

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5171/P	M Caplan	garden flat 10 Belsize Crescent NW3 5QU NW3 5QU NW3 5QU	05/11/2017 08:31:03	OBJNOT	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I believe that the Planning Permission applied for should be denied at this time until an up to date noise assessment has been obtained my reasons are listed below.</p> <p>1: The Report submitted by Sandy Brown although dated 20th January 2017 refers to an assessment carried out in October 2011 and is an assessment of the proposed plant items related to Planning Application number 2012/3291/P. There is a caveat in the Summary of this report which states 'as long as the recommended mitigation measures are incorporated as proposed, the proposed plant item is expected to comply' See Summary page 3 and again Conclusion page 13.</p> <p>There appears to be no report carried out since 2011 even though all the proposed plant is now installed.</p> <p>Although the Applicant states that the building is not completed in fact all external building work to the new house has been completed, I accept there maybe decorative and internal alterations still being made.</p> <p>2: The report confirms that the new house has a swimming pool, gym and I know air conditioning all these elements are now in functioning order.</p> <p>3: In this report it is confirmed that Belsize Crescent is located as nearest to the development and in fact is closest to the actual plant room situated directly behind the gardens. All the gardens are open to the back of the new house. See Figure 1 page 5 of the 2011 report.</p> <p>However, the Assessment was carried out in Princess Mews which is closely surrounded by rows of houses which has the effect of buffering some noise and is much further away from the Plant Room than the gardens of Belsize Crescent. See Figure 2 page 6 of the 2011 report.</p> <p>4: In the GCM letter of 15th September 2017 it says there has been amendments to details relevant to the related conditions related to the Application. Could these affect the Report submitted were they changed after 2011 ? Surely now that the plant is installed and functional it makes more sense to measure the reality rather than to make estimates. It is the time to carry out a new accurate Noise Assessment Report incorporating the gardens of Belsize Crescent.</p> <p>Therefore the Council should reject this Planning Permission Application and request a new more accurate report before making a decision.</p> <p>Yours faithfully M Caplan For and on behalf of the Owners: Garden Flat, Flat2, Flat3, Flat4, at 10 Belsize Crescent Garden Flat at 8 Belsize Crescent House 12 Belsize Crescent</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5171/P	M Caplan	garden flat 10 Belsize Crescent NW3 5QU NW3 5QU NW3 5QU	05/11/2017 08:30:47	OBJNOT	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I believe that the Planning Permission applied for should be denied at this time until an up to date noise assessment has been obtained my reasons are listed below.</p> <p>1: The Report submitted by Sandy Brown although dated 20th January 2017 refers to an assessment carried out in October 2011 and is an assessment of the proposed plant items related to Planning Application number 2012/3291/P. There is a caveat in the Summary of this report which states 'as long as the recommended mitigation measures are incorporated as proposed, the proposed plant item is expected to comply' See Summary page 3 and again Conclusion page 13.</p> <p>There appears to be no report carried out since 2011 even though all the proposed plant is now installed.</p> <p>Although the Applicant states that the building is not completed in fact all external building work to the new house has been completed, I accept there maybe decorative and internal alterations still being made.</p> <p>2: The report confirms that the new house has a swimming pool, gym and I know air conditioning all these elements are now in functioning order.</p> <p>3: In this report it is confirmed that Belsize Crescent is located as nearest to the development and in fact is closest to the actual plant room situated directly behind the gardens. All the gardens are open to the back of the new house. See Figure 1 page 5 of the 2011 report.</p> <p>However, the Assessment was carried out in Princess Mews which is closely surrounded by rows of houses which has the effect of buffering some noise and is much further away from the Plant Room than the gardens of Belsize Crescent. See Figure 2 page 6 of the 2011 report.</p> <p>4: In the GCM letter of 15th September 2017 it says there has been amendments to details relevant to the related conditions related to the Application. Could these affect the Report submitted were they changed after 2011 ? Surely now that the plant is installed and functional it makes more sense to measure the reality rather than to make estimates. It is the time to carry out a new accurate Noise Assessment Report incorporating the gardens of Belsize Crescent.</p> <p>Therefore the Council should reject this Planning Permission Application and request a new more accurate report before making a decision.</p> <p>Yours faithfully M Caplan For and on behalf of the Owners: Garden Flat, Flat2, Flat3, Flat4, at 10 Belsize Crescent Garden Flat at 8 Belsize Crescent House 12 Belsize Crescent</p>