

Design & Access Statement

47 Queen's Grove, London, NW8

including photographic schedule

Proposal: Erection of two storey extension to side and rear of existing property following demolition of existing side garage and rear kitchen.

11.10.17 v1

Application by: Camouflage

Design & Access Statement

Introduction

1.0 Introduction

1.1 This Design and Access Statement has been prepared by Camouflage on behalf of their client; the owner of 47 Queen's Grove, London, NW8 6HH. The statement has been produced to support the proposal for amendments to the previously granted application (**2015/5630/P**), for the demolition of existing elements of the building and the erection of a new extensions in place of the demolished elements.

1.2 This statement will explain the key design principles that have been used to develop details of the amended scheme with the purpose being to demonstrate that a considered approach has been applied with careful regard to the importance of the St. Johns Wood Conservation Area and the surrounding context. Amend the previously granted application (**2015/5630/P**) are to meet client requirements and request and with a sympathetic approach, it is concluded that the application should be given further favourable consideration.

1.3 This Design and Access Statement is supported by the following documents;

- 1000.1 – Location Plan – OS Map Extract
- 1000.2 – Location Plan – Schematic
- 1000.3 – Location Plan – Area Plan
- 1001.1 – Existing – Block Plan, Site Plan, Roof Plan
- 1001.2 – Existing – Lower Ground Floor/Upper Ground Floor
- 1001.3 – Existing – First Floor/Second Floor
- 2001.1 – Existing – Elevations South east/North east
- 2001.2 – Existing – Elevations North west kitchen section/South west kitchen section
- 1002.1 – Proposed – Block Plan, Site Plan, Roof Plan
- 1002.2 – Proposed – Lower Ground Floor/Upper Ground Floor
- 1002.3 – Proposed – First Floor/Second Floor
- 2002.1 – Proposed – Elevations South east/North east
- 2002.2 – Proposed – Elevations North west kitchen section/South west kitchen section
- 1010.1 – Front Courtyard Comparison Plans
- 1010.2 – Rear garden Comparison plans
- APP_01 – Indicative sketch rear garden proposals

DAS

The Site

2.0 Overview of Site

2.1 47 Queen's Grove is a semi-detached villa located on the north side of Queen's Grove at the junction of St. Johns Wood Park, Ordnance Hill and Queens's Grove. The property lies within the St. Johns Wood Conservation Area however it is not a listed property.

2.2 The original and existing dwelling was built circa 1840 within a site totaling 545m². A front gated courtyard provides hardscaped private parking and sets the main body of the build, back from the pavement by 8.5m. The existing original dwelling has a principal massing comprising of stacked living and habitable spaces that are bay fronted at ground level. This aligns with the general front façade building line that can be seen along the length of Queen's Grove street aspect. Adjacent to this habitable block is the entrance and vertical circulation block stepped back from the bay fronted line. As seen from the street, the dwelling comprises two full floor levels above ground with a further floor within the original but now dormer windowed hipped roof. A basement level exists with a light well to the front aspect of the property and access to the rear is provided from this basement level via access through the upper ground floor. Level access is also achieved to the rear through an existing garage to the side aspect of the main body of the property. The rear garden extends to the rear boundary of the site enclosed with garages of the adjacent Queensmead residences. In total the site is roughly 12.5m wide by 45.5m deep. These parts of the property have an existing render finish painted a light colour that is predominantly evident throughout the street.

2.3 The original property has been extended previously to its existing state with a single story garage set 10.5m back from the pavement. A further 6.5m to the rear the extension rises to a kitchen at ground floor level with an un-habitable undercroft that projects 5m beyond the back of the original dwelling. Facing brickwork and glass block detail finish has been applied to the existing extended elements that offer no connected reference to the original building.

2.4 Within the rear garden a single story outbuilding has been erected close to the rear boundary. It is approximately 2.8m wide by 3.3m deep.

2.5 The property boundaries consist of a rendered wall aligning the street of similar colour to the main building with piers and metal gates allowing entrance into the site. Boundaries to the neighbouring properties and the rear are composed of facing brickwork with timber trellis fixed over. There are a number of trees within the site and within the neighbouring sites around the perimeter.

DAS

The Site

These, along with planted perimeter borders, have allowed vegetation to provide additional natural boundary finish.

