Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5455/P	David Keane	4 Iverson Road London NW6 2QT	06/11/2017 08:46:19	OBJNOT	I am the owner of 4 Iverson Road. With regard to the proposal I do not have an objection to the demolition of the present building known as the Brondesage. With regard to the design of the proposed front elevation this is in keeping with the adjacent properties and I also have no objection. However, I do object to the extent of the rear construction. This is certainly not in accordance with the design of the adjacent properties. The proposed rear elevation extends in line with the garden boundary wall of No.2 Iverson Road. The present construction to the rear annex of the property is one storey high but the proposal is to increase to four storeys high. Within the report provided by BVP on the planning application for Daylight & Sunlight to Neighbouring Properties and Proposed Accommodation dated 29 June 2017, the report confirms as early as Point 1.2 that the light criteria set out by BRE will not be achieved. The report suggests that because they gained a good set of results that a failed result should mean that the overall BRE criteria should be ignored. What is required is outlined in 3.5.1 with regard to 'Permanent Overshadowing to gardens, usually the main back garden of a house'. This rear design would have a huge impact on the natural light which is enjoyed by me and many of the neighbours on the southside of Iverson Road. The proposed building at the rear would diminish the natural light and cast shadows across the gardens and properties for a considerable period of time during the winter months. As you are aware the reason for such recommendations by BRE is to prevent such issues as Seasonal Affective Disorder (SAD), which is also known as winter depression. I therefore object to this proposal which has been overextended to the rear of the property. Should the proposer wish to keep the design in keeping with the adjacent properties, I consider that this would be acceptable.