

Martyn Hunter-Craig,  
Flat 11,  
59 Christchurch Hill,  
London, NW3 1JJ

Development Management,  
Regeneration and Planning,  
Culture and Environment Directorate,  
Camden Town Hall,  
Judd Street,  
London, WC1H 8ND

Dear Alyce Keen,

*Planning Application - 2017/5996/P 2, Cannon Place LONDON NW3 1EJ*

I object to this project my reasons are as follows:

I think that it would set a dangerous precedent for similar trees if this one is felled.

According to the **Arboricultural Development Statement**: "Summary of Arboricultural Implication" section 7, "There is one tree that will be lost to facilitate the development and allow reasonable

usable garden space" Further on in the same tree survey; 7. Summary of Arboriculture Implications: Potential Cause of Harm – Root severance. Who is going to potentially sever the roots but careless

construction workers?

I think this is a feeble excuse simply to cut down a perfectly good and valuable tree. The Bird Cherry is an unusual tree, and when in full bloom is very attractive. I object to the loss of this tree which is visible from the public footpath and offers amenity to the local outlook. It is a valuable asset to the local community.

The spring flowers provide early nectar and pollen for bees and the fruit provides food for blackbirds and song thrush as well as small mammals such as wood mouse and dormouse. A diversity of wildlife will be lost if trees like this are felled.

There are already comprehensive and detailed instructions on how to protect the trees during building work so to say that potentially, there will be root severance of this tree is a paradox.

I would like a tree protection order placed on this tree.

Yours sincerely,



Martyn Hunter-Craig