

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5123/P	Dr Alan Marsh	11 College Lane London NW5 1BJ	13/10/2017 16:46:50	OBJNOT	<p>On behalf of the residents of College Lane, I object to this application.</p> <p>In Paragraph 28 (Page 7) of his Appeal Decision dated 23rd June 2003 (Appeal ref. APP/X5210/A/1097183) the Planning Inspector clearly states that:</p> <p>“...the use of such flat roofs for casual amenity purposes would be extremely intrusive. Therefore, in allowing this appeal I shall impose a condition restricting the use of the flat roofs in this as well as all other parts of the development...”</p> <p>This application clearly contravenes the Inspector’s restriction and cannot be allowed. Indeed, as the photograph taken from the proposed balcony shows, it permits an enhanced view for the applicants to look directly into the first and second floor bedrooms of College Lane houses from only a few metres away. This is exactly the condition that the Inspector found to be much too intrusive and not allowable.</p> <p>We are surprised that the applicant was unaware of the Inspector’s restriction and may have been misled by the developers in this matter. It would be as well to remind the developers, and inform all the new or potential owners of this official prohibition on roof terraces or balconies of this kind.</p> <p>This unfinished development is also the subject of urgent investigation by Camden Planning Department for several departures from the approved plans, particularly with respect to the built height. We suggest that no further applications of any kind for this site be considered before these urgent matters of non-compliance are resolved.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/5123/P	Alison Duker	16 College Lane	11/10/2017 17:49:02	OBJ	<p>As per my neighbours objection. I am a resident of College Lane and wholly object to this planning application request which was previously refused at the initial planning stages of the Wlbin Court development due to the overlooking and subsequent noise issues, which are already considerable for the residents of College Lane. I agree and repeat the objection below.</p> <p>On behalf of the residents of College Lane, I object to this application.</p> <p>Paragraph 28 (Page 7) of his Appeal Decision dated 23rd June 2003 (Appeal ref. APP/X5210/A/1097183) the Planning Inspector clearly states that:</p> <p>“...the use of such flat roofs for casual amenity purposes would be extremely intrusive. Therefore, in allowing this appeal I shall impose a condition restricting the use of the flat roofs in this as well as all other parts of the development....”</p> <p>This application clearly contravenes the Inspector’s restriction and cannot be allowed. Indeed, as the photograph taken from the proposed balcony shows, it permits an enhanced view for the applicants to look directly into the first and second floor bedrooms of College Lane houses from only 12 metres away. This is exactly the condition that the Inspector found to be much too intrusive and not allowable.</p> <p>We are surprised that the applicant was unaware of the Inspector’s restriction and may have been misled by the developers in this matter. It would be as well remind the developers, and inform all the new or potential owners of this official prohibition on roof terraces or balconies of this kind.</p> <p>This unfinished development is also the subject of urgent investigation by Camden Planning Department for several departures from the approved plans, particularly with respect to the built height. We suggest that no further applications of any kind for this site be considered before these urgent matters of non-compliance are resolved.</p>
