

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** James Earl <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 17 November 2017 06:56  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Re: Objection to planning application 2017/5431/P + 3 others

Hello,  
I'm resending this as I can't see it included in the objections for the three planning applications.  
Please can you make sure it's included?  
Thanks,  
James

---

**From:** James Earl <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 08 October 2017 12:58  
**To:** Planning Camden  
**Subject:** Objection to planning application 2017/5431/P + 3 others

Dear Camden Council,

I am writing to object to the planning application for a telephone kiosk at 319-323 West End Lane (2017/5431/P).

The proposal will block a busy stretch of pavement to the detriment of pedestrians. It will also hinder the movement of disabled people, those with buggies/prams and those with visual impairments.

The design appears to be poor and the kiosk is likely to become a magnet for anti-social behaviour. Other phone boxes in the area have been vandalised and have been used to display calling cards for sex workers and drug dealers.

The proposal is also in clear breach of the Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan - in particularly Policy 3 (Safeguarding and Enhancing Conservation Areas) and Policy 9 (Pavements and Pedestrians).

In addition, I also object to the linked planning applications for a series of telephone kiosks in the area (ref: 2017/5432/P, 2017/5430/P & 2017/5429/P) for similar reasons.

I urge the Council to refuse all four planning applications.

Best wishes,

James Earl

