## Dike, Darlene

From:

**Sent:** 13 March 2017 09:58

To: Planning

Cc:

Subject: Comments on 2017/0705/P have been received by the council - Darlene 14.3.17,

loaaed

Dear Sir/Madam.

If, as requested by JennyMcCririck, you arrange for someone to pay a site visit, it will be come immediately clear why this proposal should be rejected.

Yours faithfully, Lesley Stewart

From: cplanning@camden.gov.uk>
Date: 12 March 2017 at 21:51:09 GMT
To:

Subject: Comments on 2017/0705/P have been received by the council.

We own 9/10 Albert Terrace Mews.10 has suffered subsidence. Our houses are directly opposite no 20 and I do not know how we could live with the noise/dust/pollution. The road is very narrow here. When even transit van parks we have no space to exit the house. If he has a moving conveyor it will be directly opposite our house where we live and will be dangerous to exit from front door in the narrow gap How could you.

narrow gap. How could you prevent the soil being thrown against our house when it is removed from

the ground. There is no space to store any materials without blocking our access and emergency vehicles will be unable to access. We cannot as suggested approach this narrow Mews from West side and reverse out again into the busy main road+ pavement as we are totally concealed + extremely dangerous+ illegal? He wishes to extend outside footprint of the house. Surely against

Camden rules?

If he extends out into his parking space then the car will block the road.

The road has subsidence - look at no 19 by fence + no 20 by parking area + you can see where it subsided and has been refilled a few months ago- different shade. 21 has a basement which floods if they do not keep a pump working 24hrs a day. Please look at road outside 21 where it is subsiding. This is proof that there is a problem with water under this area. When 19 was being built they discovered an old well on the site.

No 10 has already been costly underpinned and is has a crack from basement excavation at no 11.

There is a mention of a front garden. There is no front garden only some paved but he puts the bins. It seems that you have already allowed him to extend at 1st floor level opposite 9/10. We ask that those windows are in

obscure glass to prevent him seeing into our house as the gap between properties is so narrow.It is an invasion of our privacy and takes away light from the house. I have already told you that we need lights on throughout the day and this will mean I need to have more lighting installed and use more electricity - pollution- increased energy consumption. We feel that if you allow this work to be done it will make our home uninhabitable due to impossibility of access/ exit from the narrow street let alone vehicle access to/ from our house.during the whole construction time:The air will be terrible due to pollution.

I have double glazed windows facing no 20 but there will be constant unhealthy dust within my house - pollution which is already above what is lawful in this area. I would ask that the committee make a site visit and meet some of the residents who can point out the problems. I have photos of the road subsidence if the planning officer does not have them. You can see clearly that there is already

a problem, particularly in the area outside nod 19,20,21 so it is completely untrue to state there is no subsidence in the area. We ask you to stop this basement being built. Thank you

Comments made by Jenny McCririck of 10 Albert Terrace Mews, London NW1TA

Preferred Method of Contact is Post

Comment Type is Comment Made and Notify of Committee Date