

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5172/P	Angie Tennant	22 Churchill Road London NW51AN	24/11/2017 15:36:55	COMMNT	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I have been informed that health complaints do not sway much with preventing the erection of Base stations. I am anxious to know why when there have been considerable scientific researches (not necessarily many in the UK)! I attach 2 links from research in Germany where living extremely close does damage health. Many people are of the opinion that base stations should not be erected next to schools as it will take years more of research to know the long-term effects on children. Links are</p> <p>http://www.tetrawatch.net/links/links.php?id=stoiberlet</p> <p>and</p> <p>http://www.powerwatch.org.uk/news/20050722_bamberg.asp</p> <p>The base station is also Totally ignoring the policys in place for the conservation area we in which I know several people have pointed out "contrary to policy CS14 of the Camden Core Strategy, policies DP24 and DP25 of the Camden Development Policies, policies D1 and D2 of the Draft Local Plan, The London Plan and NPPF".</p> <p>Yours sincerely Angie Tennant</p>
2017/5172/P	Caroline Noon	19 Churchill Road London NW5 1AN	28/11/2017 00:20:53	COMMNT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The masts and antennae would be visually prominent and incongruous with the roof line of Winifrede Paul House • This would change the view from York Rise which is inconsistent with the Conservation Area, and fails to preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area (contrary to policy CS14 of the Camden Core Strategy, policies DP24 and DP25 of the Camden Development Policies, policies D1 and D2 of the Camden Drat Local Plan, The London Plan and NPPF) • It is within 300 metres of Acland Burghley School which has potential health concerns • We are also concerned by the proximity of the phone masts to residents' homes; while we do understand that the proposals conform to current international guidelines on RF emission, it is widely accepted that biological effects might occur below these guidelines • The application says that work has already started (section 3) which makes it seem like an ongoing installation rather than one that was started before appropriate planning permission had been sought, so we hope that this is noted in considering their application