2.6 The surrounding context to the site has a mirror of detached and semi-detached properties to the south of Queen's Grove of similar scale to number 47. Number 46 Queen's Grove forms part of the semi-detached structure to the North East and is styled similar to number 47. This property has in recent years been extended to the side and rear.

DAS

Relevant Planning

3.0 National Planning Policy Framework (2012)

The National Planning Policy Framework 2012 seeks to secure positive growth in a way that effectively balances economic, environmental and social progress for this and future generations.

Section 7, Requiring Good Design, para 56 states that “The Government attaches great importance to the design of the built environment. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, is indivisible from good planning, and should contribute positively to making places better for people.”

Section 12 of the NPPF offers Policy Guidance in relation to Conserving and Enhancing the historic environment. Paragraph 129 states:

129. Local planning authorities should identify and assess the particular significance of any heritage asset that may be affected by a proposal (including by development affecting the setting of a heritage asset) taking account of the available evidence and any necessary expertise. They should take this assessment into account when considering the impact of a proposal on a heritage asset, to avoid or minimise conflict between the heritage asset's conservation and any aspect of the proposal.

Paragraph 134 comments as follows:

134. Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal, including securing its optimum viable use.

The 2004 Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act requires that determination of any planning application must be in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

In this case the development plan comprises the London Plan 2011, and Westminster's City Plan which comprises revisions to the Core Strategy 2009 following the adoption of the NPPF.

The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and National Practice Guidance are also of relevance.

For the purposes of the Pre-Application Consultation the Statement, though mindful of the National

DAS

Relevant Planning

and London Plan Policy Guidance, focuses on the Policies of London Borough of Camden

3.1 London Borough of Camden - Local Development Framework Camden Core Strategy 2010-2025

Policy CS1 – Distribution of Growth

This policy relates to “Making the best use of Camden’s limited land. The Council will promote the most efficient use of land and buildings in Camden by: d) seeking development that makes full use of its site, taking into account quality of design, its surroundings, sustainability, amenity, heritage, transport accessibility and any other considerations relevant to the site;”

Policy CS5 – Managing the impact of growth and development

This policy states that “The Council will manage the impact of growth and development in Camden. We will ensure that development meets the full range of objectives of the Core Strategy and other Local Development Framework documents, with particular consideration given to:

a) providing uses that meet the needs of Camden’s population and contribute to the borough’s London-wide role;”

Policy CS14 – Promoting high quality spaces and conserving our heritage

This policy specifies that “The Council will ensure that Camden’s places and buildings are attractive, safe and easy to use by:

- a) requiring development of the highest standard of design that respects local context and character;
- b) preserving and enhancing Camden’s rich and diverse heritage assets and their settings, including conservation areas, listed buildings, archaeological remains, scheduled ancient monuments and historic parks and gardens;
- c) promoting high quality landscaping and works to streets and public spaces;
- d) seeking the highest standards of access in all buildings and places and requiring schemes to be designed to be inclusive and accessible;”

DAS

Relevant Planning

3.2 Camden Development Policies 2010-2025

Policy DP 24- Securing high quality design

“The Council will require all developments, including alterations and extensions to existing buildings, to be of the highest standard of design and will expect developments to consider: a) character, setting, context and the form and scale of neighbouring buildings; b) the character and proportions of the existing building, where alterations and extensions are proposed; c) the quality of materials to be used; d) the provision of visually interesting frontages at street level; e) the appropriate location for building services equipment; f) existing natural features, such as topography and trees; g) the provision of appropriate hard and soft landscaping including boundary treatments; h) the provision of appropriate amenity space; and i) accessibility”

Policy DP 25 – Conserving Camden’s heritage

“Conservation areas

In order to maintain the character of Camden’s conservation areas, the Council will:

- a) take account of conservation area statements, appraisals and management plans when assessing applications within conservation areas;
- b) only permit development within conservation areas that preserves and enhances the character and appearance of the area;”

Policy DP 26 – Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours

“The Council will protect the quality of life of occupiers and neighbours by only granting permission for development that does not cause harm to amenity. The factors we will consider include:

- a) visual privacy and overlooking;
- b) overshadowing and outlook;
- c) sunlight, daylight and artificial light levels;
- d) noise and vibration levels;
- e) odour, fumes and dust;

DAS

Relevant Planning

- f) microclimate;
- g) the inclusion of appropriate attenuation measures.

