

**Hazelton, Laura**

---

**Subject:** FW: 32 Laurier Road 2017/4021/P revised plans

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 26 September 2017 11:12  
**To:** Hazelton, Laura <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: 32 Laurier Road 2017/4021/P revised plans

Dear Laura,

Following our previous emails, I would like to inform you of the opinions of those who would be most directly affected by the extension. At the nursery, they say the nursery garden would be slightly less overshadowed, but they still see the revised plans as a 'huge build'. At no. 23 (across York Rise from my house), they might suggest some kind of compromise if they could, but, given that it would affect the outlook from their front windows so drastically, they have to remain 'against'.

Likewise, the outlook from my house would be severely affected by such a drastic change to the size and shape of no. 32. It would produce a hemmed-in feeling. The people I have spoken to are surprised that such a thing could ever be considered in a conservation area.

Those of us who have lived in the area for a long time accept no. 32 as it is, and do not see it as obtrusive. I saw the letter in this week's Camden New Journal from Shelagh Alletson, who lived in that house for 28 years and created a beautiful living space. She was amused to see her former home described as the "ugly sister".

Surely the purpose of a conservation area is to protect the special character of the area. The charm and special character of this crossroads and the area around it lies precisely in the fact that no. 32 is lower than the other houses. It isn't just for us, it's for future generations.

Kind regards,

Dylan Edwards