residents do not use pay and display overnight - their visitors might use pay and display in the evenings but not during the period surveyed.</p> <p>The numbers for need to be tested without including the pay and display spaces at all.</p> <p>If you do that, the result is different even at night - which I submit is not typical - and it appears that residents spaces in the nearby roads are well over 70% full (again at night only) a quite different result .</p> <p>Accordingly, I object on the grounds that the survey is misleading in its conclusions based on the data being gathered at the wrong time and including pay and display.</p> <p>Unless corrected data shows no parking stress, then reluctantly I would object to the loss of amenity for car parking.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5664/P	Martin Humphery	32 Willoughby Rd London NW3 1RU	30/11/2017 14:03:41	OBJEMPE R	<p>Note that there is a car charging space only a sort distance away on this actual street and that space is not always in use - doubtless Camden has figures - and another may be added if needed.</p> <hr/> <p>I object to this application on the following grounds</p> <p>It contravenes LBC's policy of discouraging off street parking It turns existing valuable green space int hard standing, despite the applicants pretence that the method to be used is "green" I dispute the assertion that this road is lightly parked. I have always found it to be full. The owner's intention to change cars is immaterial. They or future owners will be free to change again To grant this application would set am appalling precedent for the destruction of green space by neighbours in this important part of the CA</p> <hr/>