

---

**Akshay & Geetika Shah**  
**2 Inverforth Close**  
**London NW3 7EX**

25 September 2017

John Diver  
Development Management  
London Borough of Camden  
2nd floor, 5 Pancras Square  
Judd Street  
London WC1H 9JE

Dear Mr Diver,

**Re: Planning application 2017/4730/P: 3 Inverforth Close, NW3 7EX**

We are owners and occupiers of 2 Inverforth Close, and write in response to the consultation request for the application seeking alterations to the recently granted planning permission ref. 2016/6953/P.

We had submitted comments during the consultation period for the approved planning application at no.3 back in January 2017, expressing our concern in relation to the proposed roof terrace and the ability for our neighbours to overlook our private side garden from this area, as well as the proximity of the roof terrace to our daughter's then-proposed dormer bedroom window and the resulting noise nuisance and disturbance to this room. This dormer window to our daughter's bedroom has since been approved under planning permission reference 2017/3318/P and is a material consideration in the assessment of the current planning application at no.3 Inverforth Close.

Given that the current proposal at no.3 now seeks to increase the width of the roof of the dwelling, bringing the glazed door onto the terrace area as close as 3.5 metres from our daughter's bedroom window, we would again like to express a concern that this will be sited too close to our property, resulting in both an overbearing effect and noise disturbance to our children's bedroom.

We further note that this application seeks to bring the main roof of the dwelling southwards by 0.85 metres, which will sit further towards our dwelling. We note that an updated sunlight and daylight report has not been provided, and would request the provision of a new sunlight and daylight report for our assurance that our property is not overshadowed further.

Further to our previous comments regarding overlooking our side garden, we are grateful that the Council required screening on the first floor. Condition 5 of the

---

---

previous permission ref 2016/6953/P would help reduce the extent of overlooking into our garden, where our two young daughters often spend time. Unfortunately, the current application does not provide proposed side elevations, and the proposed first floor plans show storage areas in place of the screening. Would you be kind enough to confirm that the screening will remain in place, in the position shown on the section and roof plans? We would request that the screening is made clear on proposed side elevation and first floor plan drawings.

One final administrative note: since the prior planning application, we are disappointed to learn that certain neighbours' comments in response to 2016/6953/P were not registered on the portal, and - by extension - not considered in the grant of planning permission. I would be grateful for your confirmation that these serious procedural errors would not have changed the substance of the Council's decision in relation to that planning application.

Yours sincerely,

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Geetika and Akshay Shah.

Geetika and Akshay Shah

---