

[REDACTED]

From: Oxford, Gerry
Sent: 27 October 2017 14:12
To: Planning
Subject: FW: OBJECTION to South Fairground, Vale of Health

Guys, another one for this site. Thanks

From: Mary-Jane Low [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 October 2017 12:51
To: Oxford, Gerry <Gerry.Oxford@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: OBJECTION to South Fairground, Vale of Health

Dear Mr.Oxford

I am writing to say that I agree with the Vale of Health Society letter to the council (see below) with regards to the application of tree cutting at the South Fairground.

The whole site should never have been built on without planning and it is appalling to see that the lady now wants trees removed. She has been given notice as far as I know.

I totally OBJECT to any tree removal.

Kind regards

M-J Low
Vivary Cottage
Vale of Health

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <planning@camden.gov.uk>
Date: 27 October 2017 at 10:55
Subject: Comments on 2017/5507/T have been received by the council.
To: [REDACTED]

The Vale of Health Society objects to this application on the following grounds:-

It is a misleading application. The planning application which was circulated online and posted refers to felling of trees within the grounds of Spencer House, Vale of Health, London, NW3 1AS. The application has nothing to do with the block of flats and gardens known to all as Spencer House, Vale of Health, NW3 1AS. It is actually an application in relation to the land adjoining Spencer House which is owned by Polar Bren Ltd of whom the applicant, Jita Lukka, is a director and shareholder and who has named the site as Bren Cottage. The writer was herself misled as to the actual site address until by chance was told that it might be Bren Cottage and then opened the application. The exact position of the trees is only identified at para 7 of the application.

Para 7 also suggests that the trees should be felled to avoid structural damage or damage to the neighbouring property and retaining wall. There is no evidence as to this and the answers to paragraph 8, 1 and 2 of the application are 'no'.

The site owners are in the process of building structures on the site without any planning permission and the writer believes that enforcement proceedings are proposed, the owners having failed to comply with a request by the LB of Camden to remove the structures. We suggest that this application should be refused whilst enforcement procedures are ongoing.

The trees which are mature, beautiful and healthy, screen the site from the Heath and the roadway leading to the Heath. The site has for decades been virtually invisible, in large part because of the trees. Although we are concerned about all the trees, we are particularly concerned about trees 5 and 6 which front the Heath and pond. The present owners have already removed much vegetation from the boundary with the Heath and pond so that the structures which they have erected without planning permission are now clearly visible from the Heath and will be more so if the trees are felled.

Comments made by Ellen Solomons on behalf of Vale of Health Society of 1 Athenaeum Hall, Vale of Health, London, NW3 1AP

Phone

EMail

Preferred Method of Contact is Post

Comment Type is Objection



From: John Kleeman [redacted]
Sent: 29 October 2017 11:19
To: Oxford, Gerry
Cc: Planning; Ellen Solomons (VoHS)
Subject: Objection to 2017/5507/T

Dear Sirs

I wish to object to this application.

The trees which are mature, beautiful and healthy, screen the site from the Heath and the roadway leading to the Heath.

I hope that you will reject the application

John Kleeman
12 Heath Villas
Vale of Health
London NW3 1AW

[REDACTED]

From: Oxford, Gerry
Sent: 27 October 2017 12:40
To: Planning
Subject: FW: South Fairground trees

Guys an objection, thanks

From: michele martin [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 October 2017 11:57
To: Oxford, Gerry <Gerry.Oxford@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: South Fairground trees

Dear Mr Oxford,

I'm a resident of the Vale of Health and want to voice my objections to a request from Jita Lukka to fell trees on the South Fairground site.

The application seems to have been made in a highly questionable way; (I reference an email sent to you by Ellen Solomons of the Vale of Health Society). That in itself should stop the application. However there is also a much bigger issue, one of which I know you are aware, because I have spoken to you're department about it before.

The site is begin turned into a residential development by stealth. The structures are of questionable legality (no planning permission but totally habitable, with mock brick work and all utilities, I understand.) Felling the trees is about making a pleasant 'garden', I suspect.

I know it takes time for a council to challenge and block such developments on protected land, but my understanding is that you are trying to do just that, so thank you. Can you therefore reassure us that you will block this tree felling application on the grounds that it is an irreversible change on a site where other developments may yet be 'reversed'?

I'd also appreciate an update on where the council is on challenging the site.

Many thanks,

Michele Martin.
(The Old Cottage)