

**WILLIAM MARTIN PROPERTY CONSULTANTS LTD**

---

# Planning Statement

**4,5 & 6 Stone Buildings,  
London,  
WC2 A3XB**



August 2017

---

**WILLIAM MARTIN**  
PROPERTY AND CONSTRUCTION CONSULTANTS

*Note: This statement should be read in conjunction with the drawings supplied with the planning application.*

## **SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

### **GLASS DOOR ENTANCES TO 4, 5 & 6 STONE BUILDINGS, LINCOLNS INN, LONDON, WC2A 3XL**

No's 4, 5 & 6 Stone Buildings are currently in use as Barristers Chambers accommodation on basement to second floors with residential flats on the third floor.

This statement is following the previously refused, appealed & granted at Appeal historical applications for No's 3 & 6, Stone Buildings which took place in 2003 & 2006. (Application No's: 2003/3422L & 2006/2230L)

Following these approvals a further application was submitted in 2008 & was granted Listed Building Consent for No's 1, 4 & 5 Stone Buildings (Application No. 2008/0771/L)

From these various applications above, Stone Buildings No's 1 & 3 are the only entrances to have had the described work carried out, the above consent for the remainder has now expired.

This application is focusing on the doing the exact same work as previously approved but to No's 4, 5 & 6 Stone Buildings.

#### **Project Description**

The proposed development is to carry out work as previously approved as stated above, to have their existing open doorways made secure.

This is by means of new frameless non-reflective glass doors and over-panels with unobtrusive patch fittings, short fixed side panels in stainless steel and stainless-steel handles, floor closers and locks.

The previous successful appeal outlined that this form of glazed enclosure would be acceptable for the western range of Stone Buildings, and would for all purposes be entirely reversible. The installation of glazed panels and doors was deemed the most viable solution to solve the operational deficiencies of the buildings and this is still the case.

The appeal inspectorate had previously accepted that the permission granted in the appeal would establish a precedent in relation to No's 4,5 & 6 Stone Buildings which have similar entrance arrangements. He concluded that subject to care in the execution of the work would cause no harm to the listed building or its setting, or character and appearance of the conservation area.