

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5942/P	Mary Horlock	1 pilgrim's lane NW3 1SJ	18/11/2017 10:27:26	COMMEM AIL	<p>The structures looks very similar to the ones that were previously removed under an enforcement order by the Council.</p> <p>The emphasis on their 'wheels' seems irrelevant since the applicant has made clear the structures will not be moved. His aim has always been to divide the existing roof terrace into two roof terraces, one for his tenants at 40B Rosslyn Hill and one for his tenants at 3 Pilgrim's Lane, in order to increase the rental value.</p> <p>The terrace was never built to be so divided and offers NO privacy to either tenants. This has already caused many problems with noise for the neighbours on either side, and greatly increased the issue of overlooking.</p> <p>These matters are apparently no issue for the applicant since he is a landlord and NOT a resident, yet surely residents have a right to a peaceful night's sleep and a respectable amount of privacy.</p> <p>The proposed structures and the division they create are not only unsightly but deeply anti-social.</p> <p>I would also like to point out that the original use for the staircase onto the elevated terrace at the rear of 3 Pilgrim's lane was as a fire exit, not for general access.</p> <p>By degrees, the applicant is trying to change the use of this outside space much to the detriment of those in the neighbourhood.</p> <p>I would also like to point out that as the terrace will in fact serve 3 Pilgrim's Lane and not just 40B Rosslyn Hill, the landlord have changed the terms of this application to make it clear?</p> <p>Overall, I feel this application is misleading and both the means of dividing the terrace and the very idea of dividing it, is unworkable.</p> <p>Regards</p>
