

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5232/P	Mrs Jennifer McCrick	10 Albert Terrace Mews Regents Park London NW17TA	14/11/2017 23:54:30	OBJ	<p>I believe that the planned access hatch is higher than existing and will cause overlooking to no 9 as it is at a higher level + no 9 has glass roof structure whole length of 1st floor which will be overlooked.</p> <p>Despite architects comment there is no access hatch to roof of no 9.,That is untrue as any site visit will prove.</p> <p>I am unsure that no 8 has any permission for us of roof as roof terrace either. The only access to roof of no 9 is by ladder.</p> <p>There was never any use of roof at no 8 as roof terrace during the time we have owned no 10.</p> <p>Using this as a roof terrace would mean no 8 looking directly Into upper floor of no 9 unless blinds closed 24 hrs a day. It would be total loss of privacy.</p> <p>Of course it would overlook-CPG1</p> <p>Unless I am mistaken hatch is so much higher than at present,</p> <p>I sincerely hope that glass doors do not face the road in this conservation area. They ar totally out of keeping and overbearing</p> <p>If roof access is covered in metal it will take light away from no 9 which was not even allowed a backnwindow 1st floor because of perceived overlooking so how can you allow this structure/ use which destroys any privacy no 9 upper floor.. it would impact so much more on no 9 than no 20 planned roof terrace as it is so much closer.You turned down that application for 20.</p> <p>I would stress that we believe access is to roof and not use as roof terrace. It would cause noise nuisance for other nearby houses in the Mews if used as roof terrace.</p> <p>No 9 has no roof access - only a couple of windows opening upwards with no access to roof other than by ladder.</p> <p>I have not received any letter although much impacted by this proposal which I urge you to refuse.</p>