We will also require developments to provide:

- h) an acceptable standard of accommodation in terms of internal arrangements, dwelling and room sizes and amenity space;
- i) facilities for the storage, recycling and disposal of waste;
- j) facilities for bicycle storage;
- k) outdoor space for private or communal amenity space, wherever practical.”

3.3 St John's Wood Conservation Area

The St John's Wood Conservation Area covers two geographically distinct areas totalling approximately 3.83 hectares that follow the south-western boundary of the London Borough of Camden alongside the edge of the City of Westminster. The two areas are geographically separate, but they both abut the St John's Wood Conservation Area that falls within the City of Westminster. Since these two areas fall within the jurisdiction of the London Borough of Camden, they require Conservation Area Policy specific to Camden.

St John's Wood East, within which the application property lies, is the smaller of the two areas at approximately 1.38 hectares and follows the boundary between Westminster and Camden along Queen's Grove, Woronzow Road and Norfolk Road, taking in some eighteen residential dwellings including four Grade II listed properties.

The initial designation of St John's Wood as a Conservation Area (CA) in the London Borough of Camden was in March 1970. There was a subsequent extension in October 1977 that extended the western area and created the eastern area as it currently stands. The original St John's Wood Conservation Area within the City of Westminster was designated in 1968.

The spatial qualities of the CA are described in the CA Appraisal as follows:

The Conservation Area's spatial character derives from the relatively low density residential development set out along spacious tree lined streets with generous plot sizes that create a feeling of space and openness without the need for formalised public squares and gardens. Development is predominantly of three storeys set back from the street with clearly defined front gardens. These often contain mature trees and planting that create a semi-rural feel, belying the reality of the inner city location. Even in those localised areas where commercial uses predominate the layout is such

DAS

Relevant Planning

that the area is open and pleasant.

The CA Appraisal states the following in respect of the character of St John's Wood East.

This area is purely in residential use and is characterised by large detached houses and pairs of villas facing onto Queens Grove and Norfolk Road. The large plot sizes and ease of accessibility to principal routes such as Avenue Road made this area particularly desirable for early development. The quiet nature of the streets creates a distinctive ambiance. While some of the current villas are replacements (Numbers 40, 41, 42 & 48 Queens Grove) the area retains its original layout and plot sizes.

There are six listed buildings in the sub area, comprising two detached houses (Nos 2& 3 Norfolk Road) and two pairs of stucco villas in a classical style (Nos 35, 34, 36 & 37 Queens Grove).

While several of the residences in this section of the Conservation Area have later additions and side extensions, almost no infill development of new properties within existing gardens has occurred, allowing the retention of the wide open character between the villas. An exception is No 48 Queen's Grove, on the corner with Ordnance Road, where additional properties have been built in its rear garden.

General guidance on extensions and alterations is provided in paras 12.6 – 12.8 of the CA Management Strategy as follows:

12.6 As such, alterations and extensions to existing buildings should be carefully considered. Extensions should be subsidiary to the existing building and not detract from its character by becoming over-dominant.

12.7 Where alterations and extensions of a sympathetic scale are appropriate, attention to detail and an imitative, historicist approach are to be encouraged without allowing pastiches of historical features that may reflect current tastes, but are less appropriate to the style and detailing of the original building and which may detract from the overall integrity of the group.

12.8 Where original features and key details have survived they should be retained and preserved in all but the most mitigating circumstances, and good maintenance and repair rather than replacement is the most appropriate action to retain the original historic character of the area.

DAS

Proposal & Design

4.0 Proposal Overview

4.1 The proposal seeks to amend the previously granted application (**2015/5630/P**) in order to better suit the clients needs and requests. Following the sale of the property with the previous planning permission, the new owners and client has requested a new brief formulating the amendments. It is proposed that the footprint and massing of the previous application are to be amended to accommodate small additions to the proposal following following the revised internal space planning and schedule of amenities spaces. These revisions in turn have the effect of altering the previously proposed elevations with amendments to windows and overall styling to the rear aspects of the development only.

4.2 The revised brief for the internal configurations have been to include the addition of following accommodation...

- indoor swimming pool
- gym
- sauna
- to revise the layout relocating the kitchen and family areas to the upper ground floor level

This alteration of the brief is to better suit the desired contemporary family living for a young and growing family.

