Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5268/P	Richard Schmidt	14 Perceval Avenue NW3 4PY	27/10/2017 14:31:37	COMMEM AIL	I wish to object to the part of this application which relates to the enlargement of the front gate(s). The owners of the property have not used the gates on the left (southern) side for vehicle access since they first occupied the house. The internal layout of the property does not accommodate vehicle parking in that area. The gate on the northern (right-hand) end of the boundary is located in the most dangerous part of the road as there is a curve there, and traffic approaching from either direction cannot see the gate or any traffic using it until they are right on to it. A further point about the right hand gate is that the location and use of resident parking bays on both sides of the road makes it very difficult to see the gate or any possible vehicle movements there until both motorists and pedestrians are right at the gate. The second application which has been made in relation to this property is for the expansion of a ground floor extension. This enlarged extension will encroach on the parking area as shown on the application drawings for this application. The council should consider both applications together or insist that they be consolidated as this looks like an attempt to obtain permission for the enlargement of the gate on the basis that the area behind will be used for vehicle parking, when the grant of consent for the enlargement of the ground floor extension will make that less possible or completely impossible. The erection of a wall and fence of over 2M in height by the present owners have undoubtedly had an adverse effect on the road, and the proposals relating to the gate(s) will further adversely affect the road in terms of safety, use and the general atmosphere in the road. The gate should not be widened, and the Council should use this opportunity to have the right hand (northern) gate closed.