

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>Street are significantly lower. It appears to me that the applicant desires to fill in all the buildings to the highest levels, generating more revenue for the company but not improving the quality of living in the area. This is a potentially endless trend as many more buildings could be extended upwards to meet the highest building level.</p> <p>It is difficult to see how the proposed development can be described as 'collective refurbishment and associated external works' in the application-form and as 'the renovation and re-use of the buildings' and as 'a creative reuse of buildings of character' in the supporting Heritage Statement, given that it clearly involves the total demolition and reconstruction of the front elevation of nos. 41-45, Neal Street, major alterations to the rear elevations of nos. 41-45 and nos. 47-49 Neal Street, the near total demolition of the party-walls between the properties, the removal of the original roofs of each of the three buildings, the removal of the existing top storey of nos. 47-49, the addition of two storeys on no. 39, the addition of one storey on nos. 41-45, Neal Street, and the replacement of one storey and the addition of another on nos. 47-49, Neal Street, and possibly involves the loss and replacement of the existing floors and the original, free-standing iron columns internally. Given their potentially damaging impacts, the proposals are clearly contrary to the relevant national, London-wide and local planning and conservation policies and published guidance, and as such should be firmly rejected by the Council.</p> <p>Finally, I would appreciate being advised of the following: Any submission of additional or amended drawings; the date and time of the formal consideration of the proposals by the Planning Committee and the outcome of the Committee's consideration of the application.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5814/P	Rae Fether	Lower Maisonette 110 Chetwynd Road NW5 1DH	21/11/2017 20:48:22	OBJ	<p>Although this application for a Certificate of Lawfulness is allowed under Permitted Development the number of rooflights appears excessive for purely a storage area. In addition the applicant has already permission for a dormer over a fixed staircase. There is regrettably something of a loophole here which could allow a resident to (illegally) build a habitable room with the benefit of rooflights and after 4 years claim existing use. This could be prevented if the Certificate of Lawfulness referred to the numbered plans and the use shown on these drawings as a storage facility,</p> <p>The installation of rooflights to the front roof is particularly unfortunate as there is a run of untouched roofs in this part of Twisden Road and this would set a regrettable precedent.</p>