4.3 The general principal mass and footprint of the previously approved application have been utilized with the existing single story garage being replaced with a two story habitable extension. Previous approved heights and levels have been maintained to respect the detailing as previously determined with regard to cill heights of the neighboring property flank windows. The proposed extension to the rear of the existing kitchen an cellar footprint will be maintained at an additional 2m as previously approved. There is also no change to the proposed single story extension at lower ground floor level, rearward of the main body of the building to match the line of the adjoining semi-detached property. This will form an upper terrace matching the neighbouring property.

4.4 There are small areas of additional footprint proposed to the lower ground floor plan to accommodate a wc/change and swimming pool plant room within single story accommodation along the south west boundary. The external staircase from the existing upper terrace which is to be enlarged, been adapted to allow access to the proposed lowered rear garden patio level and has moved to the north west boundary. Below this stair, the space has been utilized to provide additional

DAS

Proposal & Design

internal storage to the property.

4.5 Previous proposed and approved extensions to the existing circulation block have now been omitted from proposal.

4.6 All previously proposed and approved alterations to the existing first and second floors are no longer proposed at this time.

4.7 The previously approved general refurbishment works of the existing fabric of the building are still to be maintained within the proposal and to include, removal of metal shutters and reinstating and repair of cornicing and parapet detailing.

4.8 Amendments to proposals of the external areas are for the addition of bin and bike storage within the front courtyard. This will be for the provision of two 240lt wheelie bins and stacked recycle boxes/caddies. Adjacent to this, secure storage for four bikes is proposed.

4.9 The previously approved summer house is to be maintained within the proposal. Development of the summer house is ongoing at this time with close reference to that which has previously been approved. It is hoped that details of this element of the proposal may be conditioned for detailed submission at a later date.

5.0 Scale & Massing

5.1 There is little change proposed for the scale and massing of the previously approved application. The additional footprint proposed for single story accommodations and external staircases to the lower ground floor rear aspects have been considered to be sympathetic to the two neighboring properties. This additional footprint totals 7.5m², which amounts to roughly a 10% increase of the previously approved 76m² total footprint. In both cases these additions do not rise above the existing, proposed and maintained boundary wall top levels.

5.2 There are no proposed amendments to the front façade facing the street from the previous approved application. Mass, scale and appearance are to be maintained as previously detailed in order to match with the existing property and provide the sympathetic appearance to the two story proposal as viewed along the streetscape.

DAS

Proposal & Design

5.3 The proposed extension of the two story development rearward into the garden is to the same amount of 2m as previously approved and no further than neighbouring properties to follow what has been established as the building line to the rear.

5.4 The proposed parapet levels of the two story extension are to remain as previously approved throughout.

6.0 Appearance

6.1 Careful consideration has been applied to the design of the external appearance. In order to respect planning policies with regard to maintaining the character of conservation areas, the proposal has been left unchanged facing onto the street. Previous considerations to include traditional coping, corncicing detailing and matching materials to help unify the proposed extension with the appearance of the existing building are all to be kept. This same treatment is to wrap around the flank south east elevation to maintain a similar appearance for the angled view from the street and from the neighboring property.

6.2 Windows to the front elevation are proposed to be detailed as previously approved with timber sash windows, styled and finished in keeping with original features of the main building.

6.3 Rear aspects of the proposed extensions seek to employ a more contemporary styling with floor-to-ceiling bi-fold glazing on lower ground floor and upper ground floor levels. The lower ground floor proposal seeks only to increase the lengths of the previously proposed and approved bi-fold doors within the extended areas. The intent here is to take bi-fold door systems enclosing the proposed pool to the corner of the building for the opportunity to pull back the doors, opening the building out to the rear garden when the client so wishes. A similar condition is proposed for the upper ground floor level that has now changed to the kitchen and family living area. In this case a reduced amount of bi-fold door glazing is proposed but again is brought to a corner connection detail with glass balustrading fixed externally. This proposal has replaced the previously proposed large triple bay sash window on the north west aspect and a single bay sash window on the north east aspect. No additional glazing on any other aspects has been proposed. The desire here again is for the clients to be able to enjoy open aspects with their garden without encroaching and overlooking neighbouring properties.

