

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5313/P	Kim Catcheside	103 Camden Mews NW19BU	11/11/2017 23:11:27	OBJ	<p>.</p> <p><b>OBJECTION</b></p> <p>Application No: 2017/5313/P</p> <p>I live at 103 Camden Mews, two doors down from number 99 Camden Mews. I object to the proposed planning application on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Daylight and sunlight loss. The height of the proposed roof will create a threatening sense of enclosure in the mews and rob pedestrians of daylight.</li> <li>• Conservation Area The design poor and has no underlying conceptual idea or recognition of the character and significance of the existing building within the conservation area. It is a residential generic design of the type that has no reference to the type or character of the existing building that results in an architectural blandness. Along with 97 Camden Mews, 99 creates a whole, balanced elevation that is the only surviving original building in this part of the mews. The removal of the connecting brickwork at ground level between 97 and 99 Camden Mews and its replacement with a timber infill not only introduces an alien material to this particular building but divides the building into 3 distinct parts, which were formerly two, destroying the integrity and the character of the original composition and is detrimental to the character of the conservation area and is certainly not enhancing it. There would be harm to the conservation area and no public benefit that will accrue from this development.</li> <li>• The applicant mentions '.....local neighbours have been visited individually and there were no objections' and ...following extensive pre-application discussions with the Council and neighbours' This did not occur. I understand that one generic letter was delivered through the letterbox of those living opposite. I was not contacted and there was no discussion.</li> </ul> <p>Kim Catcheside 103 Camden Mews NW19BU</p>

---

2017/5313/P	john southall	84 Camden Mews NW1 9BX	08/11/2017 10:25:19	OBJEMAI L	<p>Further to my comments of Monday 6 November, I wish to correct the number of adjacent property to 99a not 97. So the whole composition should read as one, 99a and 99 Camden Mews.</p>
-------------	---------------	------------------------------	---------------------	--------------	---

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5313/P	Kim Catcheside	103 Camden Mews NW19BU	11/11/2017 23:11:47	OBJ	. OBJECTION Application No: 2017/5313/P

I live at 103 Camden Mews, two doors down from number 99 Camden Mews. I object to the proposed planning application on the following grounds:

- Daylight and sunlight loss.  
The height of the proposed roof will create a threatening sense of enclosure in the mews and rob pedestrians of daylight.

- Conservation Area

The design poor and has no underlying conceptual idea or recognition of the character and significance of the existing building within the conservation area.

It is a residential generic design of the type that has no reference to the type or character of the existing building that results in an architectural blandness. Along with 97 Camden Mews, 99 creates a whole, balanced elevation that is the only surviving original building in this part of the mews.

The removal of the connecting brickwork at ground level between 97 and 99 Camden Mews and its replacement with a timber infill not only introduces an alien material to this particular building but divides the building into 3 distinct parts, which were formerly two, destroying the integrity and the character of the original composition and is detrimental to the character of the conservation area and is certainly not enhancing it. There would be harm to the conservation area and no public benefit that will accrue from this development.

- The applicant mentions '.....local neighbours have been visited individually and there were no objections' and ...following extensive pre-application discussions with the Council and neighbours'

This did not occur. I understand that one generic letter was delivered through the letterbox of those living opposite. I was not contacted and there was no discussion.

Kim Catcheside  
103 Camden Mews  
NW19BU

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5313/P	Kim Catcheside	103 Camden Mews NW19BU	11/11/2017 23:11:46	OBJ	. OBJECTION Application No: 2017/5313/P

I live at 103 Camden Mews, two doors down from number 99 Camden Mews. I object to the proposed planning application on the following grounds:

- Daylight and sunlight loss.  
The height of the proposed roof will create a threatening sense of enclosure in the mews and rob pedestrians of daylight.

- Conservation Area

The design poor and has no underlying conceptual idea or recognition of the character and significance of the existing building within the conservation area.

It is a residential generic design of the type that has no reference to the type or character of the existing building that results in an architectural blandness. Along with 97 Camden Mews, 99 creates a whole, balanced elevation that is the only surviving original building in this part of the mews.

The removal of the connecting brickwork at ground level between 97 and 99 Camden Mews and its replacement with a timber infill not only introduces an alien material to this particular building but divides the building into 3 distinct parts, which were formerly two, destroying the integrity and the character of the original composition and is detrimental to the character of the conservation area and is certainly not enhancing it. There would be harm to the conservation area and no public benefit that will accrue from this development.

- The applicant mentions '.....local neighbours have been visited individually and there were no objections' and ...following extensive pre-application discussions with the Council and neighbours'

This did not occur. I understand that one generic letter was delivered through the letterbox of those living opposite. I was not contacted and there was no discussion.

Kim Catcheside  
103 Camden Mews  
NW19BU

---

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2017/5313/P	Susan Hailstone	101 Camden Mews London NW1 9BU	08/11/2017 13:18:18	OBJ	<p>I live at 101 Camden Mews My comments:</p> <p>1 concerned about overshadowing and loss of sunlight and daylight to my rear ground floor window and small patio garden. I would wish to see a detailed daylight and sunlight report to substantiate that there is no loss.</p> <p>2 sense of enclosure. Increased sense of enclosure to the rear of my property</p> <p>3 design The design does harm to the conservation area by destroying the existing and original elevation to Camden Mews. These two buildings, 99A and 99 form a whole to the Mews and the insertion of a timber infill removes and breaks this composition.</p>

---