

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2017/4731/P	Les Levidow	48 Fortess Road	02/10/2017 14:28:00	INT	The developers have proposed that the roof windows be openable and transparent. They also plan to make the existing garden windows 'part-frosted and part-transparent'. Together these features will increase the light and noise pollution to neighbouring houses. This change is also a significant loss of privacy, in the name of 'transparency'.

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/4731/P	Peter Gluckstein	11 Railey Mews Kentish Town London NW5 2PA	02/10/2017 11:47:51	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>Application 2017/4731/P – Replacement roof, alteration to entrance and replacement fenestration at workshop 36-52 Fortess Road, Fortess Grove, London NW5 2HG.</p> <p>I am writing to you on behalf of the Railey Mews Residents Association and our neighbours in Fortess Road, in order to make a number of objections to the above application which, in our view, should be rejected.</p> <p>In addition to our objections, which are detailed below, we would like to raise our concerns over the attitude and approach of the developers towards us. We have tried repeatedly, both as an association and as individuals, to acquire information about the existing architectural drawings and plans of the prospective buildings. To date, however, all our requests for information have been ignored. Furthermore, the developers are refusing to commit to a party wall agreement. Needless to say, this behaviour leaves us in the dark and is causing a considerable amount of stress amongst our close community, one which includes young children and vulnerable residents. It is only reasonable that we be kept properly informed and we request that we be allowed to see the official, architectural drawings of the proposed development.</p> <p>General objection:</p> <p>As a whole, the proposed development is entirely inappropriate for a conservation area and provides no public benefit. In addition, the increased levels of light and noise will have a detrimental impact on the local environment and ecology.</p> <p>Specific objections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed new materials are not in line with the original materials: any new materials should be in line with originals.</li> <li>2. Ground floor windows must not be transparent: our homes will be overlooked and we will suffer a loss of privacy if the ground floor windows are transparent.</li> <li>3. Windows must not be openable: noise levels will increase greatly if the windows are allowed to be openable. Security will also be compromised if windows are openable, as that will give easy access from the workshop to the neighbouring gardens.</li> <li>4. Proposed new roof windows: we object to the new fenestration being openable and transparent and we request that the replacement fenestration remains fixed and un-openable, just like the original, and be glazed with translucent, frosted, privacy glass.</li> <li>5. Insertion of mezzanine floors magnifies the entire above roof fenestrations/light well</li> <li>6. At the moment there is virtually no light passing through the opaque and fixed plastic panels on the roof, no noise, no problem with security, and no privacy problem. If granted, the application to change this arrangement, all of this will be in jeopardy, the construction of two extra floor mezzanine and the new use of the workshop as a populated office, would make all the above amplified and intolerable, with an even stronger impact on the Railey Mews side due to the closeness of the rear bedroom windows (2.5 meters)</li> </ol> <p>In summary, because of the general and specific objections listed above, together with the behaviour of the developer towards the local community, Application 2017/4731 should be rejected.</p>