DAS

Proposal & Design

6.4 In line with the contemporary styling, the rear aspects of the extension are proposed to have a smooth finish, through coloured render is to be specified in keeping with the colour of the main property. Clean lines with flush parapet coping details are proposed. Facing brick to match the boundary walls has been specified for the lower ground floor south east flank wall to the rear and to the front of the proposed pool plant. This is proposed with the intent to reference these elements of the site and extension with each other and help to unify the proposal in the site. Aluminium slimline frames are proposed for the bi-fold doors to the rear. These are to be coloured dark grey throughout. Flat roofs are proposed to the extensions with a single ply membrane finish. A liner roof light is proposed between the existing mainhouse and ground floor kitchen extension. Another flat roof light is proposed over the study. In both cases these roof lights will be flat and therefore sit below the level of the parapet and will not be visible from the street.

7.0 Amenity Space

7.1 This revised proposal accommodates much of the original application with the addition of an indoor swimming pool, gym and sauna space within the lower ground floor. This has been a request from the client and is such as to meet their desires for a young and growing family.

7.2 The existing amenity space within the existing property will be unaffected. Existing lower ground floor areas will benefit from renovation and internal re-planning connecting into the proposed extensions and further into the rear landscape for a more coherent link between the whole site.

8.0 Landscaping and Access

8.1 Revisions to the external planning and landscaping will again be a subtle enhancement of the approved application. A more detailed plan has been submitted to show indicative planting proposals.

8.2 The previously submitted Arboricultural report has been considered and closely followed in line with the previous application.

8.3 Access into the site will be unchanged. The existing property front wall is to be maintained. The existing vehicular and pedestrian gates are to be left unchanged. A previously proposed tiered lightwell with stepped access down to the lower ground floor has been simplified within the revised proposals as access to the lower ground floor from the front courtyard has now been omitted. To the rear, an external staircase adjacent to the north east boundary of the site provides access to the rear garden

DAS

Summary & Conclusion

from the proposed upper terrace.

9.0 Parking, Bin & Bike Stores

9.1 Existing off street parking within the front courtyard is to be maintained for two vehicles.

9.2 Proposed within the front courtyard is storage for two 240lt wheelie bins and a stack of recycle boxes and caddies. Secure bike storage for 4 bikes has also been proposed. These storage areas are proposed on the back side of the front wall and to be lower than the height of the wall therefore being out of view from the street.

10.0 Summary and Conclusions

10.1 Generally the revised scheme looks to maintain much of the original approved application (2015/5630/P). Changes to the proposal are to meet the client's desired living requirements and enhance parts of the scheme for more contemporary living. Scale and massing are largely unchanged. The streetscape aspect of the proposal is also unaltered such as to maintain harmony with the adjoining property and be sympathetic to the character of the St. John's Wood Conservation Area. Rear aspects have taken fundamental elements of the existing approved application and enhanced them with contemporary styling. Appropriate materials have been selected and sensitive planning has been considered to overcome issues of overlooking with considered landscaping. Additional detail has been submitted within this application to provide indicative proposals for landscaping and planting. Provisions for bin and bike storage have also been included within the revised scheme.

10.2 The scale and mass of the proposal follows the sympathetic treatment of the previous proposal following building lines both to the front and rear of the property.

10.3 The front façade has been retained as previously approved in order to reflect the properties locations within the St. Johns Wood Conservation Area, maintaining the attracting streetscape within the area.

10.4 The rear aspects of the proposal have been revised to a more contemporary styling in line with internal re-planning of the amenity spaces. Due to the nature of the high walled boundaries, trees, and vegetation around the perimeter of the site. These rear aspects are not greatly overlooked and the proposed enhancements do not attempt to encroach or cause overlooking of the neighbouring

DAS

Summary & Conclusion

properties any more so than had previously been proposed.

10.5 The revisions to the proposal have been developed with careful consideration of the relevant Policies and adopted Development Plan.

10.6 As a revision of a previously approved application. The submitted scheme is seen to be a sympathetic and considered approach to developing the client's desires. It is therefore hoped favourable consideration can be given to this application for a successful decision.

DAS


Photographic Schedule



IMG-01 - Aerial



IMG-02 - Aerial



IMG-03 - Aerial

DAS

Photographic Schedule



IMG-04 - Front



IMG-05 - Rear

DAS

Photographic Schedule



IMG-06 - Queensmead residences




IMG-07 - Neighbouring property roof terrace

DAS

Photographic Schedule



IMG-08 - Number 46 large rear extension




IMG-09 - Number 46 large rear extension

DAS

Photographic Schedule



IMG-10 - High site perimeter boundaries




IMG-11 - High site perimeter boundaries

APPENDIX

App_01

Indicative sketch rear garden proposals



APP_01 - rear garden indicative concept sketch